

| aging populations, demands on healthcare innovation | biotechnology sector |
|--|---|
| 45–47 | building on accumulated tools and information |
| Amgen FIPCO strategy 123–125 | 215–217, 220–221 |
| antisense 122 | business and revenue models, definition of 208–209 |
| Asia, biotechnology sector 153–154, 160–165 | business and revenue models reassessment 208 |
| ASP (application service provision) 326, 343–344 | business models and the value chain in healthcare |
| Australia, biotechnology sector 165–166 | 208–211, 212–221, 353–354 |
| | business models of technology platform companies |
| Bayh-Dole Act (1980) 107 | 191–193 |
| Betaseron (beta-interferon) 113 | capital raising through pharmaceutical outsourcing |
| Biogen RIPCO business strategy 128–129 | 209–210 |
| bioinformatics databases 126 | change motivators in business and revenue models |
| biologicals/bioproducts see biotechnology products | 210–211 |
| biomarkers 62 | changing relationships between alliance partners 196, 219 |
| successes and challenges 63-64 | company definitions and related business models |
| surrogate end points 62-63, 69 | 214–219 |
| biotechnology companies | drivers for individual companies 180–181 |
| challenges for management 150-153 | drivers for the industry 181–183 |
| challenges to business strategy 190-191 | evolution of new business models 215-217, 220-221 |
| entrepreneurial management 150-151 | expanding the position on the value chain 218-219 |
| evolution 36–37 | factors affecting business and revenue models 211–212 |
| financing 41 | FIPCO development 215–218 |
| interaction with other organizations 40 | information transfer problems between partners 219 |
| magnitude of resources required 151-152 | intellectual property issues 218–219 |
| managing periods of financial stress 151-152 | investment levels 212–213 |
| mergers and acquisitions 147-150, 149 | lack of consolidation despite financial pressure 211-213 |
| need for culture of flexibility 152-153 | laws of supply and demand 181-183 |
| ongoing rethinking of business models 190-191 | licensing agreements 213–214 |
| operational management 151 | systems biology 215–217, 220–221 |
| biotechnology-pharmaceutical company alliances 137-150 | value chain points of participation 208-210 |
| benefits to both parties 139-143 | vertical integration 212–219 |
| Bristol-Myers Squibb deal with Imclone 147 | biotechnology sector (therapeutics) |
| changing dynamic of alliances 143-147 | Amgen FIPCO strategy 123–125 |
| differences in ways of doing business 137-139, 142-140 | anemia and erythropoietin 113 |
| difficulties in making alliances work 137-139, 142-140 | antisense 122 |
| influence of genomics technology 145 | Bayh-Dole Act (1980) 107 |
| mergers and acquisitions 147–150 | Betaseron (beta-interferon) and multiple sclerosis 113 |
| partial acquisitions 144–145 | Biogen RIPCO business strategy 128-129 |
| what makes alliances work 139, 142 | bioinformatics databases 126 |
| biotechnology products 27–29 | biotechnology drugs on the market 111 |
| manufacturing and process development 79-80 | blocking single gene functions 122 |
| | |



