ANCIENT GREEK MUSIC A NEW TECHNICAL HISTORY

This book endeavours to pinpoint the relations between musical, and especially instrumental, practice and the evolving conceptions of pitch systems. It traces the development of ancient melodic notation from reconstructed origins, through various adaptations necessitated by changing musical styles and newly invented instruments, to its final canonical form. It thus emerges how closely ancient harmonic theory depended on the culturally dominant instruments, the lyre and the aulos. These threads are followed down to late antiquity, when details recorded by Ptolemy permit an exceptionally clear perspective on the harmonic relations underlying the extant melody fragments. Dr Hagel discusses the textual and pictorial evidence, introducing mathematical approaches wherever feasible, but also contributes to the interpretation of instruments in the archaeological record and occasionally is able to outline the general features of instruments not directly attested. The book will be indispensable to all those interested in Greek music, technology and performance culture and the general history of musicology.

STEFAN HAGEL holds a research post at the Commission for Ancient Literature of the Austrian Academy of Sciences. His interests focus on ancient Greek music and metre, including reconstruction of instruments and performance techniques. He also creates dedicated software for scholarly purposes and his *Classical Text Editor* received the European Academic Software Award.

ANCIENT GREEK MUSIC A NEW TECHNICAL HISTORY

STEFAN HAGEL

Austrian Academy of Sciences



© in this web service Cambridge University Press

www.cambridge.org

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-51764-5 - Ancient Greek Music: A New Technical History Stefan Hagel Frontmatter More information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

> Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521517645 © Stefan Hagel 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-51764-5 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-51764-5 - Ancient Greek Music: A New Technical History Stefan Hagel Frontmatter More information

> ἐπὶ κύδεϊ σοῦ πατρὸς καὶ κάρτει σῷ μάκαρ πάλιν ὑμνοπολεύσω πάλι σοι μέλος ἄσω τάχα καὶ κιθάραν πάλιν πανακήρατον ἁρμόσω. (Synes., Hymn 7)

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-51764-5 - Ancient Greek Music: A New Technical History Stefan Hagel Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Contents

List of diagrams pag	
List of tables	
List of figures	
List of abbreviations	xiv
Preface	xv
1 The evolution of ancient Greek musical notation	I
The notation	I
The problem: Dorian marginalised	9
The evidence of the developed notation	ΙO
Towards a diachronic approach	16
The origins of the notation	20
The introduction of <i>tónoi</i>	26
Vocal notation	29
A common top note	34
Notation in <i>tónoi</i>	38
The Mixolydian <i>tónos</i>	41
The chromatic system	44
Chromatic strokes	5 1
2 Notation, instruments and the voice	53
Bellermann's Second Anonymus	53
Ptolemy	56
Pollux	61
Porphyry	61
Musical practice	65
Absolute pitch	68
Vocal range	71
Lyre range	76
Ptolemy's <i>lýra</i>	77
Constructing a history of strings: Boethius and Nicomachus	80
Lyre physics	88
Conclusion	92

vi	ii Contents	
	Notating the cithara tunings	95
3	Notation in the handbooks	97
,	Gaudentius, Alypius, Bacchius, Bellermann's Anonymi	97
	Boethius	98
4	Strings and notes	103
	Note names by 'thesis' and 'dynamis'	103
	Ptolemy	106
	The question of <i>mésē</i>	117
	The <i>bormasía</i>	I 2 2
	How to tune a lyre	133
5	Fine tuning	135
	General considerations	135
	Systematic restrictions	138
	'Philolaus'	143
	Aristoxenus and the auletic viewpoint	151
	'Pythagorean' orthodoxy	158
	Thrasyllus	159
	Nicomachus, 'Timaeus Locrus' and Boethius	160
	Minor Sources	166
	Superparticularity	169
	Archytas	171
	Didymus	182
	Ptolemy	194
6	Going beyond Ptolemy?	217
	The soft diatonic and tense chromatic semitones	217
	Modality	219
	Focal notes	219
	Intervallic structure	229
	Lydian Llum ala dian	230
	Hyperiastian	245
	Iastian	240
7	Assisted resonance	251
	The resonators described by Vitruvius	251
	Interpretation of the resonators	252
8	The extant musical documents	256
	Individual scores	256
	Conclusions	325

