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*a posteriori argument*: an argument based on experience. *See also* teleological argument

*a priori argument*: an argument not based on experience. *See also* impossibility argument, ontological argument

**Anselmian conception of God**: the view attributed to St. Anselm that God is a being such that no greater being can be conceived

**anthropomorphism**: the ascription of human traits to God

**apostasy**: disaffection, defection, alienation, disengagement, or disaffiliation from a religious group

**argument from design**. *See* teleological argument

**argument from evil**: an argument that purports to show that the existence of evil is either incompatible with the existence of God or makes God’s existence improbable. *See also* problem of evil

**argument from indexicals**: a type of impossibility argument that maintains that, although allegedly all-knowing, God cannot have certain knowledge expressed in indexicals. *See also* indexical

**argument from miracles**: an argument that purports to show that the existence of God is the most plausible explanation of miracles. *See also* miracle

**argument from religious experience**: an argument that purports to show that the existence of God or other supernatural beings provides the best explanation of religious experience. *See also* mystical experience; religious experience

**autonomy of ethics**: the view that ethics is not based on theology. *Cf.* divine command theory. *See also* ethical naturalism

**Big Bang cosmology**: a theory that holds that the universe originated approximately 15 billion years ago from the violent explosion of a very small agglomeration of matter of extremely high density and temperature. *See also* Kalam cosmological argument for atheism; Kalam cosmological argument for God
cancellation agnosticism: the view that the arguments for and against belief in God are equally strong and cancel each other out. Cf. skeptical agnosticism
clarvoynance: the power to see objects or events that cannot be perceived by the senses. See also paranormal phenomena
cosmological argument: an argument that seeks to give a causal explanation of why some universe exists
deism: the view that God created the world and then had no further interaction with it; also, a view of God based on reason and not revelation. Cf. pantheism; theism
devas: the finite and impermanent gods described by some Eastern religions
divine command theory: the theory that ethical propositions are based on what God commands. Cf. autonomy of ethics; ethical naturalism. See also voluntarism
eliminative materialism: the view that despite appearances, there are no mental entities or processes. Cf. reductive materialism
empiricism: the theory that all knowledge is based on experience. Cf. rationalism
epicureanism: a leading Hellenistic philosophical school that advocated an atomistic metaphysics and a hedonistic ethics
epistemological naturalism: the thesis that the supernatural lies beyond the scope of what we can know, hence theology is rejected as a source of knowledge
epistemology: the theory of knowledge
ethical naturalism: the theory that the ethical properties of situations depend on the nature of those situations. Cf. divine command theory. See also autonomy of ethics
Euthyphro problem: a dilemma posed in the Platonic dialogue The Euthyphro and used as a critique of religiously based ethics. See also autonomy of ethics; divine command theory; voluntarism
fine-tuning argument: a teleological argument based on the alleged improbability that the fundamental physical constants in the universe are compatible with life. See also teleological argument
free-will defense: the response to the argument from evil that evil is the result of free will and cannot be blamed on God. See also argument from evil; theodicy
impossibility argument: an a priori argument against the existence of God that purports to show that the concept of God is inconsistent. See also argument from indexicals; paradox of the stone
indexical: a type of expression whose meaning varies with the context; e.g., “I,” “here,” “now.” See also argument from indexicals
intelligent design theory: a theory that does not reject Darwin’s theory completely but maintains that evolution needs to be explained in terms of the working out of some intelligent design
Kalam cosmological argument for atheism: an argument that purports to show that according to the latest scientific cosmology, the origin of
the universe is incompatible with the existence of God. Cf. Kalam cosmological argument for God

Kalam cosmological argument for God: an argument that maintains that the most plausible explanation for the universe coming into being is that God brought it into existence. Cf. Leibniz cosmological argument

knowledge by acquaintance: knowledge based on direct experience. Cf. propositional knowledge

