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Struggles for Homeplace

You really just haven’t seen how some people are living over here. We have potholes over here large enough for a small child to fall in. . . And we have roof leaks. It doesn’t make sense for somebody to be living like [this].

Beatrice Harris, Wentworth Gardens Local Advisory Council president

The resident Beatrice Harris, one of the key figures in local organizing efforts at Wentworth Gardens, is speaking with great dismay about the abysmal living conditions of her “homeplace,” a 422-unit low-rise Chicago Housing Authority (CHA) family development on the South Side. The time is the late 1980s, when Wentworth, as in other CHA developments, is beleaguered by two decades of underfunding and inept management. Daily life in CHA family developments is beset by inefficient and inadequate buildings and grounds maintenance, and insufficient social, recreational, and educational services to meet the residents’ needs (see also Kotlowitz, 1991; Slayton, 1988; Venkatesh, 2000).

When we began our fieldwork in 1989, we too were struck by the deterioration of Wentworth Gardens’ buildings and grounds. Signs of dilapidated and unsafe physical conditions were visible throughout the development. The brick building exteriors were cracked. The concrete overhangs were scaling, and large pieces had broken off. Lead paint was peeling, and lights in the public entries and stairwells were in disrepair. The concrete sidewalks, exterior stairs, and retaining walls had huge cracks and large missing sections or were missing altogether. Excavated holes exposing rusted, broken heating pipes with steam bursting out were common. Children’s play equipment in each of the development’s courtyards was dismantled, leaving behind fractured concrete and big crevices that posed hazards for small children; extensive expanses of dirt with only patches of grass covered the development’s central court.

Despite the best attempts of residents to decorate and keep their apartments clean, interior walls and ceilings showed signs of water leaks and
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mold. Deteriorated plumbing pipes and fixtures, roofs that had not been replaced, and brick walls that had not been tuckpointed since occupancy resulted in water damage in the apartments. Gerry-rigged electric wires making up for inadequate electric service, exposed heating pipes, sewage backup in the sinks and toilets, and lead paint in the apartments created health hazards; so too did the periodic floods, and rats and feral cats in the basements.

Records showed that Wentworth’s buildings and grounds were cited for over 1,000 building code violations. Virtually none was repaired. Deferred maintenance had become such a serious problem that, as of 1991, a CHA report claimed that approximately $11 million would be required to repair and raise Wentworth’s physical plant up to standard (On-Site Insight, 1991).

Physical deterioration was not the only threat to the Wentworth community’s viability. Less obvious to the onlooker, but equally important, children’s recreational and educational programs were inadequate, and the on-site field house required renovation and expansion. Social services were inadequate given the residents’ needs. Wentworth residents voiced the urgent necessity for adult job training, day-care and after-school programs, young adult recreation and employment, and drug rehabilitation programs. Police protection was less than adequate, although crime at Wentworth was a less serious problem than in CHA’s other family developments. Also as in other low-income communities, Wentworth’s neighborhood was underserved by retail stores.

The deteriorated conditions at Wentworth Gardens were common to public housing nationwide. Whereas housing reformers had high hopes for public housing in the United States at its inception in the 1930s, by the 1980s, most deemed it a failure. Critics and researchers generally have agreed that the pressing problems facing the federal government’s public housing program at the turn of the 21st century are underfunding; concentration and social and physical isolation of poor minority families, typically in undesirable and inaccessible locations; inappropriate building designs (especially high-rise structures, and “nondefensible” public exterior and interior spaces); crime and vandalism; lack of tenant selection and income mix; and again, ineffective maintenance and management, and inadequate or inaccessible services and employment opportunities (for a review, see Bauman, 1994). Notably, Chicago’s public housing, although having the third largest number of units in the country (Popkin, Gwiasda, Olson, Rosenbaum, & Buron, 2000), is considered “among the worst in the

1 Tuckpointing is a process to replace and repair the mortar between the bricks of the exterior walls in order to prevent water from seeping into the interior of the building.
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nation – poorly constructed, poorly maintained, and extremely dangerous” (Popkin et al., 2000, p. 1).²

Wentworth Gardens residents have not passively acquiesced to these worsening conditions; rather, for four decades, starting in the 1960s, they have been persistently engaged in sustained grassroots efforts to stem the deterioration of their buildings, grounds, services, and programs. In 1988, however, Wentworth activists’ resolve was pressed to its limits. The Wentworth Gardens development was threatened with demolition, first to make way for a new White Sox stadium; shortly thereafter, to comply with federal pressures to demolish public housing units nationwide. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) required a viability test of all public housing in 1996, calling for unviable units to be razed. In Chicago, this is the vast majority of units.