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-51764-5 - Ancient Greek Music: A New Technical History
Stefan Hagel
Frontmatter
More information

Contents	ix
9 Aulos types and pitches	327
Earlier auloi and their iconography	328
The proslambanómenos aulos	332
The Hellenistic ' <i>tónoi</i> aulos'	343
Roman Imperial auloi	351
Transformation into the Roman Imperial system	361
The <i>hýdraulis</i>	364
10 Before Aristoxenus	366
Early notation	366
The signs	366
The general approach	368
Harmonic theory	371
Dating the Aristides scales?	390
Early auloi	393
The 'enharmonic' intervals	413
Lost 'modes'	429
Pentatonic precursors?	435
1 I Synthesis	442
Historical overview	442
Transcribing ancient notation	452
Bibliography	
Editions quoted by page numbers	454
Modern works	455
Indices	
Ancient passages cited	472
Manuscripts	482
Inscriptions	482
Musical documents	482
Personal names	483

Diagrams

1 The 'Unmodulating System' (<i>sýstēma ametábolon</i>)	6
2 The fully developed notation system	13
3 The <i>tónoi</i> forming the circle of fifths	15
4 The ancient scales described by Aristides Quintilianus	18
5 The three-scale stage of the notation	24
6 The shift of the 'natural' scale to Hypolydian	29
7 The invention of the vocal notation	31
8 The notation of Aristides' ancient scales	33
9 The Aristides scales set to their respective tónoi	36
10 The tonal material of Limenios' paean	40
11 The system of seven <i>tónoi</i>	42
12 Chromatic Mixolydian notated	46
13 The notational system as consisting of an enharmonic and a chromatic half	48
14 Keys used in different periods and by different instruments (Anon. Bell. 2, § 28) 54
15 Possible connections between cithara tunings	60
16 Porphyry's construction of Ptolemy's tunings	62
17 Porphyry's reference to tetrachords	64
18 Percentage of notes in musical documents as included in Ptolemy's tunings	67
19 Mean pitch of the musical documents	69
20 Theoretical voice and lyre ranges and the distribution of extant notes	72
21 Note ranges associated with <i>tónoi</i> groups	75
22 Pitch ranges compared	93
23 Anon. Bell. §64 as referring to the Lydian	94
24 Ptolemy's cithara tunings notated	96
25 Purported ancient lyre tunings behind the note names	104
26 Addressing notes by cithara string (Ptol., <i>Harm.</i> 2.1)	109
27 Modulation by <i>khrōmatikē</i>	III
28 Origin of the concepts of fixed and moving notes	114
29 Heptatonic tunings 'by consonance' on a lyre with 'Dorian <i>nete</i> '	115
30 <i>Koiné hormasia</i> , left column, as a tuning instruction	125
31 <i>Koiné hormasia</i> , left column, as a tonal structure	127
32 <i>Koiné hormasía</i> , right column	130
33 'Pythagorean' diatonic and chromatic as a consequence of modulation	139
34 A possible interpretation of psPlut., Mus. 1145 d	142

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-51764-5 - Ancient Greek Music: A New Technical History
Stefan Hagel
Frontmatter
More information