Leibniz cosmological argument: an argument attributed to Leibniz that the whole series of contingent beings that make up the universe requires an external cause that is not contingent but necessary and that this cause is God

logical positivism: a philosophical movement in Anglo-American philosophy in the 1930s and ’40s advocating the rejection of metaphysics because it is unverifiable and hence meaningless. Both belief in God and disbelief in God are thought to be meaningless. See also metaphysics; negative atheism

metaphysics: the philosophical investigation of the nature, composition, and structure of ultimate reality

miracle: an event that is not explainable by laws of nature known or unknown. See also argument from miracles

modus ponens: the argument form: If A, then B; A therefore B

modus tollens: the argument form: If A, then B; not-B therefore not-A

mystical experience: religious experience that transcends ordinary sense perception and purports to be a direct experience of ultimate reality

naturalism: the view that everything that exists is composed of natural entities and processes that can in principle be studied by science

naturalized epistemology: an approach that views human beings as natural entities and uses the methods of science to study epistemological processes; sometimes considered a branch of cognitive science

negative atheism: absence of belief in any god or gods. More narrowly conceived, it is the absence of belief in the theistic God. Cf. positive atheism. See also logical positivism

neo-Darwinian theory: a synthesis of Darwin’s theory and genetic theory

Occam’s razor: a methodological principle advocating simplicity in theory construction

omnibenevolence: the property attributed to God of being all good

omnipotence: the property attributed to God of being all powerful

omniscience: the property attributed to God of being all knowing

ontological argument: an a priori argument that maintains that God’s existence is true by definition

ontology. See metaphysics

out-of-body experiences: the experience of floating free of one’s body; used by believers as evidence of an immaterial soul

pantheism: the view that God is identical with nature. Cf. deism; theism
paradox of the stone: if God can make a stone that he cannot lift, he is not all-powerful; but if he cannot make such a stone, he is also not all-powerful. See also impossibility argument

paranormal phenomena: phenomena such as ESP, clairvoyance, and psychokinesis that at the present time are unexplainable in terms of science

physicalism: the claim that minds are not distinct from matter and hence cannot exist apart from it. See also reductive materialism; supervenience theory

polytheism: the view that there are many gods

positive atheism: disbelief in any God or gods. More narrowly conceived, it is disbelief in the theistic God. Cf. negative atheism

postmodernism: a complex set of reactions to modern philosophy and its assumption that typically opposes foundationalism, fixed binary categories that describe rigorously separable regions, and essentialism and affirms a radical and irreducible pluralism

problem of evil: the problem of why there appears to be gratuitous evil although God is all-powerful and all-good. See also argument from evil

procedural knowledge: knowing how to do something. Cf. knowledge by acquaintance; propositional knowledge

propositional knowledge: factual knowledge that something is, was, or will be the case. Cf. knowledge by acquaintance; procedural knowledge

psychokinesis: the ability to affect physical objects without physical contact by using powers of the mind

rationalism: the theory that reason is the primary source of knowledge. Cf. empiricism

reductive materialism: the theory that mental states and processes are identical with brain states and processes. Cf. eliminative materialism; supervenience theory

religious experience: a wide variety of experiences, such as hearing voices and having visions, of supernatural beings such as God, angels, and Satan

skeptical agnosticism: the rejection of both belief and disbelief in God because there are no good arguments for or against such belief. Cf. cancellation agnosticism

Sophists: a group of itinerant teachers of rhetoric and philosophy in ancient Greece

supervenience theory: the theory that when a certain physical state obtains, so does a certain mental state. Cf. eliminative materialism; reductive materialism

teleological argument: an argument for the existence of God based on the apparent design and order in the universe. Also called the argument from design. See also fine-tuning argument. Cf. cosmological argument
theism: belief in an omnipotent, omniscient, omnibenevolent, personal God who created the universe, takes an active interest in the world, and has given a special revelation to humans. Cf. deism

theodicy: a theory attempting to explain the problem of evil and answer the argument from evil. See also argument from evil; free-will defense

verificationism: the theory that the meaning of a statement consists in its method(s) of verification; usually associated with logical positivism

voluntarism: the view that something's being good depends on God's will. See also Euthyphro problem