In The Dignity of Resistance: Women Residents’ Activism in Chicago Public Housing, we describe the history of Wentworth resident–initiated individual and collective actions to alter living conditions that have threatened their housing’s and their community’s viability. The Dignity of Resistance is foremost Wentworth activists’ story of their daily struggles to meet their own and their neighbors’ needs for survival and to save their homes from demolition. Second, it is an analysis of their resistance against increasing government disinvestment in public housing and social services, and the growing poverty in their community. It explains why and how Wentworth resident activists, African-American women representing over 1,200 people, mostly low-income female-headed families, carefully and effectively took on the responsibility for creating a better future for themselves and their neighbors.

The central questions we address in this book are, What has motivated Wentworth Gardens residents, individually and communally, to initiate and sustain their involvement in grassroots efforts to improve and defend their home? What strategies have these residents used? What resources, both personal and collective, as well as outside resources, have they needed? What have been the essential challenges and accomplishments of their efforts? We interpret the answers to these questions within a resistance theoretical framework, especially focusing on the role that public housing has played in the expression and imposition of both “power” and “resistance.”

UNCOVERING PUBLIC HOUSING WOMEN RESIDENTS’ ACTIVISM

For the general public and for policymakers, the role that Wentworth public housing women have played in saving their homes is unexpected. The

² Only New York City and Puerto Rico public housing family developments have more units (Popkin et al., 2000).
popular images of public housing are of an embattled war zone (Garbarino, Kostenlny, & Durbrow, 1993). As early as 1958, the journalist Harrison Salisbury described New York’s public housing as “human catchpools … that breed social ills” (Williams & Kornblum, 1994). In a 12-part series, the Chicago Tribune (December 1986) called its city’s public housing “The Chicago Wall … a physical barrier of brick and steel and concrete that separates black from white, rich from poor, hope from despair” (p. 1); and the Public Broadcasting System’s documentary about life in the largest CHA development, Robert Taylor Homes, A Crisis on Federal Street (aired January 6, 1989, in Chicago), depicted residents as both helpless and predatory, and their housing environment as irreparable. With increasing frequency, the media have supported the call for dismantling public housing.

Social science research focused on residents’ experiences of public housing also tends to be unfavorable and most often concentrates on big-city high-rise developments. In William Moore, Jr.’s (1969), observations of life in a midwestern high rise project, he described a “vertical ghetto,” where residents were “living on segregated ‘islands of poverty.’” In his study of the Pruitt Igoe high-rise project in Saint Louis, Lee Rainwater (1970) called these towers “federal slums” and described “fractured social relations and pervasive fear of physical and emotional violence.” Often cited studies of life in public housing depict residents as on the defensive, attempting to protect themselves from surrounding and increasingly internal human and physical threats (Newman, 1972; Popkin et al., 2000; Rainwater, 1970). More generally, social scientists typically characterize low-income people as helpless and apathetic victims of despair (reviewed in Kieffer, 1984; Naples, 1988; also see Rappaport, 1981). Although scholars refrain from “blaming the victim,” they portray an oppressed, alienated, passive, and powerless resident population victimized by stigmatization, poverty, and racism.

In Devereaux Bowley’s (1978) history of Chicago public housing, he criticizes the “paternalistic philosophy” of both social reformers and public officials toward the poor, in particular those living in public housing, noting:

The residents were treated like children, and the tragedy is that for some it was the self-fulfilling prophecy – they acted like children and were satisfied to have public housing and welfare policies control their lives. Public housing thus tended to perpetuate a permanent class of dependent people, unable to fend for themselves. (p. 224)

It is presumed that low-income people, and especially public housing residents, are incapable of forming and participating in active, productive community (Venkatesh, 2000).

