

The Archaeology of Elam

Formation and Transformation of an Ancient Iranian State

From the middle of the third millennium BC until the coming of Cyrus the Great, southwestern Iran was referred to in Mesopotamian sources as the land of Elam. A heterogenous collection of regions, Elam was home to a variety of groups, alternately the object of Mesopotamian aggression, and aggressors themselves; an ethnic group seemingly swallowed up by the vast Achaemenid Persian empire, yet a force strong enough to attack Babylonia in the last centuries BC. The Elamite language is attested as late as the Medieval era, and the name Elam as late as 1300 in the records of the Nestorian church. This book examines the formation and transformation of Elam's many identities through both archaeological and written evidence, and brings to life one of the most important regions of Western Asia, re-evaluates its significance, and places it in the context of the most recent archaeological and historical scholarship.

D. T. POTTS is Edwin Cuthbert Hall Professor in Middle Eastern Archaeology at the University of Sydney. He is the author of *The Arabian Gulf in Antiquity*, 2 vols. (1990), *Mesopotamian Civilization* (1997), and numerous articles in scholarly journals.



CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

Series editor NORMAN YOFFEE, University of Michigan

Editorial board SUSAN ALCOCK, University of Michigan TOM DILLEHAY, University of Kentucky CHRIS GOSDEN, University of Oxford CARLA SINOPOLI, University of Michigan

The Cambridge World Archaeology series is addressed to students and professional archaeologists, and to academics in related disciplines. Each volume presents a survey of the archaeology of a region of the world, providing an up-to-date account of research and integrating recent findings with new concerns of interpretation. While the focus is on a specific region, broader cultural trends are discussed and the implications of regional findings for cross-cultural interpretations considered. The authors also bring anthropological and historical expertise to bear on archaeological problems, and show how both new data and changing intellectual trends in archaeology shape inferences about the past.



Books in the series: Cambridge World Archaeology

RAYMOND ALLCHIN AND BRIDGET ALLCHIN, **The Rise of Civilization in India and Pakistan** 28550X

CLIVE GAMBLE, The Palaeolithic Settlement of Europe 287642

CHARLES HIGHAM, Archaeology of Mainland South East Asia 496608 HB 565057 PB

SARAH MILLEDGE NELSON, **The Archaeology of Korea** 404436 HB 407834 PB

DAVID PHILLIPSON, **African Archaeology** (second revised edition) 44103X HB 446589 PB

OLIVER DICKINSON, The Agean Bronze Age 242800 HB 456649 PB

KAREN OLSEN BRUHNS, Ancient South America 277612

ALASDAIR WHITTLE, **Europe in the Neolithic** 444764 HB 449200 PB

CHARLES HIGHAM, **The Bronze Age of Southeast Asia** 496608 HB 565057 PB

CLIVE GAMBLE, The Palaeolithic Societies of Europe 651050 HB 658721 PB



CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF ELAM

FORMATION AND TRANSFORMATION OF AN ANCIENT IRANIAN STATE

D. T. POTTS





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA http://www.cup.org 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1999

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Trump Mediaeval 10/13 pt. in QuarkXPress® [se]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Potts, Daniel T.

The archaeology of Elam: formation and transformation of an ancient Iranian state / D. T. Potts.

p. cm. – (Cambridge World Archaeology)

Includes bibliographical references (p.) and index.

ISBN 0 521 56358 5 (hardback). - ISBN 0 521 56496 4 (paperback.)

Elam – Antiquities. 2. Elam – History. I. Title. II. Series.

DS65.P68 1998

935 – dc21 98-41051 CIP

ISBN 0 521 563585 hardback ISBN 0 521 564964 paperback



For Hildy, Rowena, Morgan and Hallam, with much love



CONTENTS

List of illustrations	page x
List of plates	xiv
List of tables	xvi
Preface and acknowledgements	xix
List of abbreviations	xxiv
Note on transliteration and dating systems	xxix
1 Elam: what, when, where?	1
2 Environment, climate and resources	10
3 The immediate precursors of Elam	43
4 Elam and Awan	85
5 The dynasty of Shimashki	130
6 The grand regents of Elam and Susa	160
7 The kingdom of Susa and Anshan	188
8 The Neo-Elamite period	259
9 Elam in the Achaemenid empire	309
10 Elymais	354
11 Elam under the Sasanians and beyond	410
12 Conclusion	434
References	445
Index	481



ILLUSTRATIONS

Figures

1.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 1.	page 2
1.2	Samuel Flower's copy of an Achaemenid Elamite inscription	n. 5
2.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 2.	11
3.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 3.	44
3.2	The Acropole in Susa I times.	48
3.3	Principal motifs on Susa I pottery.	49
3.4	The Tall-i Bakun A administrative area.	51
3.5	Stamp seals from Tall-i Bakun A.	52
3.6	Principal motifs on Tall-i Bakun pottery.	53
3.7	Selection of Susa II (Acropole I, level 17) pottery types.	54
3.8	Limestone sculpture from the 'dépot archaique' excavated	
	on the Acropole at Susa in 1909, attributed to the Susa II	
	period.	56
3.9	Seal-impressed Susa II (Acropole I, level 18) tablets with	
	numerical notations.	62
3.10	Late Uruk economic and lexical texts from Uruk.	64
3.11	Susa II (Acropole, level 18) bullae containing tokens.	66
3.12	Susa II and Late Uruk seal impressions showing the	
	'priest-king' from Susa, Uruk and Choga Mish.	68
3.13	Stone figures of recumbent bovines, attributed to Susa III	
	times.	72
3.14	Numerical sign systems used on Susa III-type tablets.	78
3.15	Contour plan of Tal-i Malyan.	80
4.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 4.	86
4.2	Limestone wall plaque from Susa.	96
4.3	Impression of a mid-third millennium Syrian cylinder seal	
	on a sherd from Susa.	98
4.4	Série ancienne or 'Intercultural Style' soft-stone from Susa.	101
4.5	Bronze axe from Susa with an inscription reading,	
	'Ili'ishmani, scribe, GÌR.NÍTA of the land of Elam'.	110