366

| biotechnology sector (therapeutics) (cont.) | cyclical nature of financing 132-133 |
|--|---|
| business models 122–131 | factors influencing availability of finance 132-133 |
| cancer/renal failure anemia and erythropoietin 113 | financing alternatives 133, 134, 136–137, 138 |
| combinatorial chemistry 120, 126 | financing and the capital markets 132–137, 138 |
| cost of products 115 | government grants 136 |
| cumulative losses 109, 110 | IPO (initial public offering) market 132–133, 134, |
| deals with pharmaceutical companies 110-111 | 136–137, 138 |
| diseases and conditions which have been impacted | PIPEs (private investment in public equity) 134-135, |
| 112–115 | 136–137, 138 |
| DNA microarrays (DNA chips) 121 | private placements 133, 136-137, 138 |
| DNA structure discovery 104 | R&D limited partnerships 135 |
| driving force of innovation 116-122, 123 | SBIR (Small Business Innovative Research) grants 136 |
| FIPCO (or FIBCO), fully integrated | shifts in sources of financing 136-137, 138 |
| (bio)pharmaceutical company model 122-125, 131 | SWORDs (stock-warrant off-balance sheet R&D |
| founding of Genentech 104–105 | financing) 135 |
| founding of the sector 104–105 | venture capital funding 129–131, 133, 134, 136–137, 138 |
| gene therapy 114, 121 | biotechnology sector global structure 153–167 |
| genetic engineering techniques 106 | Asia 153–154, 160–165 |
| genomics (gene structure and function) 117–118, | Australia 165–166 |
| 126–127 | Canada 154, 158–160 |
| growth and investment 110-111 | China 160, 161, 164–165 |
| history 104–108 | comparing US biotechnology with rest of the world |
| HTS (high-throughput screening) 120–121 | 153–154 |
| impact on healthcare 111–115 | Europe 153–158 |
| impact on pharmaceutical sector 115 | Germany 153–154, 155, 157–158 |
| innovative technologies 116–122, 123, 125–127 | global companies 166–167 |
| intellectual property law (patents) protection 105–107 | India 160, 161 |
| major employment area 115 | Japan 161–163 |
| Millennium Pharmaceuticals business strategy 126–127, | Korea 160, 161 |
| 128 | Singapore 153–154, 160, 161, 163–164 |
| monoclonal antibodies 116–117 | Taiwan 160, 161, 163 |
| monoclonal antibody technology 104–105 | UK 153–154, 155–157 |
| multiple sclerosis and Betaseron (beta-interferon) 113 | biotechnology sector regulation 167–180 |
| NRDO (no research development only) companies | biologics approval issues 171 |
| 122–123, 129–131 | bioterrorism 175 |
| pioneering companies 104–105, 107–108 | CBER (Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research) |
| proteomics (protein structure and function) 118–119 | functions 169–173 |
| rational drug design (RDD, structure-based design) 120 | CDER (Center for Drug Evaluation and Research) |
| recombinant DNA (rDNA) techniques 104–105, 106 | functions 169–171 |
| RIPCO (royalty income pharmaceutical company) | drug approval in Europe 176–179 |
| strategy 122–123, 128–129, 131 | drug approval in Japan 176–177, 179–180 |
| RNA interference 122 | drug development for rare diseases 174, 175 |
| sector development in last 30 years 103–104 | drug regulation outside the US 176–180 |
| sector overview 108–111 | embryonic stem cell research 171–172 |
| systems biology 122, 123 | Environmental Protection Agency functions 167–171 |
| technologies which become commoditized 126 | FDA (Food and Drug Administration) functions |
| technology platform business model 122–123, 125–127, | 167–171 |
| 128 | federal regulatory authorities 167–171 |
| technology platforms to advance drug discovery | generic biologics 173 |
| 119–122, 123 | germline gene therapy 173 |
| US biotechnology company revenues 111 | human cloning 172–173 |
| biotechnology sector finance | ICH (International Conference on Harmonization) |
| convertible debt securities 135–136 | 176–177 |
| | |
| cost to develop one drug 132 | Orphan Drug Law (1983) 174, 175 |



367

| Project Bioshield 175 | financing of biotechnology companies 41, 132–137, 138 |
|---|---|
| special regulatory issues 171–175 | FIPCOs (or FIBCOs) |
| US Department of Agriculture functions 167–171 | barriers to development of 350 |
| US drug approval process 167–171 | fully integrated (bio)pharmaceutical company model |
| bioterrorism 175 | 122–125, 131 |
| blockbuster products 81–85 | limited prospects for development in biotechnology |
| effects of patent expiry 145, 146 | sector 215–217, 218 |
| Bristol-Myers Squibb 38 | transition toward fully integrated companies 353 |
| deal with Imclone 147 | France, marketplace regulation 42 |
| business models, biotechnology sector 122-131 | |
| | gene discoveries, licensing 202–203 |
| Canada, biotechnology sector 154, 158–160 | gene functions, selective blocking of single genes 122 |
| CBER (Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research), | gene therapy 114, 121 |
| biotechnology regulation functions 169-171, | Genentech, founding of 104–105 |
| 172–173 | generic biologics 173, 174 |
| CDER (Center for Drug Evaluation and Research), | genetic engineering techniques 106 |
| biotechnology regulation functions 169–171 | genetics, clinical 334 |
| Certificate of Need (CON) laws 10 | genomics |
| cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practices) | gene structure and function 117–118, 126–127 |
| compliance 73, 78–79 | genome science, objectives 193–195 |
| China, biotechnology sector 160, 161, 164–165 | Germany |
| cloning | biotechnology sector 153–154, 155, 157–158 |
| Dolly the sheep (Scotland) 172–173 | marketplace regulation 42–43 |
| human cloning 172–173 | germline gene therapy 173 |
| combinatorial chemistry 120, 126 | global biotechnology companies 166–167 |
| convertible debt securities, biotechnology sector 135–136 | government grants, biotechnology sector 136 |
| CPOE (computerized physician or clinical order entry) | <i>g g</i> |
| systems 330–333 | Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act |
| CRM (customer relationship management) 86–87 | (HIPAA) (1996) 339–341 |
| CT (computerized tomography) 335 | health plans, consumer-directed health plans 341–342 |
| (| healthcare, drivers for advances 45–47 |
| diseases, shifting patterns of 46–47 | healthcare expenditure |
| DNA | costs and benefits 3–4 |
| discovery of structure 104 | reasons for rising costs 3–4 |
| microarrays (DNA chips) 121 | technological imperative 3–4 |
| recombinant DNA (rDNA) techniques 104–105, 106 | healthcare information technology (IT) sector |
| drug discovery, history of 44–45 | acquisition of healthcare IT firms 327, 328 |
| drug technology, new versus older drug technology 44–47 | ASP (application service provision) 326, |
| drugs, proportion of hospital expenses 10–13 | 343–344 |
| drugs, proportion of nospital expenses to 15 | barriers to user adoption 324–325 |
| economic impact of local technology cluster developments | biometrics technology advances 335–337 |
| 17–18 | clinical genetics 334 |
| e-detailing 87 | computerized tomography (CT) 335 |
| electronic medical record (EMR) 330–332 | consumer directed health plans 341–342 |
| erythropoietin, and anemia 113 | cost of clinical informatics software 326 |
| Europe | cost reduction progress 325–326 |
| biotechnology sector 153–158 | CPOE (computerized physician or clinical order entry) |
| drug approval process 176–179 | adoption constraints 332–333 |
| drug approvar process 170–177 | CPOE systems 330–333 |
| FDA (Food and Drug Administration) | |
| cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practices) | development cycles of healthcare IT firms 327, 328 development toward an "intelligent" clinical record |
| enforcement 73, 78–79 | 331–332 |
| Consent Decrees 78–79 | diagnostic applications 333–334 |
| NDA (New Drug Application) approval procedure 65–66 | digital image storage and routing 334–335 |
| 14D1 (14cm Ding Application) approval procedure 02-00 | digital illiage storage and fouting 334-333 |