Diagrams	xi
35 Philolaus' tetrachord tunings according to Burkert / West	147
36 Aristoxenus' tetrachord divisions	153
37 'Ditonic', 'sweetened' and ¾-tone enharmonic	155
38 Producing Aristoxenus' shades on a ¾-¾-1 tones aulos	157
39 The division of the universal soul	162
40 Boethius' tetrachord divisions	165
41 The symmetry of the Pythagorean Perfect System (Anecd. Stud., 4–7)	168
42 Archytas' tetrachord divisions	171
43 Aristoxenus' 'Archytan' divisions	172
44 Archytas' whole-tone chromatic	179
45 Eratosthenes' tetrachord divisions	183
46 Ptolemy's canon lengths for Aristoxenus' 'tense diatonic'	184
47 Didymus' tetrachord divisions	189
48 Bridge positions for Didymus' central octave	191
49 The epicentric symmetry inherent in Didymus' canon	193
50 Determinative factors in Ptolemy's cithara tunings	196
51 Ptol., <i>Harm.</i> 2.1, p. 42.10–43.8	207
52 Ptol., <i>Harm.</i> 2.1, p. 43.9–18	209
53 Ptolemy's tense diatonic	2 I 2
54 Ptol., <i>Harm.</i> 2.1, p. 44.13–45.10	2 I 3
55 Ptol., <i>Harm.</i> 2.1, p. 45.11–22	214
56 Possible harmonic-series-generated focal notes in Ptolemy's tunings	224
57 Relative frequency of individual notes before and after Ptolemy	225
58 Possible focal notes and observed note frequency AD 0–150	227
59 Evolution of Lydian note frequency	228
60 Pattern codes for intervals	230
61 Intervals in Lydian melodies AD 0–150	23 I
62 Harmonic structure of Lydian melodies AD 0–150	232
63 Ptolemy's instrumental and vocal <i>lýdia</i>	241
64 A possible interpretation of Ptolemy's vocal <i>lýdia</i>	242
65 Note frequency and repetition frequency in Lydian melodies AD 0–150	244
66 Intervals in AD Hypolydian melodies	246
67 Intervals in Hyperiastian melodies AD 0–150	247
68 Intervals in Hyperiastian melodies after AD 150	248
69 Intervals in Iastian melodies AD 0–150	249
70 Location of resonators in a large theatre (Vitruv. 5.5)	252
71 Theatre resonators vs. notes in the musical documents	253
72 Theatre resonators vs. notes, within functional octave	254
73 Melodic context of V	316
74 Overblowing to <i>nḗtē</i> and <i>hyperbolaîai</i> on the Louvre aulos	321
75 DAGM № 61 and the Berlin aulos	323
76 Pitch of iconographic aulos tubes (male players)	328
77 Pitches of iconographic aulos fingering (male players)	3 3 I

xii	Diagrams	
78	Finger spans for <i>DAGM</i> № 61 in Lydian pitch	339
79	Playing DAGM № 61.4 one-handed?	340
80	Playing $DAGM \ge 61$ on the Berlin aulos?	342
81	Highest playing range of a <i>tónoi</i> aulos	345
82	DAGM Nº 15 and the Conze model	348
83	The notes of the Pompeii pipes	352
84	Melodic context of A \setminus in extant melodies AD	353
85	Keys playable on the Pompeii pipes	355
86	The Pompeii auloi and the Berlin Papyrus	357
87	Main playing position on the Hellenistic tónoi aulos	362
88	The supposed range of the Aquincum organ	365
89	The Aristides scales written with early note signs	370
90	The Mixolydian disjunction	372
91	Exempli gratia reconstruction of a full Eratoclean diagram	377
92	The two old <i>tónoi</i> systems	380
93	Notating the 'first' <i>tónoi</i> system	386
94	Enharmonic octave species generating the Perfect System	388
95	Hypothetical single-mode aulos layouts for the Aristides scales	394
96	Hypothetical single-mode aulos measurements for the Aristides scales	395
97	Two consecutive ditones in the <i>spondeîon</i> scale	401
98	Notes of spondeion music and the 'ancient' Dorian aulos	408
99	The hypothetical <i>spondeîon</i> accompanying pipe and the Reading pipe	412
100	Proportions of moving notes in the fragments (Greater Perfect System)	439
101	The primordial <i>diesis</i>	444

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-51764-5 - Ancient Greek Music: A New Technical History Stefan Hagel Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Tables