List of	illustrations	xi
4.6	Série récente soft-stone from Susa.	120
4.7	Soft-stone flasks of Bactrian type from Susa.	121
4.8	Linear Elamite lapidary inscription (A) from Susa.	126
5.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 5.	131
5.2	Father V. Scheil's handcopy of the Awan/Shimashki kinglist	
	from Susa.	144
5.3	Drawing of the seal of Kuk-Simut given by Idaddu II.	149
5.4	Grave 507 in Ville Royale A at Susa, containing the remains	
	of two or three separate interments.	150
5.5	A terracotta figurine from Ville Royale A, level 4, at Susa.	151
5.6	The house of Igibuni at Susa.	152
5.7	Cylinder and stamp seals of Anshanite' type.	153
5.8	Painted Kaftari buffware from Tal-i Malyan.	154
6.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 6.	161
6.2	House of Ra-bi-bi in Ville Royale A, level XIV, excavated by	
	Ghirshman.	172
6.3	Ville Royale A, level XII.	173
6.4	Punctate and incised greyware vessels from Susa.	175
6.5	Sb 2740 = Pl. 6.1.	177
6.6	Bronze deity and chariot from Susa.	178
6.7	Sb 11221+12404=Pl. 6.3.	181
6.8	The rock relief at Kurangun.	183
6.9	Elamite rock relief at Naqsh-i Rustam.	186
7.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 7.	190
7.2	Building T in Ville Royale A, level XI.	194
7.3	Plan of the excavated areas at Haft Tepe.	197
7.4	The bronze plaque from Haft Tepe.	200
7.5	Sb 67 = Pl. 7.4.	221
7.6	Plan of Choga Zanbil.	222
7.7	Axonometric reconstruction of the ziggurat at Choga Zanbil.	225
7.8	Plan of the palace hypogeum at Choga Zanbil.	229
7.9	The inscribed brick Deh-e Now 1, face B.	232
7.10	Babylonian stele re-cut by Shutruk-Nahhunte I, showing the	
	Elamite king, left, before a Babylonian deity.	234
7.11	De Mecquenem's restoration of the moulded brick façade	
	from Susa.	241
7.12	Plan of the Middle Elamite building at Tal-i Malyan.	249
7.13	A glazed wall plaque from Tal-i Malyan.	251
8.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 8.	261



XII LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

8.2	Depiction of the city of Madaktu from Slab 6, lower register, Room XXXIII in Sennacherib's palace at Nineveh, bearing	
	Assurbanipal's epigraph, KUR <i>ma-dak-te</i> 'land of Madaktu'.	270
8.3	'Assyrian warriors in a cart captured from the Elamites'.	280
8.4	Burial 47 at Tal-i Malyan.	286
8.5	Depiction of an Elamite cutting his bow in an Assyrian	200
0.0	relief.	292
8.6	Concave onyx disc from the Persepolis treasury (hall 41),	
	bearing the inscription, 'To (the goddess) Sarpanitum, his	
	lady, Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, for his life presented	
	(this)'.	294
8.7	Neo-Elamite IIIA (653–605 BC) cylinder seals.	296
8.8	Neo-Elamite IIIB (605–539 BC) cylinder seals.	300
8.9	The coffin and tomb of Arjan.	304
8.10	A decorated gold object from the Arjan tomb.	305
9.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 9.	310
9.2	The Behistun relief.	316
9.3	The Sar-i Pol 1 relief.	319
9.4	Susa during the Achaemenid period.	321
9.5	The palace of Darius at Susa.	322
9.6	Relief brick façade showing lions from Susa.	332
9.7	Cover of Maurice Pillet's <i>Palais de Darius</i> .	
9.8	De Mecquenem's plan of the 'Sasanian' building excavated	
	on the Donjon, more probably an Achaemenid palace.	336
9.9	Achaemenid ivories from the Donjon.	338
9.10	Composite drawing of the seal of Kurash.	341
10.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 10.	356
10.2	Bronze axehead with Greek inscription from de	
	Mecquenem's Acropole Sondage I at Susa.	360
10.3	Hellenistic seal impressions from Susa.	361
10.4	Greek lapidary inscriptions from Susa.	362
10.5	Artist's impression of Masjid-i Solaiman.	372
10.6	The Heracles relief and inscription at Behistun.	373
10.7	The relief at Qir.	381
10.8	Fragmentary bust of an Elymaean king from Masjid-i	
	Solaiman.	385
10.9	The relief at Hung-i Nauruzi.	389
10.10	A silver tetradrachm of Hyspaosines.	390
10.11	Parthian bone figurines from Susa.	393
10.12	Elymaean coins of Kamniskires and Anzaze, upper, and a	
	'Kamniskires', lower.	394



List o	f illustrations	xiii
10.13	Artist's impression of Bard-e Nechandeh.	398
10.14	The stele of Khwasak from Susa.	402
10.15	The Tang-i Sarvak III relief.	403
10.16	The Tang-i Sarvak II (northeast face, upper register) relief.	404
10.17	The Tang-i Sarvak II (north/northwest face) relief.	405
11.1	Map of southwestern Iran showing the principal sites	
	mentioned in Chapter 11.	411
11.2	A Sasanian dirham of Ardashir I.	412
11.3	The Firuzabad I relief showing Ardashir's triumph over	
	Artabanus.	413
11.4	The Naqsh-i Rajab III relief showing the investiture of	
	Ardashir.	414
11.5	A Sasanian dirham of Shapur I.	416
11.6	Plan of Gundeshapur.	420
11.7	The Bishapur V relief showing Shapur II's triumph over the	
	Romans and Christians.	427
11.8	A Sasanian dirham of Khusrau II.	430
11.9	Cheeked bit and noseband from Susa.	431