368

| healthcare information technology (IT) sector (cont.) | healthcare innovation across sectors |
|---|---|
| digital radiology and broadband Internet connectivity 334–335 | "ambidextrous" approach to managing the balancing act 360 |
| electronic medical record (EMR) | balancing "exploitation" with "exploration" 360 |
| 330–332 | balancing short-term and long-term objectives 360 |
| electronic submittal of medical claims 340–341 | barriers to development of FIPCOs 350 |
| fragmentation of the market 326–327 | bioinformatics challenges 352 |
| future directions 344–345 | biotechnology challenges 352 |
| genetic information usage issues 334 | biotechnology RIPCOs 350 |
| GUI (graphical user interface) design 324–325 | commercialization challenges 350 |
| health insurance information systems 339–341 | common business models 353–354 |
| Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act | convergence of many skills and multiple technologies |
| (HIPAA) (1996) 339–341 | 348–349 |
| implantable "intelligent" monitoring and medicating | convergence of markets or sectors 362–363 |
| systems 337–338 | different forms of diversification 357 |
| integration of diagnostic and therapeutic functions 325 | diversification and integration 356–358 |
| "intelligent" monitoring systems 335–339, 337 | financial resources 354–355 |
| Internet connection between health insurers and | FIPCOs 353 |
| subscribers 340–341 | fragmented markets 353–354 |
| Internet submission of medical claims 340–341 | fungibility of resources 355–356 |
| Internet use 326 | integration of knowledge 356–358 |
| location independent monitoring of unstable patients | intellectual property protection complexities 349–350 |
| 335–339, 337 | invention and adoption challenges 348–352 |
| major healthcare IT applications 329–344 | M&A diversification strategies 356–358 |
| market structure 326–329 | M&A impacts 353–354 |
| microchip computing power improvements 325, | market capitalization of different sectors 350–351 |
| 325–326 | medical device sector business environment 351–352 |
| MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) 335 | organizational routines and capabilities 356-361 |
| outsourcing IT applications 343–344 | pharmaceutical sector managerial structure 357–358 |
| outsourcing IT installation and management 342–344 | platform technology challenges 352 |
| outsourcing IT using broadband Internet 343-344 | portfolio management and optimization 358–359 |
| outsourcing of healthcare IT activities 329 | postmerger integration 356–358 |
| PACS (picture archiving communication and storage) | pressures for affordable innovation 360–361 |
| systems 334–335 | sales force resources 355 |
| payer applications 339–341 | scale as a resource 355 |
| remote monitoring of intensive care unit (ICU) patients | strategic alliances management 359-360 |
| 338 | strategic capabilities and key success factors 354-361 |
| remote monitoring/management of patients 335-339, | strategic resources 354–356 |
| 337 | technological convergence across sectors 361–363 |
| sales of financial software and systems 328-329 | transition toward fully integrated companies (FIPCOs) 353 |
| size and potential 323 | healthcare markets, USA 100-101 |
| slow progress due to healthcare system complexity | healthcare value chain, effects of changing business models |
| 323–324 | 208–211, 212–221 |
| systems integration consulting 329, 343 | hospital expenditure management 10-13 |
| technological demands on hardware and software | HTS (high throughput screening) 120-121 |
| 324–326, 325 | μ HTS (ultra high throughput screening) 56–58 |
| telepresence technology and two-way remote physician | human cloning 172–173 |
| visits 338 | Human Genome Project, and technology platform |
| "thin client" architecture 343–344 | companies 192–193 |
| top healthcare software applications providers 328 | Human Genome Services (HGS) alliance with |
| healthcare innovation see also innovation | SmithKlineBeecham 198-203 |
| demands of aging populations 45-47 | |
| meta-environment 40 | ICU (intensive care unit) patients, remote monitoring 338 |
| role of USA 41 | imaging technologies 64, 69 |