Our research at Wentworth Gardens does not support these blanket generalizations about public housing residents or their experiences; nor does the research documenting the struggles of low-income women who
have historically fought, and continue to fight, for safe and decent shelter and adequate services (see also Birch, 1978; Keys 1991; Lawson & Barton 1980; Leavitt & Saegert, 1990; Weisman, 1992; Wekerle, 1980). The sparse current research focused specifically on public housing residents’ activism illustrates an alternative portrayal: Patricia O’Brien (1995) analyzed the persistent and energetic abilities of a dozen African-American women to manage their public housing development in Kansas; Myrna Brietbart and Ellen Pader (1995) described the prominent role Boston public housing’s women residents played in the redevelopment of Columbia Point into the mixed-income Harbor Point development; and Jacqueline Leavitt (1993) documented women public housing residents’ successful efforts in Los Angeles to provide their youth with a sorely needed playground. Other current research on public housing (Popkin et al., 2000; Venkatesh, 2000), although focused on other issues, similarly has noted the role women residents play in working to improve their developments. Even with these inquiries, public housing women residents’ efforts remain largely invisible.

Feminist social science and empowerment scholars are challenging the invisibility of low-income women’s grassroots struggles by broadening the standard conceptualization of politics. Patricia Hill Collins (1991) speaks about some of the problems with conventional researchers’ portrayal of the political process, especially for African-American women:

Social science research has ignored Black women’s actions in both the struggle for group survival and institutional transformation. . . . White male conceptualizations of the political process produce definitions of power, activism, and resistance that fail to capture the meaning of these concepts in Black women’s lives. (p. 141)

Traditional research and dominant cultural portrayals of politics focus on the public, official actors and workings of government, not only ignoring, but devaluing, community-based struggles of people to gain control over their lives: struggles in which women traditionally have played major roles. In contrast, feminist scholarship elaborates upon the ways in which people’s race, class, and gender account both for their community-based needs and also for the nature of their involvement in grassroots politics (cf. Bookman & Morgen, 1988; Feldman & Stall, 1994; Gittell, Ortega-Bustamante, & Steffy, 1999; Haywoode, 1991; Leavitt & Saegert, 1990; Naples, 1998a, 1998b; Stall & Stoecker, 1998; West & Blumberg, 1990). Feminist researchers recognize that poor and working-class women, and in particular women of color, cannot rely on culturally normative routes of electoral politics nor financial resources to work in their best interests; rather, they gain their power from the bottom up, through involvement in collective grassroots activism. In low-income and working-class neighborhoods women generally, although not exclusively, have struggled for better schools, improved housing conditions, and safer communities
and have challenged urban renewal and downtown growth priorities to save and renew their deteriorating neighborhoods (cf. Gittell et al., 1999; Naples, 1998a). Similarly, in public housing developments across the country, women residents have constituted the overwhelming majority of grassroots activists, organizing for improved building and site conditions, social and youth services, employment opportunities, and the very survival of their developments.

**Homeplace as a Site of Resistance**

Despite the increased attention by feminist scholars to the political dimensions of grassroots activism, the physical settings in which, and often over which, power struggles are manifest are largely overlooked (Feldman & Stall, 1994). Grassroots activism is implicitly place-bound: That is, the networks of relationships and the activism that they support are located in and may involve conflict over places. Sheila Radford-Hill in *Further to Fly: Black Women and the Politics of Empowerment* (2000) argues for an “applied feminism” that both explicates, appreciates, and supports the community-building processes of low-income women and “affirms and reclaims communities as actual spaces or locations where groups of people build reservoirs of activism and love” (p. xvi). As Radford-Hill does, we propose that the foundation of grassroots activism in low-income communities not only is substantially locally based, but often is intimately connected to ongoing struggles for rights to and control over spatial resources to sustain these communities. At Wentworth Gardens, the struggles for spatial resources that house and support everyday life – from the spaces to house needed services and programs in their community, and more generally to the buildings and grounds of their development – have been central to the residents’ community activism.

