Introduction

IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY, a Kongolese woman possessed by Saint Anthony led a mass movement to restore the Kingdom of Kongo. The movement was violently suppressed by the religious and political authorities of the country, and she was burned at the stake as a witch and heretic in 1706 – but not before she had drawn thousands of people to her in the ruins of the country’s ancient capital.

Dona Beatriz Kimpa Vita’s religious and political movement is surprisingly little known outside narrow academic circles. Even though the movement has been discussed quite widely by Africanists since the 1960s, its full implications for the history of Africa and the slave trade to America have not been explored in popular history. Dona Beatriz’ movement, although primarily aimed at ending a long-lasting civil war and reestablishing a broken monarchy, can also be seen as a popular movement directed against the slave trade in Africa at the time of the export slave trade. Yet up to the present, it has not fired much popular knowledge.

This neglect may be partly because West Africa is still regarded in the United States as the principal place of origin of African Americans, even though recent research shows that as many as one-quarter of all African Americans ultimately derive from central African (and mostly Kongolese) roots. Then, too, Dona Beatriz’ movement, with its possessed saint and Christian ideology, often seems too embarrassingly bizarre or too atypical of African culture to appeal to American conceptions. Although...
most Americans are comfortable with the idea of Muslim Africans in the slave trade period, they seem much less comfortable with Christian Africans. A literate elite, dressing partially in European clothes, bearing Portuguese names, and professing Catholicism seems somehow out of place in the popular image of precolonial Africa.

In spite of this image, however, Kongo was an important contributor to the population of the Americas, thanks to the civil wars which Dona Beatriz’ movement sought to end. Although the great burst of slaves from Kongo directed to North America (and particularly South Carolina) lay about fifteen years later than Dona Beatriz’ death, the issues raised in her day were very much a part of the much less well-known period that followed. The Stono Rebellion of South Carolina in 1739, led by Catholic Congolese slaves, marked the end of the burst and may have involved the working out of some of the issues raised by Dona Beatriz, as did the Haitian revolution in even greater force a half-century later.¹

Whereas Americans might find Dona Beatriz’ movement interesting because of its implications for the population of the New World, Dona Beatriz and her followers were not thinking primarily about the slave trade. For them, war spawned many problems besides the slave trade – only one of a host of possibly damaging outcomes to conflict. The problems of war – displaced people, intransigent elites, and the absence of popular checks on rulers – remain with Africa today, even if the specific threat of the slave trade is no longer present. In this way, Dona Beatriz prefigures modern African democracy movements as much as she can be seen as an antislavery figure.

The years of Dona Beatriz’ movement are some of the best-documented in Kongo’s history, which itself is probably the best described country in Atlantic Africa in the period. This documentation is a product of the convergence of Italian Capuchin mis-

missionaries on the eastern part of Kongo and their production of lengthy and detailed diaries of their lives there. Luca da Caltanissetta and especially Marcellino d’Atri produced two long (more than 500 pages together) diary-type accounts of the earlier periods of Dona Beatriz’ life. The two were often independent witnesses to the same events as they frequently served together. When they left in 1701–2, Bernardo da Gallo and Lorenzo da Lucca, who left briefer but nevertheless detailed accounts, took their places. Dona Beatriz’ own movement is described in da Gallo’s report to the Propaganda Fide, written in 1710, and da Lucca’s annual letters to the Capuchin province of Tuscany of 1706 and 1707. In addition to this eyewitness documentation, the archives contain a number of shorter documents that illuminate the period, one of the most important being the report of the Jesuit priest Pedro Mendes written in 1710 and giving historical background from 1665.

In all this mass of documentation, however, there is not a very strong Kongolese contribution. While some periods, notably the first half of the sixteenth century, are almost wholly presented in documents written by Kongolese, only one letter, written by Pedro IV and included in da Gallo’s report, survives from a Kongolese author and deals directly with the period of the crisis.

Yet the Kongolese elite was literate, and the Capuchin accounts frequently mention their correspondence and even their recourse to archives. But this material may not have survived, and if it has, at present its location is not known. Eva Sebastyén’s discovery of numerous seventeenth- and eighteenth-century documents in private hands and in local archives in the Dembos regions of Angola (just south of Kongo) in 1987–8 gives us hope that there are documents of eighteenth-century date still to be found in northern Angola that might remedy this situation. At present, however, we learn of the movement mostly through Italian priests.