369

| IND (Investigational New Drug) approval 59–60 | API (active pharmaceutical ingredient) bulk |
|---|--|
| India, biotechnology sector 160, 161 | manufacturing 74 |
| industrial organization perspective, on corporate | API form/fill/finishing (F/F/F) 74–75 |
| strategies 6 | biotechnology products 79-80 |
| infectious diseases 46-47 | cGMP (current good manufacturing practices) |
| innovation see also healthcare innovation | compliance 73, 78–79 |
| in pharmaceutical companies 249 | compound potency and manufacturing cost 77 |
| major sectors among producers 2 | costs of FDA Consent Decrees 78-79 |
| innovation flow through the value chain 1-2, 32 | customer requirements from pharmaceutical suppliers |
| innovation success | 76 |
| as driver for commercial success 4-5 | making the medicine 74–75 |
| factors contributing to 4-8 | manufacturing excellence inputs 76-78 |
| industrial organization perspective 6 | manufacturing management 73 |
| organizational innovation perspective 7–8 | process optimization 77–78 |
| resource-based view 6-7 | process robustness 77–78 |
| value chain perspective 7 | process which is "in-control" 77–78, 79 |
| innovative technologies, biotechnology sector 116–122, | quality control (cGMP) 73, 78–79 |
| 123, 125–127 | quality systems approaches 79 |
| intellectual property see patents | sales forecast accuracy 76–77 |
| International Conference on Harmonization (ICH), | supplying the medicine 75–76 |
| biotechnology sector regulation 176–177 | value chain within manufacturing 74–76 |
| Internet | manufacturing sectors see also producer sectors |
| broadband connectivity and digital radiology 334-335 | convergent product development 15–17 |
| outsourcing IT using broadband Internet 343–344 | marketplace regulation |
| to connect health insurers with subscribers 340–341 | France 42 |
| to submit medical claims 340–341 | Germany 42–43 |
| use by healthcare information technology (IT) sector | Japan 43 |
| 326 | national approaches and effects 42–43 |
| IPO (initial public offering) market | USA 42 |
| and M&A activity 313–316 | medical device sector |
| biotechnology sector 132–133, 134, 136–137, 138 | 510(k) premarket notification 275–276 |
| reasons for surge in 313–314 | balloon angioplasty catheters 300–301 |
| IT see healthcare information technology (IT) sector | channels for selling 289, 289–291, 292–295 |
| 11 see healthcare information technology (11) sector | characteristics of the sector 271–272 |
| Japan | clinical feedback on products 291 |
| biotechnology sector 161–163 | comparisons with other industries 272–273 |
| drug approval process 176–177, 179–180 | comparisons with other industries 272–277 comparisons with pharmaceutical sector 295–297 |
| marketplace regulation 43 | concentration of buyers/customers 292–295 |
| Johnson & Johnson 37–38 | contribution of materials sciences 300–302 |
| Johnson & Johnson 37–38 | current success 273–274 |
| Korea | direct selling 289, 289–291, 292–295 |
| | |
| biotechnology sector 160, 161 stem cell research 172 | distributor networks for orthopaedic sales 291–292 |
| stem cen research 1/2 | drug-eluting coronary stents 287–289 |
| MO A - (| drug-eluting stent combination technology 302–303 |
| M&As (mergers and acquisitions) see also pharmaceutical | drug-device convergence 302–305 |
| sector M&As | economics and sustained growth 284–285 |
| diversification strategies 356–358 | economics of clinical need 286–289 |
| effects of 353–354 | electronics technologies 297–300 |
| of biotechnology companies 147–150 | favorable pricing and high profitability 285–286 |
| pharmaceutical companies 96–99 | franchise building by sales representatives 290 |
| postmerger integration 356–358 | global vs US sourcing 279–284 |
| managing a biotechnology company 150–153 | history and development of the sector 274 |
| manufacturing and process development | history of medical device regulation 274–275 |
| (pharmaceutical) 73–80 | implantable defibrillators 283–284, 297–300, 299 |