The starting point for our analysis is the work of bell hooks (1990) and her conceptualization of the role of the “homeplace” in the expression of both power and resistance in African-Americans’ lives. In her chapter, “Homeplace: A Site of Resistance,” hooks proposed that historically, African-Americans’ struggles to make and sustain a homeplace and community provided more than a domestic service or the necessities for everyday survival; it also had a “subversive value” as a source of resistance. She observed:

Historically, African-American people believed that the construction of a homeplace, however, fragile and tenuous (the slave hut, the wooden shack), had a radical political dimension. Despite the brutal reality of racial apartheid, of domination, one’s homeplace was the one site where one could freely confront the issue of humanization, where one could resist. Black women resisted by making homes where all black people could strive to be subjects, not objects, where we could be affirmed in our minds and hearts despite poverty, hardship, and deprivation, where
we could restore to ourselves the dignity denied us on the outside in the public world. (p. 42)

Their dwelling, no matter how simple, was typically the only physical setting that African-Americans could, with some reliability, call their own (also see Rainwater, 1966). Yet, hooks also recognizes the central importance of the experiences of “at-homeness.” Her descriptions of the “feeling of safety,” “of homecoming” (p. 41) upon arrival at one’s home, and of black women’s central responsibility in constructing “domestic households as spaces of care and nurturance” (p. 42) are indicators of experiences that are central to conceptualizations of at-homeness (Buttimer, 1980; Cooper, 1974; Csikszentmihalyi & Rochberg-Halton, 1981; Relph, 1976; Seamon, 1979; Tuan, 1977). hooks, however, views these experiences not as ends in and of themselves, but rather as central to conceptualizing homeplace as political – the construction of a safe, nurturing place “where people can return to themselves more easily, where the conditions are such that they can heal themselves and recover their wholeness” (hooks citing Thich Nhat Hahn, p. 43) in “the face of the brutal harsh reality of racist oppression, of sexist domination” (p. 42).

In The Dignity of Resistance we illustrate the importance of considering the homeplaces in which, and over which, everyday power struggles to maintain households and communities are manifest. We explain that public housing residents’ struggles for the material and spatial resources of their homeplace are a critical source of resistance in their lives. Furthermore, we introduce the concept of space appropriation in our theoretical analysis of resistance to elevate the importance of individuals’ and groups’ creation, choice, possession, modification, enhancement of, care for, and/or simply intentional use of space in grassroots activism.

Our conceptualization of the role of space appropriation in the expression of everyday resistance is grounded in the well known work of Foucault (1979). He proposed that cultural discourses about power are transformed into actual power relations in bounded space and architectural forms. Manzo and Wolfe (1990), in interpreting Foucault’s work, proposed that places are not only the site of power, of the assertion of dominance; they are also the site of resistance: “[A]s power reveals itself it creates the possibility of resistance” (Manzo & Wolfe, 1990, p. 4). They extend Foucault’s theories to interpret the ways in which places reflect both “the desires of some groups of people to reproduce the social order in which they are dominant” and “the attempts by those without such power to resist and survive in a way that is meaningful in their lives” (Wolfe, 1990, p. 3). Wentworth Gardens activists’ efforts, as do other women’s grassroots organizing actions, problematize the conventionally defined distinction between public and private life. American culture has been conceptualized as separate public and private spheres that split the “public” formal
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economic and government work done by men and sited in urban public space, from the "private" work done by women and housed in the home and neighborhood (Saegert, 1989; Tilly & Scott, 1978). Women of color and low income women, however, have expanded the boundaries of caring for families beyond the private household into the expanded public sphere as they raised and nurtured children in extended family networks within communities struggling for survival (Collins, 1991; Stack, 1974). Through their grassroots organizing, they similarly have extended "the boundaries of the household to include the neighborhood," ultimately to "dissolve the boundaries between public and private life, between household and civil society" (Haywoode, 1991, p. 175).

Women resident activists are industrious individuals who initiate collective actions to create and sustain their community and homeplace and to engender efforts to redress social and economic inequalities and injustices. In our research analyses we have identified two modes of Wentworth women resident activists’ resistance: their ongoing efforts of everyday resistance in the expanded private sphere and the extension of their efforts into transgressive resistance in the public sphere.

Engaging in everyday resistance, Wentworth resident activists have organized and participated in grassroots efforts to protect their community against the deterioration of the physical environment and social services necessary for everyday life. These women have drawn upon critical skills and strategies that they have cultivated through the everyday routine activities of maintaining their households and communities, that is, activities necessary to the "‘social reproduction’ of individual households as well as the social arrangements they make to protect, enhance, and preserve the cultural experiences of all members of the community” (Feldman & Stall, 1994, p. 192; see also Morgen & Bookman, 1988; Naples, 1988; Stall, 1991; Stoecker, 1992). The importance of women’s social reproduction work inside the home has been empirically documented and argued to be "a source of struggle and social change" (DeVault, 1991; Luxton, 1980; Hartmann, 1981); however, only recently has women’s social reproduction labor in the community been recognized as a type of political organizing and resistance (Morgan & Bookman, 1988; Haywoode, 1991).