The Capuchin priests were not impartial observers of the Kongolese scene, and indeed their actions helped to precipitate the movement itself. In the current situation, though, the modern scholar has little choice but to try to read between the lines and hope that the Kongolese viewpoint can be surmised. Sometimes
it has been necessary to make use of modern observation and
documentation to interpret or expand what the Capuchins tell us.
Ever since the missionaries of the late nineteenth century began
teaching literacy in Kikongo, the Kongolese have produced no
small number of self-ethnographies, most notably the thousands
of pages produced for the Swedish missionary Karl Laman in the
eyarly twentieth century, and more modern work by such Kon­
golese writers as Bahelele Ndimansa, Simon Bockie, and Fu-kiau
Bunseki. Unfortunately, most of these writers came from regions
within the Kikongo-speaking world that had never been a part of
the Kingdom of Kongo.

Students of Catholic missionaries, especially Jesuits and Re­
demptorists, often from within the boundaries of the old king­
dom, have produced works on local history and early­
twentieth-century customs. Of this smaller corpus, the most in­
fuential is probably the unpublished account, of 1910, of Mpetelo
Boka, whose work underlies much of the ethnographic and tradi­
tional accounts of the missionary-historian Jean Cuvelier.3

Kongolese writers since the early twentieth century have re­
corded in writing, usually in Kikongo, historical information that
was once transmitted orally. Along with their long tradition of
literacy, the Kongolese maintained an oral tradition with histor­
ical implications, particularly the histories of families and clans.
Cuvelier collected and published hundreds of these accounts,
both from Kongolese texts and from his own interviews in the
1920s and 1930s.4 The traditions that he and others recorded,

2 Bahelele Ndimansa, Lusansu ye fu mu Kongo tekila mvu 1900 (Kinshasa,
(1977); Kizomzi ye ntekolo andi Makundu; André Fu-kiau Bunseki, N’Kongo
ye nza yakundilia (Kinshasa, 1966); Simon Bockie, Death and the Invisible
Powers (Bloomington, Ind., 1993).

3 Mpetelo Boka, “Nsosani a Kinguli,” 1910 MS, in Archiven der Paters
Redemptoren, Leuven, portion was published by Jean Cuvelier in
“Mambu ma Kinza Nkulu mu Nsi a Kongo,” Kukiele 2 (1929): 11–12. A
partial French translation appeared in Cuvelier, “Traditions Congo­
laises,” Congo 2 (1930–1), and L’ancien royaume du Congo (Brussels, 1946; 
originally published in Flemish in 1941).

de Munck]. The content of the book first began appearing serially in the
missionary newspaper, Kukiele, in 1928 under the title “Mambu ma Kinza
however, do not tell us much about life in Kongo before about 1800. Moreover, the most intensive collection and publication of traditions related more to Kongo’s northern provinces in modern Zaire (renamed Congo in 1997), and less from the region where Dona Beatriz lived and worked as well as from the lands of the great royal families of Kongo that lay in Angola. More may yet be gleaned from such sources when systematic research is again possible in northern Angola.

The Kongolese writers of orally transmitted history and ethnography have been joined by Western writers, primarily missionaries like Cuvelier and by anthropologists like John Janzen and Wyatt MacGaffey, who have mined Kikongo sources and conducted fieldwork. Although much of this work also concerns regions north of the old Kingdom of Kongo, it can still help scholars to understand the ideological world of the eighteenth-century Kongo presented in the Capuchin accounts. By using these studies, one hopes to see beyond the prejudices of the missionary sources.

The book that follows is a narrative based largely on the eye-witness observations of the primary sources. The records of the four principal sources – Marcellino d’Atri, Luca da Caltanisetta, Lorenzo da Lucca, and Bernardo da Gallo – are all arranged chronologically in diary or letter format, so that they lend them-

Nkulu mu Nsi a Kongo” [Matters of the Ancient World of the Land of Kongo] and ran until 1933, but the book was organized differently and contained more information. A supplement, composed of a lengthy clan history called “Nkutama . . .” by a catechist Gustave Nenga, came out in 1935, apparently forming the “2d edition,” and a partial “3d edition” was serialized in 1948–9. Cuvelier only rarely stated the sources of the information, although some can be located through study in the Redemptorist Archives. My thanks to the archivist, Father Joseph Roosen, for his help in locating material and his knowledge of the language, environment, and history of the Redemptorists.