370

| medical device sector (cont.) | M&A activity in the last decade 310-312 |
|--|---|
| "Infuse" spine cage combination technology 303 | M&A cycles of activity 313–316 |
| insulin delivery pumps 302 | public market activity and innovation 319–320 |
| interventional cardiology technology 300–301 | reasons for combinations 316–319 |
| large companies outside the US 280, 281 | reasons for surge in IPOs 313-314 |
| limited consumerism 295–297 | technological–anatomical combinations 318 |
| market breakdown 277–278 | trends in sourcing innovation 319–320 |
| market size 277–278 | medical devices, proportion of hospital expenses 12 |
| medical device approval procedures 274–276 | medical liability in the USA 41 |
| microprocessor technology 297–300 | medical supplies, proportion of hospital expenses 12 |
| orthopaedics materials technology 301 | mergers and acquisitions see M&As |
| orthopaedics sales by distributor network 291–292 | microarrays (DNA chips) 121 |
| PMA (premarket approval) process 275–276 | Millennium Pharmaceuticals business strategy 126–127, 128 |
| predominance of US companies 279–280, 281–282 | money flow through the value chain 1–2 |
| pricing freedom and clinical need 286–289 | monoclonal antibodies 116–117 |
| rates of consumption of medical technology 283–284 | MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) 335 |
| rates of profit generation 292–295 | wird (magnetic resonance imaging) 555 |
| reasons for sustainable profitability 295–297 | NCEs (new chemical entities) 27–28 |
| sales representatives feedback to the company 291 | patents 59 |
| sales representatives educate doctors about products | NDA (New Drug Application) 65–66 |
| 290–291 | NIH (National Institutes of Health), role in healthcare |
| sales representatives vital role 289–291 | innovation 40 |
| sector structure 279 | NPP (new product planning), pharmaceutical sector |
| sector's unique and defining characteristics 284–297 | 80–81 |
| selling efficiencies 292–295 | NRDO (no research development only) companies |
| separation of consumers, customers and payers 285–286 | 122–123, 129–131, 130 |
| size and diversity of companies 279 | • |
| size and profitability 272–273 | organizational innovation perspective, on requirements |
| stent technology 300–301 see also drug-eluting stents | for successful innovation 7–8 |
| technologies 297–305 | Orphan Drug Law (1983) 174, 175 |
| US exports around the world 282–283 | |
| medical device sector drivers 305–310 | PACS (picture archiving communication and storage) |
| aortic aneurysm catheter-based treatment 306-307 | systems 334–335 |
| demographic effects on growth 306 | patents (intellectual property) 59 |
| investment in franchise building 309-310 | patent expiry for blockbuster pharmaceutical products |
| marketing channels or conduits 309-310 | 145, 146 |
| pricing effects on growth 308 | patent protection for biotechnology products 105–107 |
| pricing in the orthopaedic market segment 308 | pharmaceutical commercialization 80-88 |
| pricing of new products 308 | communicating with customers 85-88 |
| procedure penetration as source of growth 307-308 | coordination of marketing and selling functions 88 |
| prognosis for the sector 305 | CRM (customer relationship management) 86-87 |
| sources of growth 305-308 | e-detailing 87 |
| unmet clinical needs as source of growth 306-307 | focus on blockbusters 81-82 |
| medical device sector financing and consolidation trends | launching a blockbuster 82-85 |
| 310–320 | marketing input across the value chain 80-81 |
| acquisition to buy growth 311, 316-317 | multichannel access to customers 86 |
| acquisition to increase size, scope, or geographic reach | NPP (new product planning) 80-81 |
| 318–319 | sales organization 85–86 |
| concentration ratios 312 | telephone and video detailing 87-88 |
| consolidation premise 310 | pharmaceutical companies |
| corporate strategy and M&A activities 313 | alliance partners changing relationships 196, 219 |
| distribution channel acquisitions 317-318 | barriers to entry into the industry 99–100 |
| funding restrictions for small innovative firms 319-320 | biotech companies evolution 36-37 |
| IPOs and M&A activity 313–316 | biotechnology mergers and acquisitions 147–150, 149 |