1 Concordance of <i>tónoi</i> systems	8
2 The late Hellenistic revolution in <i>tónos</i> employment	50
3 Ptolemy's tunings	58
4 The <i>koinḗ hormasía</i> translated	124
5 Superparticulars in Archytas' divisions	181
6 Errors in Ptolemy's representation of Aristoxenus' shades	185
7 Harmonic context of Lydian <i>paramésē</i>	236
8 The loss of the Lydian <i>hypátē – paramésē</i> fifth	237
9 Lydian note repetitions AD 0–150	245
10 Textual and musical difficulties in Pap. Zenon 59533	273
1 1 Lydian and Phrygian <i>mésē</i> in <i>DAGM</i> № 9	274
12 Top notes of musical documents BC and AD	344

Figures

I	The 40° Lyre	79
2	The <i>koinḗ hormasía</i> (Codex Palatinus 281, fol. 1731)	123

Abbreviations

AGM	M.L. West, Ancient Greek Music. Oxford 1992.
Anecd. Stud.	<i>Anecdota varia Graeca musica metrica grammatica</i> . Ed. G. Studemund, Berlin 1886.
CIL	Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum. Berlin 1862–2003.
DAGM	E. Pöhlmann/M.L. West, <i>Documents of Ancient Greek Music</i> . Oxford 2001.
DAM	E. Pöhlmann, <i>Denkmäler Altgriechischer Musik</i> . Nuremberg 1970.
FdD ш	Fouilles de Delphes, 111: Épigraphie. Paris 1909–85.
GMW 1	A. Barker, <i>Greek Musical Writings</i> 1. <i>The Musician and his Art.</i> Cambridge 1984.
<i>GMW</i> 11	A. Barker, <i>Greek Musical Writings</i> II. <i>Harmonic and Acoustic Theory</i> . Cambridge 1989.
KAR	E. Ebeling, Keilschrifttexte aus Assur religiösen Inhalts 1/11. Wis- senschaftliche Veröffentlichungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesell- schaft 28 (1919); 34 (1923).
LSJ	H.G. Liddell/R. Scott, <i>A Greek-English Lexicon</i> . 9th edn with revised supplement, Oxford 1996.
<i>MSL</i> 16	<i>Materials for the Sumerian Dictionary</i> 16. The Series $SIG_{7.ALAN} = Nabnītu$. Ed. I. L. Finkel with collab. of M. Civil. Rome 1982.

Preface

But *Lydia* is in Dorian. There are serious puzzles here... (A. Barker, *GMW*11: 360)

Originality is something which we often meet in our studies of Greek music, but only too frequently it is associated with bad scholarship and freakish judgment. (R. P. Winnington-Ingram 1958: 244)

The serious puzzles mentioned by Andrew Barker do not concern some remote niche of ancient musical studies; they have partly obscured the significance of what can rightly be called the most practical chapter of ancient music that has come down to us. This may seem perplexing after centuries of almost unbroken interest in the topic, during which many eminent scholars have devoted their genius to elucidating its more difficult aspects. The other faction, that one referred to by R.P. Winnington-Ingram, can only partly be blamed for this: those who have been considering ancient music the convenient playground for original ideas of their own, a field reasonably secure from the danger of refutation by new facts. Admittedly, some unfounded opinions, uttered enthusiastically long ago, still ripple the surface of scholarly discourse; but sober judgement now dominates it.

Even so, how can one hope to add something worthwhile to a discussion that has been based on the ever-same pieces of evidence for such a long time?

Several pitfalls are to be avoided. The most important is that of finding a possible explanation for some aspect of the evidence, and subsequently forcing the rest of it to compliance, or where this proves wholly impossible, disregarding it. We must be especially careful to acknowledge the complexity of a musical culture synchronically and diachronically, its richness in different aspects (cf. Solomon 1984: 242–4). Therefore, this book does not claim to present some new key that unlocks the doors to all secrets. Instead, it keeps very much to the paths that have been opened by previous research,

xvi

Preface

while trying to fit some previously unconnected pieces together, and in some respects suggesting (I hope) a more coherent view.