Joseph de Munck, however, did visit both the São Salvador region and that around Kibangu expressly to collect oral traditions, some of which he published. They were revealed to be more or less of the same nature as those in Nkutama (see de Munck, “Notes sur un voyage au Kongo dia Ntotila” in Ngongo 3 [1960], no. 8, and “Quelques clans bakongo d’Angola,” ibid. 4 [1960]). The Kikongo versions of these traditions were added to the 4th edition of Nkutama, edited by de Munck, which appeared in 1972.
selves readily to narrative style. They are very rich in details, and even in conversation, for this crucial period of Kongo’s history. Because sources of this kind are very rare in eighteenth-century African history, even in the history of Kongo which is already unusually well documented, it is likely this will be a striking narrative for Africanists who are not familiar with these particular sources. Throughout I have followed them very closely, although, at times, interrupting the flow for interpretative passages and presenting the details from a different point of view. The book has very few footnotes, as I have chosen to identify the source from which I have drawn my tale only when it is necessary to switch to another source or make commentary. Readers who wish to consult the original sources will have little difficulty, for all this, in locating the passages I have used. I have made one significant alteration, however, which needs to be noted. Much of the dialogue in this book is presented in the sources as reported speech or after the fact, along the lines of “He said that he would come . . .” which I have altered to “He said, ‘I will come . . .’ ” In all such cases, however, my alterations of the quoted material extend only to changing personal pronouns from third to first person, and to altering verbs from past to present. The effect is a great gain in immediacy without, I think, changes in the material presented in the sources.

The interpretation of this book is not substantially different from the one I presented in 1979,6 which broke with the then prevalent interpretation of Dona Beatriz’ movement as a nationalist one in a “semicolonial” context.7 In addition to adopting a narrative style, I have been able to add a great deal of detail by consulting d’Atri’s account, which was unavailable to me then. Other scholars have touched on the movement since then in a variety of contexts, sometimes not in ways identical to my inter-

6 In my UCLA Ph.D. thesis, subsequently published as John Thornton, The Kingdom of Kongo: Civil War and Transition, 1641–1718 (Madison, Wis., 1983). Also see “‘I am the Subject.’”
pretation, but generally not in ones that would cause me to alter the interpretation of my earlier work. Dona Beatriz’ movement has inspired some popularization, for example a play by the Ivoirian writer Bernard Dadié, a popular story for young readers by Ibrahima Kaké, and even a plea for her canonization by R. Batsikama. The narrative here advances on my and the other scholars’ work, primarily in fixing the context of the movement more precisely in time, and proposes a chain of events leading to the immediate crisis in Dona Beatriz’ life that led to her mission. My primary goal, however, is not to break new interpretative ground but to present a narrative account of the movement in a way that is accessible to a nonacademic audience.

INTRODUCTION

ORThOGRAPHY AND PRONUNCIATION

I have written all names and terms in European languages according to modern orthographic rules. This includes the Christian names of the Kongoles, although as is noted in the text, their phonology would not be the same as for Europeans.

There is no standardized modern orthography for Kikongo as the language has several dialects and is spoken in three different modern countries. For this book I have modernized these words

6 António Custodio Gonçalves, La symbolisation politique: le “prophetisme” Kongo au XVIIIème siécle (Munich, 1980), subsequently developed in Kongo, Le linage contre l’état (Évora, Portugal, 1985); Celestine Goma Fou­tou, Histoire des civilisations du Congo (Paris, 1981), pp. 305–13; Anne Hilton, The Kingdom of Kongo (Oxford, 1985); Wyatt MacGaffey, Religion and Society in Central Africa: The BaKongo of Lower Zaire (Chicago, 1986). I have followed with interest, but ultimately not accepted, the contention that the present-day cult of Saint Mary in Soyo and the Antonian movement are connected, advanced by Henrique Abranches in his Sobre os Basolongo: Arqueologia da Tradição Oral (Gand, Belgium, 1991), pp. 49–52; 69–75 (also notes on pp. 84–5), using local research; nor the more elaborate contention on Beatriz’ origin and itinerary presented fictionally in his Misericórida para o Reino do Congo! (Lisbon, 1996).

7 Bernard Dadié, Béatrice du Congo (Paris, 1970); Ibrahima Baba Kaké, Dona Béatrice: La Jeanne d’Arc congolaise (Paris, 1976). I have not been able to locate or consult the plea for her elevation to sainthood proposed by R. Batsikama, Ndona Béatrice: Serait-elle témoin du Christ et de la foi du vieux Congo? (Kinshasa, Congo, 1970).
according to usage in works written by the early-twentieth-century Kongolese writers, the English Baptist missionaries of São Salvador, and the Belgian Redemptorist missionaries whose language corresponds most closely to the modern form of the dialect of Dona Beatriz’ home in the Kingdom of Kongo. The dialect, vocabulary, and grammar of this language were first established in the catechism of 1624, prepared by native speakers for use by Jesuit missionaries, but using modified Portuguese orthography. It is possible to demonstrate that some phonological changes (e.g. use of “v” for the bilabial “b”) and grammatical changes (loss of singular class prefixes on the some words and loss of the ku-class altogether on infinitives of verbs) have taken place since Dona Beatriz’ day, but I have used modern forms in all cases.