371

| controversies over mergers 97–99 critical mass concept 97–99 critical mass concept 97–99 critical mass concept 97–99 mbase I testing: first studies of safety in humans 60-history and character 37–40 mbase II testing: first studies of efficacy 61–62 mbase III: definitive multicenter trials 64–65 movation influences 249 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 phase IV studies 66–67 phase IV studies 66–67 phase IV studies 66–67 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 licensing agreement 213–214 mergers and acquisitions (M&A) 96–99, 249 IND (Investigational New Drug) approval 59–60 NDA (New Drug Application) 65–66 phase I testing: first studies of efficacy 61–62 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | -61 |
|--|--------|
| critical mass concept 97–99 RDA (New Drug Application) 65–66 economies of scale 97–99 history and character 37–40 phase II testing: first studies of safety in humans 60- phase II testing: first studies of efficacy 61–62 phase III: definitive multicenter trials 64–65 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 phase IV studies 66–67 Johnson & Johnson 37–38 large size and "innovation gap" 182–183 licensing agreement 213–214 RDA (New Drug Application) 65–66 phase I testing: first studies of efficacy 61–62 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 phase IV studies 66–67 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | -61 |
| economies of scale 97–99 history and character 37–40 phase II testing: first studies of safety in humans 60- phase II testing: first studies of efficacy 61–62 phase III: definitive multicenter trials 64–65 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 phase IV studies 66–67 phase IV studies 66–67 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | -61 |
| history and character 37–40 phase II testing: first studies of efficacy 61–62 impact of M&As on innovation 249 phase III: definitive multicenter trials 64–65 innovation influences 249 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 interaction with other organizations 40 phase IV studies 66–67 Johnson & Johnson 37–38 preclinical and medical development 59–60 large size and "innovation gap" 182–183 preregistration 65 licensing agreement 213–214 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| impact of M&As on innovation 249 innovation influences 249 interaction with other organizations 40 Johnson & Johnson 37–38 large size and "innovation gap" 182–183 licensing agreement 213–214 phase III: definitive multicenter trials 64–65 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 phase IV studies 66–67 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| innovation influences 249 phase III: head to head studies 64–65 interaction with other organizations 40 phase IV studies 66–67 Johnson & Johnson 37–38 preclinical and medical development 59–60 large size and "innovation gap" 182–183 preregistration 65 licensing agreement 213–214 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| interaction with other organizations 40 phase IV studies 66–67 Johnson & Johnson 37–38 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 licensing agreement 213–214 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| Johnson & Johnson 37–38 preclinical and medical development 59–60 preregistration 65 licensing agreement 213–214 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| large size and "innovation gap" 182–183 preregistration 65 licensing agreement 213–214 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| licensing agreement 213–214 probability of success at different stages 59–62, | |
| | |
| | |
| patent expiry and "product gap" 182 registration 65–66 | |
| question of whether large size is beneficial 92–93, 94, pharmaceutical research and development (discovery | |
| 97–99 stage) 51–59 | |
| 0 / | nina |
| , , , | 111115 |
| situations where acquisitions make sense 96–97 (μHTS) 56–58 size as a barrier to entry in pharmaceuticals 99–100 candidate selection 58–59 | |
| 7 1 | |
| stereotypical views of excessive earnings 13–14 differences to academic research 53 | |
| strategic alliance management 95–96 lead generation 56–58 | |
| strategic alliance need 93–94 lead optimization 54–58, 60 | |
| strategic alliance types 93–94 patents (intellectual property) 59 | |
| value strategies as alternatives to M&As 253–256 SAR (Structure-Activity Relationship) 56–58 | |
| pharmaceutical market 29–34 screening to generate leads 56–58 | |
| changes in major therapeutic areas 32–33 target identification 53–55 | |
| generic drug companies 33–34 target validation 55–56 | |
| growth predictions 30–32 pharmaceutical sector | |
| largest pharmaceutical companies 30–32 barriers to entry 99–100 | |
| patent expirations 30–34 cost-effective therapies 256–259, 260–261 | |
| worldwide market size 29–30 crisis in R&D productivity 239–240 | |
| pharmaceutical portfolios criticism of analogue production 36 | |
| business development approach 91–93 criticism of overpricing 35–36 | |
| compensating for drug fallout 89–90 drug development time span 34–35 | |
| in-licensing to improve flow of innovation 91–92 funding a challenging business model 35–36 | |
| internal development approach 89–91 high risk and long time lines for returns 34–35 | |
| monitoring the mix of risk levels 90–91 in-licensing 254–256 | |
| optimization for flow and reduced risk 91 managerial structure 357–358 | |
| outpartnering to keep projects moving 92–93 need for greater efficiency 260–261 | |
| pipeline unlikely to have smooth flow 89–90 overview 27–37 | |
| risk variation among projects 90 potential shift toward consumer products model | |
| spinning out assets into a company 92–93 295–297 | |
| pharmaceutical research and development question of value of large size 260–261 | |
| biological understanding and productivity 69–72 research and development costs 34–36 | |
| challenge to increase cost effectiveness 67–73 sector characteristics 34–36 | |
| critical mass in spending 238 value chain alliances with payers and patients 256– | 259 |
| cross-functional team approach 72–73 value chain alliances with physicians and hospitals | |
| factors affecting productivity 67–73 259–260 | |
| fast fail approach to development 70–72 value creation through value chain alliances 250, 256- | -260 |
| flexible organizational structure 72–73 pharmaceutical sector M&As | |
| reasons for high expense and high risk 67–69 adaptive and defensive rationales 229–236 | |
| pharmaceutical research and development (development clear strategic intent 250–251 | |
| stage) 59–62 critical mass in R&D spending 238 | |
| biomarkers, 62–63, 69 disruptive change opportunity 229–230, 240 | |
| FDA approval procedure 65–66 economies of scale in sales and marketing 247–249 | |