Secondly, classical music archaeology and archaeomusicology extend into fields that are usually covered by different experts: philology and archaeology, music history and ethnomusicology, all play their distinctive roles. Few researchers are at home in all of these (the present author is certainly not), so there is considerable danger of neglecting those with which one is less familiar. Sadly, one witnesses the forming of scholarly traditions largely unconnected to each other: even nowadays works on ancient music are published that take no account even of the most essential contributions by scholars of other departmental denomination; of course there are also language barriers.

Furthermore, the discourse about ancient music has often been overshadowed by an evolutionary model that would be unacceptable in ethnomusicology: the assumption that Greek music evolved from 'primitive' origins to high complexity. This approach does not become truer because it was already adopted by the Greeks themselves, who hypothesised first inventors for almost everything, thus also deducing contemporary music from supposed simplistic instruments and musical styles by gradual additions. Few of these speculations rested on evidence of any kind, and it is of the essence to consider the nature of possible channels by which genuine information about earlier music could reach the first writers of antiquarian interest at all: relics of old styles in mostly cultic context, iconography, and passages in literary works, not a few of which must have been almost as arcane to the fourth century BC as they are to us. Only from one passage, seemingly from Aristoxenus' pen, does the principle transpire which underlies serious ethnomusicological research nowadays: that according to wellapplied information-theoretical standards, all musical cultures should be considered as, more or less, on an equal footing, even if complexity is achieved within different aspects (cf. e.g. Brandl 2005: 11; of course considerable variance must be allowed in relation to the amount of time that individuals spend on acquiring and exercising musical skills.):

εἴ τις καὶ περὶ τῆς ποικιλίας ὀρθῶς τε καὶ ἐμπείρως ἐπισκοποίη, τὰ τότε καὶ τὰ νῦν συγκρίνων, εὕροι ἂν ἐν χρήσει οὖσαν καὶ τότε τὴν ποικιλίαν.

(ps.-Plut., *Mus.* 1138b)

If one undertook a straight and experienced investigation of complexity, comparing former times with nowadays, they would find that complexity was also part of former practice.

Preface

This is not to imply that there was no evolution, of course; much of the present book deals with processes of transformation. But what are the 'origins' for students of Greek music were certainly not primitive, nor were they origins in any real sense – in this context the chapter on 'Progress' in Sachs (1962: 210–22) is still recommended.

There are a few issues of methodology, which are crucial for many of the conclusions presented here, and which deserve a word beforehand. Above all, I have found it essential to be acquainted with the principal instruments of classical antiquity in a very material way. Most of what I have to say on lyres and auloi is also based on practical experience with building and especially playing those instruments in various forms.

Secondly, I have employed computer techniques wherever feasible, designing special software to approach specific questions whenever necessary. In some cases this naturally led to the application of testing statistics. This kind of inference, although the basis of many sciences, is still often suspected in classical studies. Here is therefore a short guide on how to deal with significance levels. If they are well below 5 per cent it is not a good idea to resort to a strategy of "I cannot do such calculations; hence I do not believe what they say". Instead, one should accept that there is some significance in the counted or measured facts, i.e. that very, very probably, some causal connection exists between the quantified facts. But it is also not a good idea to accept an author's conclusions solely because there are figures. What is significant there might be something other than the author thinks; the numerical tendencies might result from just another mechanism than that considered (an often cited example: the statistically valid correlation between an increase of the number of TV sets and of the average life span in many countries during the last decades does not imply that watching TV will preserve you from an untimely death). But when no such alternative explanation can be found, it is a good idea after all not to reject the author's conclusions rashly.

The nature of the argument prohibited a nicely systematic arrangement of the chapters. I found it preferable, for instance, to start with the evaluation of mainly the internal evidence of ancient musical notation, even if some of the conclusions that can be reached in this way must be qualified later. In this way, I hope, the reader will find it easier to assess the plausibility of the single points; a purely chronological treatment would inevitably obscure the argument. It is also not the intention of this book to provide a general introduction to its topics for the entirely uninitiated; fortunately there are other works that serve this purpose, which must be consulted by

xvii

xviii

Preface

anyone concerned with our subject anyway, and to which I therefore often refer; above all Martin L. West's *Ancient Greek Music* and Andrew Barker's *Greek Musical Writings*.