PLATES

1.1		page 6
1.2	Château Susa, the fortified excavation house begun on the	
	Acropole by Jacques de Morgan in 1898.	7
2.1	The Zagros between Shahr-e Kord and Izeh.	13
2.2	The upper Karun, east of Izeh, in early autumn.	17
3.1 3.2	A sealed Susa II tablet with numerical signs only (Sb 4839). A Susa II seal impression showing a horned building	61
	(Sb 2125).	70
3.3	A modern impression of a bitumen compound, Susa III	
	cylinder seal (Sb 1484, 3.2 cm high).	73
3.4	Obverse of Sb 2801, a Susa III account text (26.7×21 cm).	73
3.5	Reverse of Sb 2801, with a cylinder seal impression	
	(4.2 cm high).	74
4.1	Shell inlay of an equid excavated by de Morgan, sometimes	
	thought to represent Przwalski's horse, from Susa (Sb 5631,	
	5.7×3.6 cm), dating to the second half of the third	
	millennium.	97
4.2	Large polychrome ceramic vessel (Sb 2840) from a third	
	millennium grave excavated by de Mecquenem at Susa.	99
4.3	Carved soft-stone compartmented vessel (Sb 2829) from Susa	1
	belonging to the <i>série ancienne</i> or 'Intercultural Style'	
	(18.3 cm long).	100
4.4	Diorite stele fragment (Sb 3, 46×35 cm) from Susa, dated to the reign of Sargon of Agade, showing prisoners with hands	
	bound behind their back being marched by a soldier.	104
5.1	Bronze foundation figurine from Susa (Sb 2881) with a	
	nine-line inscription reading, 'the god "Lord of Susa"	
	[Inshushinak], his king, Shulgi, the mighty male, king of Ur,	
	king of Sumer and Akkad, the , his beloved temple, built	.'
	(trans. after Thureau-Dangin 1907: 193).	133
5.2	Carnelian bead from Susa (Sb 6627) engraved with a Sumeria	ın
	dedication.	134
6.1	Bitumen compound bowl (Sb 2740) with carved animal	
	decoration from Susa (22 cm long).	176



List	of plates	XV
6.2 6.3	Attahushu-type bronze axe (Sb 10236) from Susa. Economic text (Sb 11221 + 12404, 4.7×6.3 cm) from Susa	179
6.4	with an impression made by a Dilmun stamp seal. Tablet fragment (Sb 8748, 6.9×5.8 cm) from Susa impressed	180
0	with the seal of Tan-Uli.	184
6.5	Sealed, upper edge of Sb 8748.	185
7.1	A view of Haft Tepe showing areas excavated by E.O.	
	Negahban.	196
7.2	Bronze relief fragment (Sb 133, 1.05 m long) from Pit 15, excavated in the Inshushinak temple at Susa in 1898/99 by	017
7.0	de Morgan.	217
7.3 7.4	Bronze statue of Napirasu (Sb 2731, 1.29 m tall) from Susa. White limestone statue fragment (Sb 67) from Susa, possibly representing the god Napirisha, patron deity of	219
	Untash-Napirisha.	220
7.5	Detail of the inscribed forearm of Sb 67.	221
7.6	A view of the approach to the stairway of the ziggurat of	
	Choga Zanbil.	224
7.7	Inscribed brick in the ziggurat of Choga Zanbil.	226
7.8	The sit shamsi (Sb 2743, 60×40 cm) from Susa.	239
7.9	The south face of the rock relief Kul-e Farah III.	254
7.10	Detail of the badly eroded figures, partially buried beneath	
	the modern ground surface, at the base of Kul-e Farah III.	255
7.11	Kul-e Farah II.	256
8.1	Restored stele of Atta-hamiti-Inshushinak (Sb 16, 93.5×	
	65.6 cm) from Susa.	298
9.1	A view over the site of Pasargadae.	312
9.2	Detail of clamp holes in stone masonry at Pasargadae.	313
9.3	The Behistun relief of Darius I.	315
9.4	Fragment of an Achaemenid column in the palace of Darius at Susa.	329
9.5	Glazed brick panel (Sb 3336, 36×31×8 cm) excavated at Susa	
	in 1914 by de Mecquenem.	331
9.6	Detail of a Persian nobleman wearing an 'Elamite' dagger at	
	Persepolis.	343
9.7	Detail of the Persian akinakes.	344
10.1	Greek-style terracottas from Susa.	359
11.1	Detail of rock relief Naqsh-i Rustam VI showing Valerian	
	kneeling before Shapur I.	417
11.2	Stucco Christian plaque (Sb 9375, 13.6×8.5 cm) excavated by	
	de Morgan at Susa.	429



TABLES

2.1	Elevation, rainfall and temperature data from southwestern	
	Iran. pag	e 14
2.2	Relative distribution of ancient and modern village sites in	
	different environmental zones within the Mahidasht survey	
	area.	24
2.3	Fauna represented in archaeological contexts (Palaeolithic	
	through Chalcolithic) in Luristan.	25
2.4	Fauna represented in archaeological contexts (Palaeolithic	
	through Chalcolithic) in Fars.	29
2.5	Fauna represented in archaeological contexts (Palaeolithic	
	through early third millennium BC) in Khuzistan.	30
2.6	Distribution of minerals in Iran according to Chinese,	
	Medieval and early modern records.	33
2.7	Cultivars in early Khuzistan.	36
2.8	Aromatics (resins, gums and volatile oils) native to various	
	parts of Iran.	37
2.9	Hans Wulff's 'list of useful timber compiled in	
	conversations with woodworking craftsmen and peasants' and	
	archaeologically attested tree species from excavated sites	
	in western Iran.	38
3.1	Distribution and characteristics of bullae and tablets in levels	
	18–16, Acropole Sounding 1, Susa.	63
3.2	Comparison of the formal and structural characteristics of	
	Mesopotamian proto-cuneiform (Jamdat Nasr/Uruk III period)	
	and Susa III texts.	76
4.1	Summary of the conflict between Eannatum and regions to the	
	east.	89
4.2	Elam and Elamites in pre-Sargonic texts from Lagash.	
4.3	Overview of the archaeological assemblages of the Pusht-i	
	Kuh.	94
4.4	Principal sites of the Deh Luran plain in northern Khuzistan	
	during the early third millennium BC.	95
4.5	Eastern regions named in the Old Babylonian copies of Sargon	
	of Agade's royal inscriptions.	102
4.6	Eastern regions named in original and Old Babylonian copies	
	of Rimush's royal inscriptions.	105