372

| pharmaceutical sector M&As (cont.) | value sources in the M&A process 250-254 |
|---|---|
| environmental pressures which prompt consolidation | value strategies as alternative to mergers 253-256 |
| 226–227 | pharmaceutical therapy |
| evidence from academic studies and consulting firms | adverse effects 44–45 |
| 242–248 | aging populations 45–47 |
| financial outcomes 244–246, 248–249 | better targeting by newer drugs 44–45 |
| future of M&As 254–256 | care gains and productivity improvements 43-44 |
| global market control by industry leaders 227-229 | drivers for advances 45–47 |
| horizontal integration effects 249 | history of drug discovery 44-45 |
| impact on growth in sales and operating profits | new vs older drug technology 44-47 |
| 245–246, 248–249 | value proposition 43–44 |
| impact on innovation 249 | pharmaceuticals |
| impact on market share 246, 248–249 | biologicals/bioproducts 27–29 |
| impact on performance of pharmaceutical firms 241–242 | definition of 27–28 |
| impact on stock price 244–246, 248–249 | estimates of potential demand 47-51 |
| impacts 223–224 | future demand and company strategy 50-51 |
| implementation difficulties due to multiple rationales | large molecules (bioproducts) 28–29 |
| 240–241 | NCEs (new chemical entities) 27–28 |
| leapfrog competition in mergers 234 | pricing variables 49–50 |
| low R&D productivity as prompt for M&As 248–249 | research and development process 51–62 |
| measuring the impact of a merger 241–242 | small molecules (NCEs) 28–29 |
| merger partner selection 251 | world market size and growth predictions 48-49 |
| mergers as defense against acquisition 235–236 | physicians, relationships with vendors 9–10 |
| mergers to maintain national ownership 235–236 | PIPEs (private investment in public equity), biotechnology |
| multiple rationales and lack of clear goals 240–241 | 134–135, 136–137, 138 |
| negative results of M&As 249 | PMA (premarket approval) process, medical device sector |
| postmerger integration process 251–253 | 275–276 |
| proactive and offensive rationales 229–230, 236–240 | poor countries |
| rationales from industrial organization theory and | high need for medicines 46–47 |
| research 224–226 | shifting patterns of disease 46–47 |
| rationales stated by pharmaceutical companies 224–226 | Porter, Michael 6, 17–18 |
| rationales which may not have direct benefits 225–226 | portfolio management and optimization 358–359 |
| relationship between scale and R&D inputs 242, | private placements, biotechnology sector 133, 136–137, 138 |
| 248–249 | producer sectors see also manufacturing sectors |
| relationship between scale and R&D outputs 243–244, | capital requirements 18 |
| 248–249 | development cycles timescales 18–19 |
| sales force increase in size 234–235, 238–239 | domestic to global business scales 19 |
| scale and scope relatioship with efficiency 246–249 | economic importance 14, 31 |
| serial consolidators 234–235 | financial support for other parts of the value chain 15 |
| strategic alliances as alternative to M&As 254–256 | high risk 18 |
| to achieve economies of scale 225, 238–239, 242–248 | innovation in 2 |
| to achieve economies of scope 239 | sector age and strategic behavior 18–19 |
| to combat increased profit pressures 2, 230–231 | short-term and long-term impact of innovations 3–4, 8 similarities and differences across 18–19 |
| to compensate for low pipeline productivity 232–234 to cut infrastructure costs 231–232 | size and diversity of operations 19 |
| | , 1 |
| to extend capabilities to new therapeutic areas 237 | stereotypical views of excessive earnings 13–14 |
| to gain access to foreign markets 236–237 | timescales of development cycles 18–19 |
| to improve R&D productivity 239–240 | product development, combined technologies 15–17 |
| to maintain competitive scale and scope 234–235 | Project Bioshield 175 |
| to maintain earnings growth 232–234 | proteomics (protein structure and function) 118–119 providers |
| trends among pharmaceutical and biotechnology | 1 |
| companies 226–229, 230 value creation through value chain alliances 250, | controlling diffusion of new technology 10–13 lack of focus on upstream supply costs 10–13 |
| 256–260 | provider-yendor strategic partnerships 13 |
| 6. (U=61)U | DIOVIDEI TVEHUOL SHAREVIC DALHIELSHIDS 1 7 |