The reader will encounter an abundance of graphical diagrams, which I hope illuminate the point made more clearly than would many paragraphs. Regarding their interpretation, suffice it to say that if pitch is involved, it ascends either from left to right, or from bottom to top, in accordance with modern Western intuition. Where modern note names refer to absolute pitch and where they merely indicate relative pitch relations will become clear from the context. In the latter case, solmisation syllables would be preferable from a methodological viewpoint; but in many countries scholars are not generally familiar with them, and when it comes to sharps and flats their systematic advantage is practically lost, too.

For the rendition of ancient melody fragments, I have abstained from stave notation, whose visual focus on thirds obscures the inherent structures, which divide the octave mostly into fourths and fifths. Instead, melodic motion is printed in lines undulating within a grid of semitones, reflecting as much of the pitch relations as we can read from the ancient notation. Note onsets are marked by circles.

Most Greek and Latin passages are translated, except where their meaning is sufficiently explained in the text and additional information can be gained only from reading the original wording. The transcription of Greek words takes vowel length and accents into regard (oxytones, however, are rendered with an acute, dismissing the sentence-internal graphical variant of the grave), but renders \cup as 'u' solely within diphthongs; elsewhere the traditional 'y' is employed. In a work on music especially, hard-core spellings such as 'huperlúdios' side by side with 'Hyperlydian' etc. may easily appear merely hyper-ludicrous. Even so, the transcription is unambiguous, whereas the duplicate rendition of 'u' reflects its differentiated pronunciation from classical Attic onwards.

My thanks go out to many kind people who took part in the long process that finally led to the publication of this book. A lot of them I would not have met, were it not for the International Study Group for Music Archaeology, founded and inspired by Ellen Hickmann. There I encountered that amalgamation of scholarly debate, good company, and music-making that made the ISGMA meetings so unique for many: John Curtis Franklin, in many hours of discussion, opened my eyes to important aspects I was in the danger of overlooking; Stelios Psaroudakēs was always extremely generous in sharing his data and expertise, and also in accepting my pipes as an ac-

Preface

companiment to his voice; of Eleonora Rocconi's kindness no mention need be made to those lucky enough to know her. Dahlia Shehata proved superbly helpful in Assyriological matters, patiently enduring my ignorance. Graeme Lawson cannot go unmentioned either, his Anglo-Saxon thumb setting standards for everybody concerned with ancient lyres.

On various occasions I had the opportunity to discuss special points with other outstanding experts in the field. My thanks are especially due to Andrew Barker, Egert Pöhlmann and Martin L. West. At the same time, I want to express my gratitude to the anonymous reviewers. One of them provided me with fifteen pages of invaluable advice; for the few instances where it did not overcome my obstinacy I must take full responsibility.

My research was only made possible by the lasting support of the Austrian Academy of Sciences (partly by its APART programme), and Christine Harrauer's pleasant matronage in the Commission for Ancient Literature. As one of its members, Cornelia Römer provided extremely welcome help especially in, but not restricted to, papyrological matters.

Without Scott Wallace and his workshop I would hardly have embarked on building a cithara; to his expertise with strings, wood, ivory and virtually everything one would like to exchange opinions about (he has also edited some of my English), combined with admirable patience in teaching the clumsy, I owe very much. When the Cambridge University Press kindly accepted this book for publication, the task of eliminating its stylistic atrocities was assumed by Linda Woodward, who made the process of being copy-edited a wholly enjoyable experience.

Often discussions with colleagues who are specialising in entirely different fields are of the highest value: among others I thank especially Hildegund Müller for her vivid interest in remote topics also; Birgitta Eder kindly shared her profound knowledge of Hellenic culture in and after the Mycenaean age. Johannes Divjak's competent helpfulness in computer issues relieved me of some of the burdens of the philologist's daily life.

Georg Danek has been accompanying my musical studies from the start; without his encouragement this new field of research would not have established itself in Vienna.

xix