List	of tables	xvii
4.7 4.8	Akkadian regents in Elam during the Old Akkadian period. Elamite names attested at Susa during the Old Akkadian	107
	period.	112
4.9	Elamites names attested in southern Mesopotamia during the Old Akkadian periods.	113
4.10	Susa and Tepe Farukhabad during the late third millennium BC.	115
4.11	Diagnostic metal artifact types at Susa during the late third millennium BC.	117
4.12	Inscriptions of Puzur-Inshushinak.	123
5.1	Groups and countries constituting 'Elam' during the Ur III period.	136
5.2	Synopsis of relations between the Third Dynasty of Ur and Elam.	138
5.3	Expenditures for travellers to and from Elam at Puzrish-Dagan (Drehem) during the Ur III period.	140
5.4	Shimashkian kings attested in texts and cylinder seal legends.	145
5.5	Shimashkian kings attested during the Ur III and early Isin periods, with those named in the Shimashki kinglist.	147
5.6	Distribution of dated tablets belonging to the archive of the scribe Igibuni in Ville Royale B, level 7 (after de Meyer 1986: 76).	152
5.7	Kaftari site distribution in comparison to the growth of Malyan.	155
6.1	Tentative sequence of the <i>sukkalmahs</i> and their relationships to other high-ranking officials.	164
6.2	Relations between Mari and Elam according to texts from Mari.	170
6.3	References to sukkalmahs in texts from Ville Royale A,	173
6.4	levels XI and XII. Brick inscriptions showing the building activities of various sukkalmahs at Susa.	173
7 1	Content of Haft Tepe stele 1.	174
7.1	Summaries of selected texts from the Haft Tepe temple	190
	complex.	202
7.3	References to Tepti-ahar and other high-ranking officials in the Haft Tepe texts.	204
7.4	Stratigraphic distribution of texts mentioning rulers from the Middle Elamite III period at Susa.	206
7.5	Tentative family-tree of the Igihalkids.	207
7.6	Shutruk-Nahhunte's letter to the Kassites.	208
7.7	Selection of important Middle Elamite inscriptions from Susa, Bushire and Deh-e Now.	209



XVIII LIST OF TABLES

7.8	Deities for whom Untash-Napirisha built or reconstructed	
	various religious buildings.	213
7.9	Mesopotamian booty seized by Shutruk-Nahhunte and other	
	Elamite kings which was recovered at Susa.	235
7.10	Shilhak-Inshushinak's building works at Susa.	238
7.11	Shilhak-Inshushinak's western campaign as related in Šil	
	S 27 = EKI §54.	243
7.12	Radiocarbon chronology of Middle Elamite occupation at	
	Tal-i Malyan.	250
8.1	Summary of Sargon II's Elamite campaign.	266
8.2	Epigraphs elucidating the depictions of Assurbanipal's triumpl	1
	over Te-Umman in Room XXXIII of Sennacherib's palace at	
	Nineveh.	278
8.3	Synopsis of the content of Assurbanipal's Elamite room	
	(XXXIII) in Sennacherib's palace at Nineveh.	279
8.4	Early Achaemenid genealogy according to Herodotus, the	
	Behistun inscription, the Cyrus Cylinder and P. de	
	Miroschedji.	287
8.5	Objects inscribed by Neo-Babylonian kings from Susa and	
	Persepolis.	293
9.1	Development of the Behistun monument.	315
9.2	Selection of Persepolis fortification texts of various types	
	mentioning Susa.	323
9.3	Selection of Persepolis fortification texts of various types	
	mentioning Elam.	324
9.4	Summary of Darius' inscriptions from Susa.	326
9.5	Elamite deities attested in the Persepolis fortification texts	
	(data derived from Koch 1977, 1993).	347
9.6	Elamite personal names with the ophoric elements in the	
	Persepolis fortification texts.	348
10.1	Greek inscriptions from Susa published in SEG 17.	363
10.2	Babylonian astronomical diaries, 145–77 BC, mentioning	
	Elam.	376
10.3	Main features of Elymaean coinage.	399
10.4	Chronological distribution of Elymaean rock reliefs.	407
11.1	Chronological and spatial distribution of early Christian and	
	Nestorian (post AD 409) bishops in Khuzistan.	422



PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Of all the major constituents of the ancient Near East, Elam has surely proven one of the more difficult to come to grips with. For most students of antiquity Elam appears aloof, somewhat exotic - a place of hard-to-pronounce names, unfamiliar sites, a poorly understood language and a somewhat barbaric population to the east of Mesopotamia. Alternately subject to Mesopotamian domination or busily subverting it as best they could, the Elamites are present in the archaeological and written record for thousands of years, reacting to foreign aggression, forging local alliances of which we have few details, cropping up in the written record of their western neighbours, saying little of themselves in their own inscriptions. Archaeologists and historians have consciously or unconsciously regarded the brutal Assyrian campaigns against the Elamites in the seventh century BC as the final chapter in their troubled history, the rise of the Achaemenid Persians as a new dawn in Iranian antiquity which heralded the start of another era. Yet the Elamites and their language crop up in post-Elamite, 'Persian' Iran. Elamites appear in the histories of Alexander the Great and his Seleucid successors. In the guise of Elymaeans they fought for independence against the later Parthian dynasty. And in the early Medieval era 'Elam' became the name of an ecclesiastical province of one of the most important branches of eastern Christianity, the Nestorian church. Anyone interested in the creation of identity and ethnicity, in the past or the present, will find in the story of Elam a palimpsest of ever changing definitions of what it meant to be Elamite, glimpses of which are revealed in a patchwork of archaeological and epigraphic evidence as difficult to comprehend as any in the ancient Near East.

