Voter Turnout and the Dynamics of Electoral Competition in Established Democracies since 1945

Voting is a habit. People learn the habit of voting, or not, based on experience in their first few elections. Elections that do not stimulate high turnout among young adults leave a "footprint" of low turnout in the age structure of the electorate as many individuals who were new at those elections fail to vote at subsequent elections. Elections that stimulate high turnout leave a high turnout footprint. So a country's turnout history provides a baseline for current turnout that is largely set, except for young adults. This baseline shifts as older generations leave the electorate and as changes in political and institutional circumstances affect the turnout of new generations. Among the changes that have affected turnout in recent years, the lowering of the voting age in most established democracies has been particularly important in creating a low turnout footprint that has grown with each election.

Mark N. Franklin is the John R. Reitemeyer Professor of International Politics at Trinity College Connecticut and past Chair of the Political Science Department there. He was previously a John and Rebecca Moores Professor at the University of Houston, Texas, where he was also Director of Graduate Studies in the Department of Political Science. Before that he taught for twenty years at the University of Strathclyde, Scotland. Dr. Franklin has been a Principal Investigator of the European Election Studies Project since 1987; is the author or co-author of seven books, including *Choosing Europe*? (1996), *Electoral Change* (1992), and *The Decline of Class Voting in Britain* (1985); and is the editor or co-editor of five more, including *The Future of Election Studies* (2002) and *Parliamentary Questions* (1993).

Voter Turnout and the Dynamics of Electoral Competition in Established Democracies since 1945

MARK N. FRANKLIN

Trinity College Connecticut

with assistance from Cees van der Eijk, Diana Evans, Michael Fotos, Wolfgang Hirczy de Mino, Michael Marsh, and Bernard Wessels



Cambridge University Press
0521541476 - Voter Turnout and the Dynamics of Electoral Competition in Established
Democracies since 1945
Mark N. Franklin
Frontmatter
More information

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Mark N. Franklin 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United States of America

Typeface Sabon 10/13.5 pt. System LATEX 2_E [TB]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Franklin, Mark N.
Voter turnout and the dynamics of electoral competition in established democracies since 1945 / Mark N. Franklin.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-521-83364-7 - ISBN 0-521-54147-6 (pbk.)
I. Voting - History - 20th century.
2. Political participation - History - 20th century.
3. Democracy - History - 20th century.
I. Title.
JF1001.F729 2004
324.9'045-dc21 2003055054

ISBN 0 521 83364 7 hardback ISBN 0 521 54147 6 paperback

This book is for Diane

Contents

Figures		<i>page</i> viii
Tables		ix
Pre	Preface	
The Authors		XV
	Introduction	I
г.	Confronting the Puzzles of Voter Turnout	9
2.	A New Approach to the Calculus of Voting	37
3.	The Role of Generational Replacement in Turnout Change	59
4.	Rational Responses to Electoral Competition	91
5.	Explaining Turnout Change in Twenty-Two Countries	119
6.	The Character of Elections and the Individual Citizen	151
7.	Understanding Turnout Decline	171
8.	The Turnout Puzzles Revisited	201
APF	PENDICES	
А.	The Surveys Employed in This Book	225
В.	Aggregate Data for Established Democracies, 1945–1999	231
C.	Supplementary Findings	237
Bib	liography	251
Author Index		263
Subject Index		267
		vii

Figures

1.1	Average Turnout in Decades for Thirty-Nine Countries	
	since 1945	page 10
3.1	Turnout at Legislative Elections in Six Countries,	
	1960–1999	67
3.2	Expected Long-Term Evolution of Turnout Following	
	an Extension of the Franchise to Younger Voters	82
3.3	Expected Long-Term Evolution of Turnout Following	
	Extension of the Franchise to a Previously	
	Disenfranchised Group	83
3.4	Typical Expected Long-Term Evolution of Turnout	
	Following a Change in Election Law	84
4.1	The Evolution of Turnout in Malta and Switzerland,	
	1947-1999	93
4.2	Changing Turnout in Presidential Year Congressional	
	Elections, 1840–1988, Compared with Changes in	
	Margin of Victory	110
5.1	Average Turnout in Five-Year Periods for Twenty-Two	
	Countries, 1945-1999	120
7.1	Predicted and Actual Average Turnout in Five-Year	
	Periods for Twenty-Two Countries, 1950s–1990s	172
С.1	Expected and Actual Turnouts for Austria to Germany	238
C.2	Expected and Actual Turnouts for Iceland to	
	The Netherlands	240
C.3	Expected and Actual Turnouts for New Zealand to the	
	United States	242