5 The dominant ideal of family life in the mid-19th century, the “cult of domesticity,” idealized and confined women’s activities to the domestic private sphere. It sought to “protect” women and children from the corrupting influences and unsafe conditions of the public sphere by containing them in a safe, private haven (Cott, 1977). It is noteworthy, however, that African-American, Latina, and Asian-American women were excluded from the dominant ideal of the family and rather were treated as units of labor (Glenn et al., 1994).

4 Social reproduction is a concept that was developed by feminists as a critique of Marxist theory’s neglect to elaborate upon the notion of social-reproductive activities in the reproduction of labor power and its role in effecting historic change (Brenner & Laslett, 1986; Harding, 1981). Social-reproduction activities include the care of children, housework, the maintenance of physical and mental health, cooking, personal services, and education.
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To confront the increased scale of the problems they have faced and the increasing political and economic power of the actors with whom they have engaged, Wentworth activists’ have extended their resistance beyond the boundaries of their neighborhood and into the public sphere. Engaging in transgressive resistance, resident activists have instigated organized efforts and have created organizations to defend their community and homeplace against threats to its survival, and to assert their rights and protections as equal citizens in the polity. They have learned skills and strategies and have drawn on professional assistance to meet the requisites of these public sphere struggles. In resisting the destruction of their homeplace and community, Wentworth activists have contested the dominant ideologies of their identities as poor black women and defied the boundaries that separated them from the white male-dominated public sphere.

TELLING THE STORIES OF WENTWORTH GARDENS RESIDENTS’ ACTIVISM

As feminist action researchers we employed a method of research inquiry that is change-oriented. We wanted to balance the portrayal of public housing residents that has overwhelmingly emphasized residents’ deviance or their hardships and victimization. Instead of offering generalizations about the experiences of all Wentworth Gardens residents, we chose to focus our research on a core group of committed activists engaged in multiple and interconnected organizing efforts.

Both action and feminist research are interdisciplinary approaches that challenge the classic research paradigm with its emphasis on value-neutrality and objectivity. A major goal of action researchers is to “contribute . . . to the practical concerns of people in an immediate problematic situation” (Rappaport, 1970, p. 4). In a complementary, but more politicized manner, feminist researchers purport to engage in “research for women rather than about women” (Allen & Baber, 1992). The intention of feminist research is not merely to describe women’s lives, but to “begin to draw attention to the political and social struggles of women” (Small, 1995, p. 946). Feminist researchers stress the importance of utilizing knowledge to initiate social changes that will contribute to the positive enhancement of women’s lives.

In The Dignity of Resistance, we also have attempted to explicate the practical concerns and issues in the lives of the Wentworth activists and whenever possible to contribute to their positive resolution (see preface). Furthermore, it was our intention throughout this research process to challenge stereotypes about public housing residents, to expose and carefully analyze their accomplishments and the enormous challenges they confront, and to provide a more public forum for these heartening activists’ voices.
With our focus on practical problems embedded in a particular setting, we have, as the majority of action researchers have, utilized a sociological case-study method (Small, 1995). We wanted to uncover the many decades of residents’ grassroots activism preceding our entry into the field as well as their current organizing efforts. The case study method has proved to be the most effective means to investigate process (Becker, 1966), facilitating the explication of the historical causal process behind a particular event (Platt, 1984). The qualitative case study has allowed us to glean understandings of the “interconnected nature of people’s actions, their relationships to others and the places they live, and the changes that occur in all of these over time” (Saegert, 1989, p. 313). In particular, we used a multiple method approach (Castells, 1983; Henig, 1982), including interviews, focus groups, observations, and archival research to gain a breadth of understandings and greater reliability in our findings.

We conducted open-ended individual interviews with key Wentworth activists, 23 in total, who were active in organizing efforts during the 9 years of our field research. Using a snowball sample, we questioned the few activists we knew from our initial meetings with the residents to provide us with the names of other key Wentworth activists. Most of the interviews were conducted between 1992 and 1996. We also had follow-up interviews with several of the activists to investigate their understanding of the events that had transpired since our first interviews.

