The Sino-Japanese War of 1894–1895

The Sino-Japanese War of 1894–1895 is a seminal event in world history, yet it has been virtually ignored in the Western literature. This is not the case in the East, where, ever since the war, the focus of Chinese foreign policy has been to undo its results whereas the focus of Japanese foreign policy has been to confirm them. Japan supplanted China as the dominant regional power. Such a seismic reversal in the traditional power balance fractured the previous international harmony within the Confucian world and left an aftershock of enduring territorial and political fault lines that have embroiled China, Japan, Korea, Russia, and Taiwan ever since.

The book examines the war through the eyes of the journalists who filed reports from China, Japan, Russia, Germany, France, Britain, and the United States to show how the war changed outside perceptions of the relative power of China and Japan and to plot the consequences of these changed perceptions, namely, the scramble for concessions in China and Japan’s admission to the ranks of the great powers.

S. C. M. Paine is Associate Professor of Strategy and Policy at the U.S. Naval War College and author of Imperial Rivals: China, Russia, and Their Disputed Frontier, winner of the 1997 Jelavich Prize for diplomatic history.
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This book is both a by-product of many years of living in and conducting research on China, Japan, and Russia and also a preliminary study for a book about Sino-Russo-Japanese rivalries in northeast Asia during the 1930s and 1940s. The current work has the modest ambition of synthesizing current secondary research on the Sino-Japanese War of 1894–1895 and supplementing this synthesis with an extensive reading of newspapers published around the world during the war. The purpose is to plot the evolution of European and American thinking about the balance of power in the Far East, and in doing so discuss the perceptions that both reflected and created that balance of power. The thesis is that military hardware and economic output alone do not determine international power, perceptions also play an important role. This book is aimed at a general audience of those interested in understanding the origins of such key security issues still bedeviling the Far East as the two-China problem, Korean instability, Sino-Japanese animosity, and Russian Far Eastern ambitions.
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terminology is theirs and not mine. For doubters, I refer them to the references listed in the following footnote.¹
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¹ Research on “face” has been conducted mostly by anthropologists. Historians do not generally avail themselves of these sources. To quote a recent anthropological work on the subject: “Chinese is rich in portraying things that can happen to face. Besides ‘wanting face’ (yao mianzi), ‘losing face’ (diou [sic] mianzi), and ‘having face’ (gei mianzi), one can also ‘borrow face’ (jie mianzi), ‘give face’ (gei mianzi), ‘increase face’ (zenghia mianzi), ‘contest face’ (zheng mianzi), ‘save face’ (liou [sic] mianzi), and compare face as in the expression ‘His face is greater than ours’ (‘Tai mianzi bi bieren da’). The larger one’s face, the more prestige and security one possesses and, therefore, the more self-determination one enjoys in social transactions” (Mayfair Mei-hui Yang, Gifts, Favors & Banquets: The Art of Social Relationships in China [Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1994], 196). “Face” also appears in contemporary movies. See director Zhang Yimou’s Shanghai Triad, when the Triad boss refers to face to explain his reasons for preserving the reputation of his mistress even as he has her murdered for infidelity, or director Wayne Wang’s Eat a Bowl of Tea, whose entire plot revolves around the theme of losing face. For examples involving the two key diplomats discussed in the current work, see Foreign Minister Mutsu Munemitsu’s memoirs, Kenkensyuku: A Diplomatic Record of the Sino-Japanese War, 1894–95, Gordon Mark Berger, ed. and trans. (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1982), page 126; and Li Hongzhang’s remarks after the attempt made on his life discussed in Chapter 7 in the present volume. For other standard academic references, see the extensively footnoted section on “face” in Chapter 9, which cites numerous anthropological, historical, and other works.