Numerous distinguished historians, sociologists, social theorists and anthropologists have recently explored the invention of national and ethnic consciousness and identity (e.g. Nash 1989; Hobsbawm and Ranger 1983; Hobsbawm 1990; Fullbrook 1993; Teich and Porter 1993; Gillis 1994; Pickett 1996; Ross 1996; Bischof and Pelinka 1997), leaving us in no doubt that these are socially constructed and highly mutable. By the time the reader has reached the end of this book I would hope that not merely the artificiality of the construct 'Elam', but the notion that many Elams were constructed over time, no two of which were probably coterminous culturally, politically or geographically with each other, will have become clear. Every period – each in itself an artificial construct of modern scholars – is characterised by an Elam of the external written sources (initially Sumerian and Akkadian, later Greek, Latin, Syriac, etc.), an Elam of the indigenous archaeological and epigraphic sources, and an Elam of 20th century historiography. Why I have chosen to speak of the formation and transformation of Elam in the title of this study is precisely because of the mutability of Elam



XX PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

through time, an entity which was constructed and recreated continuously by ancient participants in the Elamite cultural and linguistic community, ancient observers of the Elamites, and modern students of the subject.

In 1900 the French historian Henri Berr's 'terrible craving for synthesis' led him to establish the Revue de synthèse historique (Keylor 1975: 133; cf. Müller 1994: xvi-xvii), just as a desire for histoire totale eventually spurred Lucien Febvre and Marc Bloch to found the journal Annales d'Histoire Economique et Sociale twenty-nine years later (Lyon 1987: 200). While presuming neither to class the present work amongst the many influential studies in Annaliste history which have emerged since Annales began appearing seventy years ago, nor wishing to join an avowedly Annaliste club of archaeologists (e.g. Bintliff 1991, Knapp 1992), I am nevertheless adamant in declaring that this is explicitly a work of synthesis which wholeheartedly embraces the tenets of histoire totale, and I am happy to be counted amongst those 'rash souls who wish to move outside the limits of what they themselves have studied and aspire to a comprehensive view' (trans. Keylor 1975: 133). Because I do not believe that one can arrive at a satisfactory understanding of Elam by chopping it into chronological bits or looking only at its art or texts, I have striven to cover all periods in Elamite history using all types of available evidence, whether architectural, ceramic, numismatic, radiometric, epigraphic, literary, environmental, religious, ethnographic, etc. In my view, we need the chronologically extended synthesis as much as the particular analytical focus sometimes referred to as microhistory (Egmond and Mason 1997). Without time depth and topical breadth we would be unable to chart the repeated restructuring of Elam through time. And because I can see no justification for terminating the story of the Elamites with the campaigns of Assyria and the emergence of the Achaemenid Persian empire, the present study ranges into the Seleucid, Parthian, Sasanian and early Islamic periods, eras which have traditionally been considered 'post-Elamite'. If some readers have difficulty in this attenuation of Elamite archaeology and history, they need not bother with the final chapters. But I hope that others will see, perhaps for the first time, that the story of Elam and the Elamites does not end with Assurbanipal or the coming of Cyrus the Great.

The quantity of data presented here may suggest that I have succumbed to a kind of naive historicism and abandoned the traditional concern of archaeologists with long-term trends and societal morphology. I trust I have not fallen prey to the former, but I freely admit that I have eschewed the latter. The amount of detail which confronts readers of this book is vital to the arguments outlined in Chapter 12, however, for while I may embrace the idea of *histoire totale* in this study, I conclude by rejecting the notion of the *longue durée*. These more theoretical views, however, are largely confined to this Preface and the conclusion of this book, and readers should approach the intervening chapters without fear of suddenly finding themselves on the ideological battleground of historical method. That is not the *raison d'être* of this book. It is still a study of Elam in its many manifestations, even if that study seems to me to raise important questions about how we interpret the past.

But apart from wishing to create an histoire totale of Elam, there is another impor-



Preface and acknowledgements

xxi

tant reason for writing a work of synthesis like this. If Elam today is not exactly a household word, then that is less a reflection of its role in antiquity than a by-product of two major linguistic problems. For on the one hand, the difficulties posed by the Elamite language have made Elamite texts much less amenable to translation and interpretation than those of Sumer, Assyria or Babylonia, not to mention Greece or Rome. On the other hand, the fact that perhaps two-thirds of the scholarship available on Elam is written in French and German has meant that Anglophone students and lay persons, in particular, have only had access to a very limited number of primary and secondary publications on Elam. This predicament is not unique to Elamite studies, but as I have taught the archaeology and early history of Elam off and on over the past seventeen years it has become increasingly clear to me that the subject is made inherently more difficult than, for example, North American or Australian archaeology, precisely because students 'doing' Elam will only ever get a very fractured view of the subject if they are limited to the literature available in English. I do not wish to imply, of course, that there are no good, accessible works in English on Elam already available. It is, nevertheless, true to say that those book-length, synthetic studies which already exist in English (e.g. Cameron 1936, Hinz 1972, Carter and Stolper 1984) have not nearly said the last word on the subject. None of them can be remotely considered up-to-date and each concludes with the rise of the Achaemenid empire, neglecting over a millennium of later Elamite history. In spite of the impossibility of conducting archaeological fieldwork in Iran today, journals each year continue to receive and publish numerous studies of a microhistorical type which are dedicated to Elam. But like Henri Berr, I cannot help but comment on the stubborn reluctance of many contemporary authors of Elamitica to stand up and demonstrate 'how obscure, marginal, or unusual cases can be used to address crucial historical issues' (Egmond and Mason 1997: 2-3). The study of Elam may not be long for this world if cogent, readable syntheses are not available with which to teach the subject. I have attempted to write such a study here. In an effort to guide readers unfamiliar with Elam through the maze of material assembled, I have offered text boxes at the beginning of each chapter which briefly summarize the matter to be discussed; an introductory map showing the sites mentioned in each chapter; and a summary chart at the end of each chapter which highlights the main points of interest within the lowlands, highlands, Mesopotamia and the entity 'Elam' in each period, and adds relevant dates for chronological orientation.