A biographical narrative approach (Naples, 1998b) guided our interviews with each resident: an overview of the resident’s family, educational, work, and residential history; a description of her life at Wentworth Gardens including her degree of connectedness with other residents and her level of satisfaction with living at Wentworth over time; an exploration of her community activism including the inspiration and motivations for her community work, and the particular actions she took; her understanding

---

5 We recognize the limitations to the generalizability of the case study methodology. First of all, grassroots resident activism is dependent on organizing efforts and key individuals that are tied to particular persons and places; hence, the specific conditions surrounding efforts at a specific time and place may not be similar in all low-income settings. We hope that the findings of this inquiry encourage further investigations with other public housing communities, as well as studies that compare the experiences of resident activists with those of residents who choose not to participate in grassroots organizing. The need remains to conduct further in-depth case study research as well as more quantitatively oriented studies offering statistical strength, and sample and site representativeness, to elaborate upon and corroborate the ways in which low-income women of color struggle to transform their housing environments into viable communities.

6 We interviewed the activists in the offices in Wentworth Gardens or, in a few cases, in their apartments. Each of the interviews lasted 1 to 2 hours. All interviews were audiotaped, transcribed, and coded. At the end of each interview residents were asked whether they wanted to be identified by their actual name or by a pseudonym. In all but one case, the actual names of the residents are used.
of current community problems; and her interests and hopes for the future of Wentworth Gardens. Nancy Naples (1998b) explains the advantages of this in-depth interview approach for the study of grassroots activism:

The biographical narrative approach offers a powerful method through which to explore the shifts in political consciousness and diverse political practices over time without artificially foregrounding any one dimension or influence. . . . Political activism is influenced by the dynamics of gender, race, ethnicity, class, and political culture that can only be understood through an embedded analysis that foregrounds local practices and individual perspectives. (pp. 8–9)

Again, as feminist, action researchers we valued the collaboration with resident activists and the community partners. Stephen Small (1995), in his overview of action-oriented research, recognized “the interdependence between the researcher and those researched” and the importance of “reducing the distance between the two” (p. 947). Models of action-oriented research share the assumption that both the researcher and the collaborator possess a distinct expertise and knowledge that are crucial for effectively engaging in the action research process (Susman & Evered, 1978). According to Small, “While the action researcher brings to the research process theoretical knowledge, experience, and the skills of conducting social science research, the participant collaborators bring practical knowledge and experience about the situations that are being studied” (p. 942). To gain a richer understanding of specific collective initiatives from the activists’ viewpoints, we had expanded conversations (i.e., three focus group interviews) with residents who were central to these particular organizing efforts: the early Resident Council, laundromat, and grocery store; the community gardens; and the South Armour Square Neighborhood Coalition. Additional collaborators in this research project were six technical assistants who worked closely with the residents on one or more organizing efforts. These individuals were also interviewed to assess their history of involvement and level of commitment to Wentworth Gardens, specific details about their involvement, and their observations and interpretations of the residents’ community activism.

As participant observers, but also to reduce the distance between the researcher-researched roles, we regularly attended meetings and events at Wentworth Gardens. Together with our three research assistants, we were active participant observers in over 100 on-site resident meetings, training sessions, workshops, and celebratory events from 1992 through 1998.7

---

7 Group interviews were audiotaped, transcribed, and coded.
8 We identified these technical assistants through our observations and knowledge of Wentworth activists’ initiatives. All technical assistants’ interviews were audiotaped, transcribed, and coded.
9 With the graduate students Lynne Moch, Lynne Westphal, and Nancy Hudspeth, we were active observers from 1992 through 1998 and recorded observations of 114 meetings and
Attendance at these numerous meetings, as did the focus group interviews, greatly expanded the number of resident activists who contributed to our research findings. Also essential to our study were data collected from a resident-conducted needs assessment survey sponsored by the Wentworth Resident Management Corporation (RMC) and a child-care program assessment, also by the RMC. Last, we consulted demographic data sources (e.g., CHA reports and census data); court documents; historical archival documents including CHA newsletters and residents’ newsletters; and reports from the news media. We officially concluded our fieldwork in 1998, and all data about the Wentworth residents (e.g., their ages and length of time in the development) and the physical state of the development, unless otherwise indicated, refer to that date.