I have sought most of the historical terms and usages from the material written in Kikongo by the early-twentieth-century Kongolese historians and their missionary compilers and synthesizers such as Cuvelier and de Munck. I have also followed the grammatical rules of the Mbanza Kongo/Zombo dialect in which these texts are written, although I have omitted the use of the articles “o” and “e,” which are very common in this dialect and sometimes attached to words.

In presenting Kikongo terms, I have not pluralized according to the rules of Kikongo. Like many other related languages in central and southern Africa, Kikongo pluralizes by changing the prefix of nouns, according to their membership in a number of noun classes. This system is unfamiliar to most readers, so I have adopted a seventeenth-century convention used by both European and Kongolese writers of the time, of pluralizing the singular form of the noun, with its singular class prefix, according to English rules.

10 Notably in Cuvelier’s presentation of Kongo’s history in Kukiele, “Mambu ma Kinza” and “Lusansu lua Nsi a Kongo” and in Joseph de Munck, Kinkulu kia nsi eto Kongo [History of Our Country Kongo] (Tumba, Congo, 1956; 2d edition, Matadi, Congo, 1971). I have also read Diawaku dia Nseyila, Binfumu Binkulul bia Nzanza (Kinshasa, 1986), an abridgment and paraphrased translation of Jan Vansina, Kingdoms of the Savanna (Madison, Wis., 1966; original French, 1965), but have not followed his usage, which reflects a more northern dialect.
I have also followed these rules in giving ethnonyms, so that the inhabitants of the Kingdom of Kongo are Kongolese, not, as would be correct in their language, Esikongo or Besikongo (singular Mwisikongo). In this case, I have dropped class prefixes and used English rules for creating ethnonyms from the resulting root.

Readers unfamiliar with Kikongo might consider the following for pronunciation: the consonants are all pronounced more or less as in English, and the vowels all have the “Italian” values, that is, \( a \) as “a” in “father,” \( e \) as the “ay” in “hay,” \( i \) as the “ea” in “peach,” \( o \) as the “o” in “phone,” and \( u \) as the “u” in “glue.”

Nasal clusters like “nz,” “nk,” or “mb” give nonspeakers the most trouble, since the tendency is to try to pronounce the “n” and then the following letter. Usually this creates something like “imbanza” or “inkisi” (for \textit{mbanza} and \textit{nkisi}), which are less correct than if one simply treats the initial “m” or “n” as if it were silent, as in “banza” for \textit{mbanza} or “kisi” for \textit{nkisi}. In regular spoken Kikongo the initial sound is often not pronounced or is pronounced so softly and quickly that it almost disappears. However, it is frequently quite noticeable when it occurs after a final vowel in whole Kikongo sentences, where it elides to produce something like “ganga ankisi” (\textit{nganga a nkisi}) meaning “priest” or after a definite article as in “onganga ankisi” (\textit{0 nganga a nkisi}) meaning “the priest.” For purposes of reading this text, however, one is rarely called upon to produce sentences, and so it is best to leave it unpronounced.
1

A Land in Turmoil

IN AUGUST OF 1704, DONA BEATRIZ KIMPA VITA, a twenty-year-old Kongolese woman, lay deathly ill upon her bed. For seven days she had been sick. Sweat poured from her feverish body and wild visions flashed in her head. She knew now she was dying. Then, suddenly, she became calm, and a clear vision appeared to her. It was a man dressed in the simple blue hooded habit of a Capuchin monk, so real that he seemed to be standing in the room with her. She turned to him, transfixed.

“I am Saint Anthony, firstborn son of the Faith and of Saint Francis,” he told her, “I have been sent from God to your head to preach to the people. You are to move the restoration of the Kingdom of Kongo forward, and you must tell all who threaten you that dire punishments from God await them.” He told her he had tried for a long time to help Kongo, going from one province to another. “First I had gone into the head of a woman who was in Nseto, but I had to leave as the people there did not receive me well. Then I left Nseto and went to Soyo where I entered the head of an old man. But there was a Reverend Father stationed there, and the people wanted to beat me, so again I fled. Then I went to Bula, and the same thing happened again. I am trying once more, this time in Kibangu, and I have chosen you to do this.”

With those words, the vision of the saint moved toward her, entered into her head and merged with her. She felt herself recover. Her strength returned. In fact, she felt in vibrant good health, strong and in good spirits. She rose from her bed, full of