This book was written at the University of Sydney, where I have taught Elam to Australian undergraduates. For the most part, my bibliographical resources have been those of Fisher Library. While this is undoubtedly the best library for a study of this sort in Australia, it cannot compare with major libraries in Europe or the United States. Though I might sometimes agree with Aby Warburg's motto that 'God is in the detail' (Egmond and Mason 1997: 2), I have had to learn to do without and not to regret it. Nevertheless, I have not been completely averse to e-mailing and faxing colleagues with long distance requests for bibliographical aid. For their gracious help with such matters, and for sending me offprints of useful literature, I would like to express my warmest thanks to A.B. Bosworth (Perth), P. Briant (Toulouse), J.A. Brinkman (Chicago),



XXII PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A. Caubet (Paris), J. Córdoba (Madrid), R.K. Englund (Los Angeles), E. Haerinck (Gent), H. Hunger (Vienna), M. Jursa (Vienna), P. Kalensky (Paris), A. Kuhrt (London), P. Magee (Sydney), P. Michalowski (Ann Arbor), P.R.S. Moorey (Oxford), H.J. Nissen (Berlin), H. Sancisi-Weerdenburg (Groningen), R. Schmitt (Saarbrücken), M.W. Stolper (Chicago), J. Teixidor (Paris), and J. Westenholz (Jerusalem). In the end, there remain publications I would have liked to consult but which remained inaccessible. In this I take comfort from Henri Berr's observation on scholars 'who cannot think of science except in terms of detailed research, and who, since detail is infinite, push forward this research of theirs only to see the goal recede before them' (trans. Keylor 1975: 133). Perhaps it is just as well that I cannot consult everything I might wish to on the subject of Elam.

The maps illustrating site distributions which accompany each of the substantive chapters were prepared by Ms Michele Ziolkowski, a PhD candidate in Near Eastern archaeology at the University of Sydney, and I would like to express my sincerest thanks to her for the long hours of digitizing contour lines which went into their creation. If sites have been misplaced, the fault is my own. Likewise, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Mr Alex Stephens, a PhD candidate in Classics at the University of Sydney, whom I employed to prepare accurate English translations of Greek texts from Susa (Table 10.1).

To write a book is one thing, to publish it quite another. I would like to thank Professor Norman Yoffee (Ann Arbor) and the other members of the board of the Cambridge World Archaeology series for accepting this book for publication when it was only a rough outline with a bit of bibliography, and for persevering with it when it appeared to be something other than what they originally expected from me. I am sincerely grateful to the readers of an earlier draft, Professor M.W. Stolper (Chicago), Professor E. Carter (Los Angeles), Professor N. Yoffee (Ann Arbor) and Mr K. Abdi (Ann Arbor) for their many detailed comments and suggestions for improving the text. I have appreciated all of their remarks and have made many changes accordingly. Further, I wish to express my sincere thanks to Jessica Kuper of Cambridge University Press for her patience and goodwill. My family knows by the dedication of this book that I have appreciated their support more than words can say. I hope they have not regretted the fact that Elam entered their lives somewhat more than they might have wished.

Finally, I would like to say a word about Iran, as opposed to Elam. To begin with, I must thank two of my professors at Harvard whose influence may be expressed only indirectly in the pages of this book, but who in very different ways sowed the seeds of a lifelong interest in Iran in me as a student. C.C. Lamberg-Karlovsky introduced me to both Iran and Iranian archaeology. Two memorable seasons of excavation at Tepe Yahya in 1973 and 1975 kindled an abiding interest in Iranian archaeology. Richard N. Frye introduced me to the pre-Islamic religions of Iran and first fostered my awareness of the incredibly rich historical, linguistic and spiritual heritage of Iran. The Iranian Revolution meant the interruption of my active involvement with the subject, but in 1995 and 1996 I was able to return to Iran with my wife and a group from the Near Eastern Archaeology Foundation of the University of Sydney. Those visits gave me the opportunity to visit Susa, Choga Zanbil, Haft Tepe, Kul-e Farah and numerous other



Preface and acknowledgements

xxiii

sites of Elamite history for the first time. Parvaneh Sattari and the staff of Pasargad Tour in Teheran made both trips enormously successful and reminded me why I have spent so much of my adult life thinking about Iran's past.

Acknowledgements for photographic reproduction

It is with great pleasure that I acknowledge the kind permission of Annie Caubet, Conservateur général in charge of the Department of Oriental Antiquities at the Louvre Museum to reproduce the twenty-five plates marked © Musée du Louvre, Antiquités Orientales. The remainder of the photographs published here were taken by the author during visits to Iran in 1995 and 1996.



