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The goal of this book is to describe and explain the formation over a century and a half of nationwide electoral alignments, party systems, and cleavage constellations in Western Europe. The progressive transformation of politics from local into national is often referred to as the “nationalization of politics” – or “electoral politics” – that is, the formation of national parties and party systems that parallels (but is not simply a reflection of) the creation of a national community through the expansion of state administration, the building and integration of national identities, and the process of social and geographical mobilization triggered by the Industrial Revolution. This macrophenomenon of “democratic integration” is analyzed over time and across countries and is broken down for all cleavages – state–church, center–periphery, rural–urban, and the class cleavage – as well as for the major party families that emerged from them.

When I began working on this project, it soon became clear that the necessary data would not be easily available. The comparative and historical analysis of the territorial structures of electorates, party systems, and voting behavior in Europe requires electoral data at the constituency level, and very soon in the course of the analysis, it also became clear that a long-term historical perspective would be necessary to analyze earlier periods of modern elections – that is, the periods of formation of national party systems and electoral competition. I therefore started a systematic collection of election results at the University of Geneva and, later, at the European University Institute, which I thought possible to complete in “a couple of years.” Things obviously turned out differently, and the original project bifurcated in two different directions. The collection of data paralleled by a thorough documentation eventually developed into an independent project,
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which was completed in 1999 at the Mannheim Centre for European Social Research (MZES) and transformed into a book supplemented with a CD-ROM (Elections in Western Europe since 1815: Electoral Results by Constituencies [London and New York: Macmillan, 2000]). That work aimed to present and thoroughly document the wealth of historical and institutional material collected on elections, parties, and representation systems in Europe, with a CD-ROM making electoral results by constituencies available to the wider scientific community in several machine-readable formats for the first time.

The present volume – based on those unexplored electoral data – addresses more directly analytical macrohistorical and comparative questions. Long delayed by the burden of data collection, however, the original theoretical framework looked unsatisfactory to me (a first essay on the nationalization of electoral politics had appeared in the Rivista Italiana di Scienza Politica in 1994 and was then translated into a shorter article in West European Politics in 1996). I have therefore modified and simplified it. In this book, furthermore, most technicalities have been omitted (some of which have been relegated to the appendices) and are limited to the indispensable data description. For more details on data and sources, readers can refer to Elections in Western Europe since 1815 (henceforth I shall use the abbreviated form EWE-1815 since I often refer to that work myself).

This book has been written in several steps, from the early work at the European University Institute to the completion of data collection at the MZES from 1996 to 1998 and again from 2000 to 2002 at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies (EUI). I am deeply grateful to these institutions and their former directors, Peter Flora and Yves Mény, for their generosity and substantive support. I have also greatly profited from a Jemolo Fellowship at Nuffield College, the University of Oxford, in the summer of 2001. I wish to express grateful thanks to Stefano Bartolini for his constant advice and support since the early days of the project. This book owes much to his approach to empirical comparative and historical research. My regret is that too many of his suggestions remain unrealized. Among the many other persons who over the years read earlier versions of the work, I am particularly indebted to Hanspeter Kriesi, Peter Mair, and Charles Tilly for their valuable comments. The suggestions of Margaret Levi and the anonymous reviewers of Cambridge University Press also proved extremely helpful. I wish to thank them for their attentive reading of the manuscript. For all shortcomings and errors in the final product, I obviously remain the only one to blame.
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