In this case study of public housing women’s activism, we developed our interpretive framework through the qualitative method of grounded theory (Glaser & Strauss, 1967). This method of theory development relies on a data collection process that is controlled by emerging theory; in fact, it generates theory through the reciprocal processes of fieldwork and theory building. The researcher inductively builds up a systematic theory that is based on, or “grounded” in, the observations. This means that the researcher simultaneously “collects, codes, and analyzes… data and decides what data to collect next and where to find them, in order to develop… theory as it emerges” (Glaser & Strauss, 1967, p. 45; Huberman & Miles, 1994). Thus, initial decisions for theoretical data collection are based on a general problem area, rather than a preconceived theoretical framework, in this instance, an examination of the myriad ways that Wentworth women participate in grassroots activism to build community and appropriate their homeplace despite severe obstacles to their success. The importance of the grounded, qualitative interpretive method is that data can be obtained on ambiguous or contradictory areas of social life that cannot be easily tapped by more restricted data collection techniques or with a preconceived theoretical framework. Our theory building method also has the advantage of studying the experiences of particular people in particular places supporting ecologically valid interpretations consistent with transactional approaches to environment–behavior relationships (cf. Altman & Rogoff, 1987; Proshansky, 1978; Saegert, 1989). This position contends that it is only possible to understand human behavior in the context in which it occurs.

We bring an interdisciplinary approach to both inform and broaden the development of our theoretical concepts and our theoretical events. Comparison of our own field notes with each graduate student’s notes allowed us to assess the reliability of the observations. Field notes were analyzed for recurring themes and patterns. We attended other community events, particularly celebratory occasions, solely as participants, typically taking photographs.
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framework. We have found that to represent and understand Wentworth Garden women’s voices and actions adequately, we could not rely solely on a limited number of concepts nor on a narrow theoretical framework. For example, central to our research observations and interpretations are insights from environment and behavior studies, sociology, women’s studies, community psychology, and urban planning. Without the development of this multidisciplinary interpretive lens, we would have missed the complexity of Wentworth women’s resistance.

Who Are the Wentworth Gardens Activists?

Wentworth activists are predominantly older, long-term residents, a core of 20 to 30 women that has fluctuated over four decades of resident activism. The 23 activists we interviewed are African-American women who range in age from 26 to 79 years. Most of these women were married, but now, more than half were either widowed or divorced. All were mothers, with an average of four children. (See appendix B for detailed resident activist demographic characteristics.) Fifteen were “older-generation activists,” with an average age of 65 years, compared with the eight “younger-generation activists,” averaging 39 years. This generational difference was recognized by the residents as well, who identified the older-generation activists by referring to them as “Mrs.” followed by the last name and to the younger generation by their first names.

All older-generation activists, except one, were born in the South, the majority to sharecropping families. Most migrated north as adolescents or young adults for “a better life” in the 1940s, the 1950s, and the 1960s. They derived some benefits from the northern demand for labor needs during World War II, the postwar boom economy, and later the burgeoning economy of the 1960s. The women’s common pattern was to finish their education in the South, move up to live with a relative in Chicago, find a job, and meet their future husband, marry, and form their own household. This generation found work in small factories, laundries, and dry cleaners and as service workers in hospitals, cooks in restaurants, and housekeepers.

10 A few of the residents also had some Native American heritage in their ethnic background, and in one case Creole. Also, one of the resident activists, Ella Fitzgerald, moved out of the development into her daughter’s home shortly after we interviewed her in 1994.

11 “During the 1940s, 1.6 million blacks left the South, to be followed by almost 1.5 million during the 1950s” (Payne, 1995). Of the black migrants between 1910 and 1960, 87% settled in seven states – New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Ohio, California, Illinois, and Michigan (McAdam, 1982). One of the Wentworth activists moved north before World War II, three during the wartime period, seven after the war in the latter 1940s and 1950s, and two in the 1960s.
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The older- and younger-generation activists differed in educational attainment: More than half of the older generation did not progress beyond the 9th grade. In the segregated South, where educational and economic opportunities were limited for African-Americans, the school calendar revolved around the cotton season. Most black children were not in school when they were needed in the fields (Payne, 1995). Also, formal education for rural black children ended in the ninth grade; thus black youth would have to travel to a large town or city in order to obtain a high school education. It is not surprising, therefore, that less than one-third of the older activists had attained a general equivalency diploma (GED) or high school diploma. In contrast, among the younger-generation activists, all but one had attained a GED or high school diploma. A few activists in both generations had had some college education.