0521563585 - The Archaeology of Elam: Formation and Transformation of an Ancient Iranian State

D. T. Potts Frontmatter

More information

ABBREVIATIONS

Α		Siglum of texts in the Louvre Museum
A^{l-}	3 c	Artaxerxes I–III, Susa inscriptions
	ASH	Acta Antiqua Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae
AA		Archives administratives de Mari
AB		Harper, R.F., Assyrian and Babylonian Letters Belonging to the
110	L	Kouyunjik Collection of the British Museum, London and Chicago:
		University of Chicago, 1892–1914
AfC)	Archiv für Orientforschung
AH		Achaemenid History
Aci		Acta Iranica
AI0		De Meyer, L. and Haerinck, E., eds. (1989), Archaeologia Iranica et
111		Orientalia: Miscellanea in honorem Louis Vanden Berghe, Louvain:
		Peeters
AI0	ON	Annali dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli
AJA		American Journal of Archaeology
AN		Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran
Am	orites	Buccellati, G. (1966), The Amorites of the Ur III Period, Naples:
		Ricerche 1
AC)	Der Alte Orient
Aoi	F	Altorientalische Forschungen
AC	0AT	Alter Orient und Altes Testament
AO	S	American Oriental Series
AR	M	Archives royales de Mari
Ar(Or	Archiv Orientální
AS		Assyriological Studies
Bab	o. 8	De Genouillac, H. (1924), 'Choix de textes économiques de la collection
		Pupil', Babyloniaca 8 (1924), 37ff.
BA	I	Bulletin of the Asia Institute
BA	Н	Institut Français d'Archéologie de Beyrouth, Bibliothèque
		Archéologique et Historique
Bal	M	Baghdader Mitteilungen
BA		British Archaeological Reports
BB	VO	Berliner Beiträge zum Vorderen Orient
$Bi\Lambda$	Лes	Bibliotheca Mesopotamica
	_	

Babylonian Inscriptions in the Collection of J. B. Nies, Yale University

BIN



0521563585 - The Archaeology of Elam: Formation and Transformation of an Ancient Iranian State

D. T. Potts

Frontmatter More information

List c	of abbreviations			
--------	------------------	--	--	--

BiOr Bibliotheca Orientalis
BM British Museum

BSOAS Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies

C Cyrus

CAH Cambridge Ancient History

CANE Sasson, J.M., ed. (1995), Civilizations of the ancient Near East, vols. I-

IV, New York: Charles Scribner's Sons

CC Sigrist, M. and Gomi, T. (1991), The Comprehensive Catalogue of

Published Ur III Tablets, Bethesda: CDL Press

CDR Gasche, H., Tanret, M., Janssen, C. and Degraeve, A., eds. (1994),

Cinquante-deux reflexions sur le Proche-Orient ancien offertes en

hommages à Léon de Meyer, Gent: Peeters

CHI Cambridge History of Iran

CNIP Carsten Niebuhr Institute Publications
CNRS Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique

Collectanea Gasche, H. and Hrouda, B., eds. (1996), Collectanea Orientalia:

Histoire, arts de l'espace et industrie de la terra, études offertes en hommage à Agnès Spycket, Neuchâtel/Paris: Civilisations du Proche-

Orient Serie 1, Archéologie et environnement 3

CRAIBL Comptes-rendus de l'Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres

CSCO Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium

CST Fish, T. (1932), Catalogue of Sumerian Tablets in the John Rylands

Library, Manchester

CT Cuneiform texts from Babylonian tablets . . . in the British Museum

CTNMC Jacobsen, T. (1939), Cuneiform texts in the National Museum,

Copenhagen, chiefly of economical contents, Copenhagen: C.T.

Thomson

DB Darius, Behistun inscription

DAFI Délégation archéologique Française en Iran

DN Darius, Nagsh-i Rustam inscriptions

DP Darius, Persepolis inscriptions

DP Allotte de la Füye, F.-M. (1908–20), Documents présargoniques, Paris:

E. Leroux

DS Darius, Susa inscriptions

DV Drevnosti Vostocnyja, Moscow.

EKI König, F.W. (1965), Die elamischen Königsinschriften, Graz: AfO

Beiheft 16

EnIr Encyclopædia Iranica

EW East and West

FAOS Freiburger Altorientalische Studien

FGH Jacoby, F. (1923–), Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker, Berlin:

Weidmann

FHE De Meyer, L., Gasche, H. and Vallat, F., eds. (1986), Fragmenta

xxv



0521563585 - The Archaeology of Elam: Formation and Transformation of an Ancient Iranian State

D. T. Potts Frontmatter

More information

xxvi LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Historiae Elamicae: Mélanges offerts à M.J. Steve, Paris: Editions

Recherche sur les Civilisations

HdO Handbuch der Orientalistik

HSAO Heidelberger Studien zum Alten Orient

HSS Harvard Semitic Studies

H.T. Haft Tepe text *IrAnt* Iranica Antiqua

IRS Malbran-Labat, F. (1995), Les inscriptions royales de Suse: Briques de

l'époque paléo-élamite à l'Empire néo-élamite, Paris: Editions de la

Réunion des musées nationaux

IRSA Sollberger, E. and Kupper, J.-R. (1971), Inscriptions royales sumériennes

et akkadiennes, Paris: Littératures du Proche-Orient 3

ITT (1920-21) Inventaire des tablettes de Tello conservées au Musée impér-

ial ottoman, Paris: E. Leroux

IΑ *Iournal Asiatique*

IAOS Journal of the Ameican Oriental Society

JEOL Jaarbericht Ex Oriente Lux

IRGS *Journal of the Royal Geographical Society*

KPDer Kleine Pauly

ΚZ Ka'ba-i Zardosht inscription of Shapur I at Nagsh-i Rustam

unpublished Mari text M

MAD Materials for the Assyrian Dictionary

Manchester Cuneiform Studies **MCS**

MDP Mémoires de la Délégation en Perse, Mémoires de la Mission

> Archéologique de Susiane, Mémoires de la Mission archéologique de Perse, Mémoires de la Délégation Archéologique en Iran (for specific text refs. acc. to vol. number see in general under Scheil 1900-39

below)

MHEOPIDe Meyer, L. and Gasche, H., eds. (1991), Mésopotamie et Elam, Ghent:

Mesopotamian History and Environment Occasional Publications 1.

