This book offers the first in-depth study of Aristotle's theory of the sense-organs. It aims to answer two questions central to Aristotle's psychology and biology: why does Aristotle think we have sense-organs, and why does he describe the sense-organs in the way he does? The author looks at all the Aristotelian evidence for the five senses and shows how pervasively Aristotle’s accounts of the sense-organs are motivated by his interest in form and function. The book also engages with the celebrated problem of whether perception for Aristotle requires material changes in the perceiver. It argues that, surprisingly to the modern philosopher, nothing in Aristotle's description of the sense-organs requires us to believe in such changes. The book should appeal to readers specifically interested in Aristotle's philosophy of mind and biology as well as to those generally interested in sense-perception.
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APo</td>
<td>Analytica Posteriors</td>
<td>Posterior Analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APr</td>
<td>Analytica Priora</td>
<td>Prior Analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cael.</td>
<td>De Caele</td>
<td>On the Heavens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat.</td>
<td>Categoriae</td>
<td>Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De an.</td>
<td>De Anima</td>
<td>On the Soul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Div.</td>
<td>De Divinatione per Somnum</td>
<td>On Divination through Sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>De Insonniis</td>
<td>On Dreams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>Ethica Eudemia</td>
<td>Eudemian Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Ethica Nicomachea</td>
<td>Nicomachean Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>De Generatione Animalium</td>
<td>Generation of Animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>De Generatione et Corruptione</td>
<td>On Generation and Corruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>Historia Animalium</td>
<td>History of Animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>De Incessu Animalium</td>
<td>Progression of Animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int.</td>
<td>De Interpretatione</td>
<td>On Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juv.</td>
<td>De Juventute et Senectute</td>
<td>On Youth and Old Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>De Motu Animalium</td>
<td>Movement of Animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mem.</td>
<td>De Memoria</td>
<td>On Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaph.</td>
<td>Metaphysica</td>
<td>Metaphysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mete.</td>
<td>Meteorologica</td>
<td>Meteorology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>De Partibus Animalium</td>
<td>Parts of Animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.</td>
<td>Physica</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>English Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp.</td>
<td>De Respiratione</td>
<td>On Respiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh.</td>
<td>Rhetorica</td>
<td>Rhetoric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sens.</td>
<td>De Sensu</td>
<td>On Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top.</td>
<td>Topica</td>
<td>Topics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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