viii

Tables

1.1	Mean, Standard Deviation, Trend, and Standard Error of Estimate for Turnout in Twenty-Two Countries,	
	1945-1999	page 11
2.1	Three Levels of Political Context and the Types of	
	Information They Provide	44
3.1	Average Turnout by Cohort and Year for British	
	Elections, 1964–1997 (percentages)	69
3.2	Average Turnout by Cohort for Six Countries, Earliest	
	and Most Recent Cohorts	72
3.3	Mean Absolute Cohort Turnout Differences in Six	
	Countries	73
3.4	Regression Findings (Panel Corrected Standard Errors	, 2
	in Parentheses)	77
4. I	Effects of Divided Government and Distance Between	
•	Parties on Turnout (Standard Errors in Parentheses)	102
4.2	Correlations with Turnout at U.S. Presidential	
•	Elections, 1840–1988	106
4.3	Explaining House and Presidential Turnout at U.S.	
• •	Midterm and Presidential Elections, 1840–1988	109
5.1	Three Models Explaining Turnout in Twenty-Two	
<u> </u>	Countries, 1945–1999, Using AR1 Correction for	
	Autocorrelation (Panel Corrected Standard Errors in	
	Parentheses)	133
5.2	Three Models Explaining Turnout in Twenty-Two	-))
J.=	Countries, 1945–1999, Using Fixed-Effects Regression	
	(Standard Errors in Parentheses)	135
	(otalidata Errors in Farencieses)	133

Cambridge University Press
0521541476 - Voter Turnout and the Dynamics of Electoral Competition in Established
Democracies since 1945
Mark N. Franklin
Frontmatter
More information

х	Tables	
5.3	Effects of Further Aspects of the Character of Elections	
	When Added, One at a Time, to Model E (Standard	
	Errors in Parentheses)	143
6.1	Individual Level Variables for Explaining Electoral	
(-	Participation in Germany Differences in Proportions Voting that Result from	154
6.2	Shifts from Minimum to Maximum in Independent	
	Variables When Other Such Variables Are Held at	
	Their Mean Values	156
6.3	Differences in Proportions Voting that Result from	190
0.7	Shifts from Minimum to Maximum in Independent	
	Variables with Other Variables Held at Their Mean	
	Values, Separated Interactions	159
6.4	Effects on Campaign Variables of Other Independent	
	Variables in Tables 6.2 and 6.3 from OLS Regression	
	Analysis (Standard Errors in Parentheses)	163
6.5	Direct, Indirect (via Campaign Variables), and Total	
	Effects of Different Categories of Variables for New	
	Cohorts	165
7 . 1	Values of Dependent and Independent Variables, with	
	Actual and Estimated Turnout Changes	174
7.2	Tracking of Turnout by Country Using Predictions	0
	from Chapter 5's Model E	181
7.3	Number of Countries for Which Turnout Moved Up or Down Between the 1960s and 1990s due to Changes in	
	Particular Independent Variables	183
74	Comparing Findings Without Cohort Effects with	103
/•4	Findings that Take Account of Cohort Effects Using	
	Fixed-Effects Regression (Standard Errors in	
	Parentheses)	187
С.1	Cohort Effects in Six Countries (for Table 3.3 in	/
	Chapter 3)	244
C.2	Logistic Regression Results for Table 6.2, Model C	246
	Logistic Regression Results for Table 6.3, Model E	247
C.4	Predicated and Actual Turnout Change, 1960s to	
_	1990s, Australia to Israel (for Table 7.3)	249
C.5	Predicated and Actual Turnout Change, 1960s to	
	1990s, Italy to United States (for Table 7.3)	250

Preface

Human beings, it has been suggested, have a "puzzle instinct" (Danesi 2002) – a fascination with puzzles and an aptitude for solving them. Academic research in most disciplines is all about puzzle-solving, but political science is perhaps unusual in being home to a great many puzzles that are of interest beyond the walls of academe. Bernard Grofman recently edited a book with the title *Political Science as Puzzle-Solving* (2001) whose premise was that interesting puzzles lead us to topics where the tools and skills of political science can be brought to bear, teaching us useful things about the world.

If the vexing questions of political science can be regarded as puzzles, the particular topic of voter turnout could be called the "grand enchilada" of puzzles in political science. As we will see in Chapter 1, almost everything about voter turnout is puzzling, from the question of why anyone bothers to vote at all to the question of why certain variables appear to explain voter turnout in some circumstances but not in others.