MJPVallat, F., ed. (1990) Mélanges Jean Perrot, Paris: Editions Recherche sur

les Civilisations

Brinkman, J.A. (1976), Materials and Studies for Kassite History I: A **MSKH**

Catalogue of Cuneiform Sources Pertaining to Specific Monarchs of the

Kassite Dynasty, Chicago: The Oriental Institute

MSVO Materialien zu den frühen Schriftzeugnissen des Vorderen Orients

Materiali per il vocabolario neo-sumerico MVN

Nouvelles Assyriologiques Brèves et Utilitaires NABU Pliny's Natural History

Nikolskij, M.V. (1908), Dokumenty chozjajstvennoj otcetnosti drevne-Nik.

jsej epochi Chaldei iz sobranija N.P. Lichaceva, St Petersburg

Oxford Editions of Cuneiform Texts OECT

Orientalia Or

NH



0521563585 - The Archaeology of Elam: Formation and Transformation of an Ancient Iranian State

D. T. Potts

Frontmatter More information

	List of abbreviations xxvii
OrAnt	Oriens Antiquus
OSP 1	Westenholz, A. (1975), Old Sumerian and Old Akkadian Texts in
DD C	Philadelphia Chiefly from Nippur, Malibu: BiMes 1
PBS	Publications of the Babylonian Section, University of Pennsylvania.
P PDT	Persepolis (used for seals) Çig, M., Kizilyay, H. and Salonen, A. (1954/56), <i>Die Puzriš-Dagan-Texte</i>
PDI	der Istanbuler Archäologischen Museen, Teil I: Nrr. 1–725, Helsinki; and Yildiz, F. and Gomi, T. (1988), Die Puzriš-Dagan-Texte der Istanbuler Archäologischen Museen, Teil II, Wiesbaden
PF	Persepolis fortification text
RA	Revue d'Assyriologie et d'archéologie Orientale
RA 8	Delaporte, L., 'Tablettes de Dréhem', RA 8 (1911), 183–98
RCS	Harper, P.O., Aruz, J. and Tallon, F., eds. (1992), <i>The Royal City of Susa:</i> Ancient Near Eastern Treasures in the Louvre, New York: Metropolitan
D CL I	Museum of Art
RCU	Royal Cemetery of Ur
RE	Pauly's Real-Encyclopädie der klassischen Altertumswissenschaften
RGTC <i>R1A</i>	Répertoire Géographique des Textes Cunéiformes
RIA RN	Reallexikon der Assyriologie Revue Numismatique
RTC	Thureau-Dangin, F. (1903), Recueil de tablettes chaldéennes, Paris: E.
RIC	Leroux
SAAB	State Archives of Assyria Bulletin
SAOC	Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization
SEG	Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum
SEL	Studi Epigrafici e Linguistici sul Vicino Oriente
SH	Tell Shemshara text
STH	Hussey, M.I. (1912), Sumerian Tablets of the Harvard Semitic Museum, Cambridge: HSS 3
StIr	Studia Iranica
STTI	Donbaz, V. and Foster, B.R. (1982), Sargonic Texts from Telloh in the
	Istanbul Archaeological Museum, Philadelphia: Occasional
	Publications of the Babylonian Fund 5
Susa	Anonymous, (no date), Susa, site et musée, Teheran: Ministry of
TATIO	Culture and Arts
TAVO	Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients
TAD	Langdon, S.H. (1911), <i>Tablets from the Archives of Drehem</i> , Paris: Geuthner
TEN	Sigrist, M. (1983), Textes économiques néo-sumériens de l'Université de Syracuse, Paris: Éditions Recherche sur les Civilisations
TMO	Travaux de la Maison de l'Orient (Lyons)
TrD	Genouillac, H. de, (1911), La trouvaille de Dréhem, Paris: Geuthner
TRU	Legrain, L. (1912), Le temps des rois d'Ur, recherches sur la société



xxviii	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS
	antique, d'après des textes nouveaux, Paris: Bibliothèque de l'École des
	Hautes Études 199
TS	Tablette (de) Suse
TuM 5	Pohl, A. (1935), Vorsargonische und sargonische Wirtschaftstexte,
	Leipzig: Texte und Materialien der Frau Professor Hilprecht Collection
	5
UET	Ur Excavation Texts
VAB	Vorderasiatische Bibliothek
VDI	Vestnik Drevnej Istorii
VR	Ville Royale (of Susa)
XP	Xerxes, Persepolis inscriptions
XS	Xerxes, Susa inscriptions
YOS 4	Keiser, C.E. (1919), Selected Temple Documents of the Ur Dynasty,
	New Haven: Yale Oriental Series 4
ZA	Zeitschrift für Assyriologie

Note: Articles in *NABU* are cited by their article, not their page number, e.g. *NABU* (1997), 6 = note number 6 in *NABU* (1997), not page 6.



NOTE ON TRANSLITERATION AND DATING SYSTEMS

As this book is aimed primarily at archaeologists and students of archaeology, rather than Assyriologists, I thought it simplest to forego the use of diacritical marks in the transliteration of Sumerian, Akkadian, Elamite, Aramaic, Syriac, Persian and Arabic words (principally personal names and placenames). I have not sought to impose a single, uniform system of transliteration but have used those forms most current in the archaeological and Assyriological literature today. Where I have cited Elamite and Akkadian terms, these are italicized. Sumerian words and phrases are given in bold.

As for the stipulation of dates, radiocarbon dates when cited are always given in their calibrated form. Absolute dates when cited for individual Mesopotamian rulers conform to the so-called 'Middle chronology' and follow Brinkman 1977.