373

| R&D limited partnerships, biotechnology sector 135 | factors for operationally effective alliances 205 |
|---|---|
| RDD (rational drug design, structure-based design) 120 | failure of genomics–proteomics strategic alliances 206–207 |
| recombinant DNA (rDNA) techniques 104–105, 106 | genomics–proteomics companies emergence 197–208 |
| resource-based view, of distinctive capabilities and | genomics–proteomics for target discovery and |
| strategic alliances 6–7 | validation 195–197, 198, 199 |
| RIPCOs (royalty income pharmaceutical company) | history of the biotechnology sector 192–193 |
| biotechnology sector 122–123, 128–129, | Human Genome Services (HGS) alliance with |
| 131, 350 | SmithKlineBeecham 198–203 |
| RNA interference 122 | innovation gap in pharmaceutical discovery 195–197 |
| Sama G. Assantia 20, 40 | licensing of gene discoveries 202–203 |
| Sanofi-Aventis 39–40 | measuring alliance performance 205–208 |
| SBIR (Small Business Innovative Research) grants, | objectives of genomics 193–195 |
| biotechnology sector 136 | origins 191–192 |
| Scotland, cloning of Dolly the sheep 172–173 | proteomics 193–195 |
| Singapore | roles in the value chain 199, 201, 203–204 |
| biotechnology sector 153–154, 160, 161, 163–164 | specialized methods and technology 197, 198, 199 |
| stem cell research 172 | strategic alliance components 200–202 |
| stem cell research | strategic alliances 197–208 |
| outside the US 172 | strategic alliances model 198–202 |
| within the US 171–172 | technology development alliances 199, 201, 203–205 |
| strategic alliances | technology transfer alliances 205 |
| biotechnology-pharmaceutical company 137–150 | therapeutic area alliances 202–203 |
| management of 359–360 | "toolbox companies" 192–193 |
| technology platform companies 197–208 | transfer of discovery between alliance participants |
| strategic partnerships, vendor–provider 13 | 204–205 |
| structure–activity relationship (SAR) 56–58 | technology platforms, to advance drug discovery 119–122. |
| SWORDs (stock-warrant off-balance sheet R&D | 123 |
| financing), biotechnology sector 135 | telephone and video detailing 87–88 |
| systems biology 122, 123 | ultus high throughout concering (UITS) 56 59 |
| future role in biotechnology 215–217, 220–221 | ultra high throughput screening (µHTS) 56–58 |
| Taiwan histochnology sector 160, 161, 162 | UK, biotechnology sector 153–154, 155–157 universities, role in healthcare innovation 40 |
| Taiwan, biotechnology sector 160, 161, 163 technological convergence across sectors 361–363 | USA |
| technological convergence across sectors 301–303 technological imperative in healthcare expenditures 3–4, | healthcare market 100–101 |
| 9–10 | marketplace regulation 42 |
| technological innovation, biotechnology sector | medical liability 41 |
| (therapeutics) 116–122, 123, 125–127 | role in healthcare innovation 41 |
| technologies | Tole in neutricare innovation 41 |
| convergence across sectors 15–17 | value chain |
| which become commoditized 126 | in healthcare 1–2 |
| technology cluster developments | levels of returns in different blocks 15 |
| competitive benefits 17–18 | within manufacturing 74–76 |
| impact on local and national economies 17–18 | value chain perspective, on business models and trading |
| technology platform companies | relationships 7 |
| and the Human Genome Project 192–193 | value chain roles of technology platform companies 199, |
| business and revenue models reassessment 208 | 201, 203–204 |
| business model 122–123, 125, 125–127, 128, | vendor–provider strategic partnerships 13 |
| 191–193 | vendors, relationships with physicians 9–10 |
| changes in focus due to failure of alliances 207–208 | venture capital funding, biotechnology sector 129–131, |
| current changes in biotechnology and investment focus | 133, 134, 136–137, 138 |
| 207–208 | |
| data-mining alliances 198, 203 | Wharton School curriculum 1–19 |