I became interested in these puzzles in the early 1990s as a byproduct of my interest in elections to the European Parliament. Turnout in these elections is very low despite the fact that they occur in countries (of the European Union) where turnout levels in national elections are generally high. The attempt to explain low turnout in European Parliament elections in terms that would be generalizable – an explanation that would say more than that these elections are different or exceptional – led me to an interest in turnout in general, reinforced by

xii

Preface

the fact that I found myself supervising a dissertation at the University of Houston on the topic of voter turnout. These two experiences, which coincided in time, brought home to me the fact that this is a vitally important area of research that is very poorly understood. As my interest in voter turnout moved beyond European Parliament elections to elections in general, I became aware that the United States (to which I had moved in 1989) was a country in which voter turnout presented a particular puzzle. Why, in the world's oldest democracy (or perhaps second-oldest, depending on how you count), was turnout at national elections so abysmally low? When I became aware that the other country, Switzerland, which vies for the title of "world's oldest democracy," was also one in which turnout was abysmally low, I decided that this phenomenon was definitely worthy of extended study.

These three explanations for my interest in voter turnout also provide the start of an explanation for why this book has so many coauthors. Some were my co-investigators on the European Elections Study project. Another is the scholar who was the student writing that dissertation. Still others became involved when my interest in voter turnout moved beyond my areas of expertise (either in terms of countries I know well or of political science subfields in which I am well versed). I have always believed in making use of colleagues when I find myself out of my depth, and the number of co-authors who share credit for this book attests to the frequency with which I was confronted by my own ignorance when addressing the voter turnout puzzles.

The contributions made by those with whom I share this book's authorship vary greatly, from helping me to sort out the vagaries of German and other survey data (Bernard Wessels) through the coauthorship of a conference paper that is the basis of a chapter that I could not have written alone (Mike Fotos), to long discussions and years of collaboration on multiple projects central and peripheral to this one (Cees van der Eijk, Diana Evans, Wolfgang Hirczy, and Michael Marsh). Where these contributors share authorship of particular passages, sections, or chapters, this is acknowledged in footnotes. I am grateful for their willingness to share the fruit of our joint labors. The effects of these individuals on the book more generally is acknowledged here, along with my debts to other scholars who have labored in the vineyard of voter turnout studies. These are too numerous to list exhaustively, and I am sure they know who they are, but I must mention

Preface

Vanessa Baird, André Blais, Bernie Grofman, Peter Hall, Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Arend Lijphart, Patrick Lyons, Johan Martinsson, Frank Niles, Pippa Norris, Thomas Reilly, Peter Selb, James Tilley, and Jack Vowles. These are colleagues who helped me with analysis or interpretation, provided me with data, and/or commented on all or portions of this book. I also owe a debt of gratitude to Heather McMullen and John A. Collins, of Harvard College Libraries, who helped me to track down union membership figures and other hard-to-find social statistics.

The research was supported by a generous Fellowship from the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation (topped up by a faculty research grant from Trinity College Connecticut), by research funds from the Reitemeyer endowment at Trinity College, and by the hospitality of both the Harvard Center for European Studies during the academic year 2001–2002 and the Wissenschaftszentrum, Berlin, during the spring of 2002.

For suggesting the title, checking the references, listening patiently as I worked through my ideas, and helping in countless other ways (not least by tolerating months of preoccupation verging on obsession), the debt I owe my wife, Diane, cannot possibly be adequately expressed.

Though all of these people and institutions deserve credit and thanks, none of them bears responsibility for remaining errors and infelicities, which no doubt are numerous despite all my efforts and theirs.

> Mark N. Franklin *Cambridge*, *Massachusetts*

xiii

The Authors

Mark Franklin is the Reitemeyer Professor of International Politics at Trinity College Connecticut and Associated Professor at the Amsterdam School of Communications Research, University of Amsterdam.

Cees van der Eijk is Professor of Political Science at the Amsterdam School of Communications Research, University of Amsterdam.

Diana Evans is Professor of Political Science at Trinity College Connecticut.

Michael Fotos is Adjunct Professor of Political Science at Trinity College Connecticut.

Wolfgang Hirczy de Mino is Adjunct Professor of Political Science at the University of Houston.

Michael Marsh is Associate Professor of Political Science at Trinity College, Dublin.

Bernard Wessels is Director of Research at the Wissenschaftzentrum, Berlin.