Unlike their older counterparts, the younger-generation activists either were born in Chicago (four of the eight) or left the South at birth or before they were 6 years old. Compared with the older-generation activists who had lived in Wentworth Gardens considerably longer—in 1998, 31 years on the average—the younger-generation activists had resided in Wentworth for an average of 12 years. As a result, the housing experiences of these two generations differed greatly. Unlike the older-generation activists, who had experienced Wentworth in the 1950s through the 1960s, when it was, according to them, “beautiful,” the younger generation confronted deteriorating buildings and grounds when they moved into the development from the 1980s through the early 1990s.

The older-generation activists also first lived in Wentworth Gardens at a time when there was greater stability in the lives of the resident families. The result of change in federal rent structure policy in 1969 was that many two-parent working families moved out of CHA developments, including Wentworth Gardens. By 1997, there was an employed family member in only 15% of the CHA households (CHA, 1997). The younger-generation activists had to raise their children in a setting with much more challenging social problems than those experienced by the older generation. Crime, attributed primarily to drug dealing street gangs, had increased dramatically in CHA housing beginning in the late 1970s, as in public housing in other major U.S. cities (Popkin et al., 2000). By the late 1970s teenage pregnancy was on the rise across the nation, but particularly in low-income communities. Families were increasingly headed by single

---

12 Most of the older activists were in schools in the South in the 1940s and 1950s. In 1940, the median number of years completed by black women was 6.1, rising to 7.2 in 1950, 8.5 in 1960, and 10.2 in 1970. In contrast, for white women the median number of years of school completed was 8.8, 10.0, 11.0, and 12.2, respectively (Rodgers-Rose, 1980).

13 Teenage pregnancy is not only an issue in low-income communities. The United States leads the Western world in the rate of teen pregnancy. Informed estimates suggest “that of the approximately 11 million unmarried adolescent females who are sexually active, about
females. Like their older counterparts, all of the younger activists are mothers, but the majority have never married.

Although both generations of activists have a history, if even a short one, of volunteering for community activities and organizations, the younger-generation activists are much more likely to have secured paid positions as a result of their volunteer work in Wentworth Gardens. The reasons are primarily differences in age and education. Whereas most of the older activist residents have retired from paid employment, the younger activists are attempting to map out a career path for themselves. Understandably, their involvement in grassroots activism is motivated not only by their desire to improve their homeplace, but also by the need to secure paid employment. Also, the younger-generation activists, because of the greater number of years of schooling, were more likely to have learned the technical skills required for the job opportunities within the development, particularly through the RMC.

**Where Are the Men Activists?** Women resident activists explained that through the early 1970s there were men who volunteered and served as leaders in the Resident Council and in other community efforts; in fact, two of the husbands of the older-generation activists we interviewed were volunteers. By the later 1970s, with the increased absence of men as husbands and engaged fathers in public housing, this pattern changed. The reasons for the absence of adult male role models and activists in lower-income communities like Wentworth Gardens are numerous and interrelated. The economic transformations in the United States that began in the 1970s included the vast expansion of service sector employment at the expense of the manufacturing sector. Thousands of well-paid manufacturing jobs were lost (Wilson, 1996). For the minority poor and working classes, in general, unemployment had increased because of plant mechanization, closings, and relocations. The urban black population did not have the necessary education or transportation

1 million become pregnant each year” (Crooks & Baur, 1999, p. 396). Approximately 95% of unmarried adolescent mothers elect to keep their babies; as many as 80% of this group drop out of school, and many do not return to the classroom. “Faced with the burden of childcare duties and an inadequate education, teenage mothers are highly likely to be underemployed or unemployed and dependent on welfare services. Furthermore, low education levels and limited employment skills severely limit the efforts of these young mothers to obtain economic independence as they move beyond their teenage years” (Crooks & Baur, 1999, p. 396).

14 Until 1960, 80% of all black children lived in families with both parents. By 1977, less than half of all black children lived with both parents (Rodgers-Rose, 1980). In CHA developments, by 1972, only 6% of children lived with both parents.

15 Two of the 15 older-generation compared with 6 of the 8 young-generation activists secured paid work through their volunteer efforts.