WAGNER'S RING CYCLE AND THE GREEKS

Through his reading of primary and secondary classical sources, as well as his theoretical writings, Richard Wagner developed a Hegelian-inspired theory linking the evolution of classical Greek politics and poetry. This book demonstrates how, by turning theory into practice, Wagner used this evolutionary paradigm to shape the music and the libretto of the *Ring* cycle. Foster describes how each of the *Ring*'s operas represents a particular phase of Greek poetic and political development: *Das Rheingold* and *Die Walküre* create epic national identity in its earlier and later stages respectively; *Siegfried* expresses lyric personal identity. This study sees the Greeks through the lens of those scholars whose work influenced Wagner most, focusing on epic, lyric, and comedy, as well as Greek tragedy. Most significantly, the book interrogates the ways in which Wagner uses Greek aesthetics to further his own ideological goals.

DANIEL H. FOSTER is Assistant Professor of Theater Studies at Duke University, and Visiting Scholar at the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. His work has appeared in many publications including *Modern Language Quarterly, Journal of American Drama and Theatre*, and *Text and Presentation*. This is his first book.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN OPERA

Series editor: Arthur Groos, Cornell University

Volumes for *Cambridge Studies in Opera* explore the cultural, political and social influences of the genre. As a cultural art form, opera is not produced in a vacuum. Rather, it is influenced, whether directly or in more subtle ways, by its social and political environment. In turn, opera leaves its mark on society and contributes to shaping the cultural climate. Studies to be included in the series will look at these various relationships including the politics and economics of opera, the operatic representation of women or the singers who portrayed them, the history of opera as theatre, and the evolution of the opera house.

Published titles

Opera Buffa in Mozart's Vienna Edited by Mary Hunter and James Webster

Johann Strauss and Vienna: Operetta and the Politics of Popular Culture Camille Crittenden

German Opera: From the Beginnings to Wagner John Warrack

Opera and Drama in Eighteenth-Century London: The King's Theatre, Garrick and the Business of Performance *Ian Woodfield*

Opera, Liberalism, and Antisemitism in Nineteenth-Century France: The Politics of Halévy's *La Juive Diana R. Hallman*

Aesthetics of Opera in the Ancien Régime, 1647–1785 Downing A. Thomas

Three Modes of Perception in Mozart: The Philosophical, Pastoral, and Comic in Così fan tutte

Edmund J. Goehring

Landscape and Gender in Italian Opera: The Alpine Virgin from Bellini to Puccini *Emanuele Senici*

The Prima Donna and Opera, 1815–1930 Susan Rutherford

Opera and Society in Italy and France from Monteverdi to Bourdieu Edited by Victoria Johnson, Jane F. Fulcher, and Thomas Ertman

The Puccini Problem: Opera, Nationalism, and Modernity Alexandra Wilson

Rossini in Restoration Paris: The Sound of Modern Life Benjamin Walton

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-51739-3 - Wagner's Ring Cycle and the Greeks Daniel H. Foster Frontmatter More information

Wagner's Ring Cycle and the Greeks

Daniel H. Foster



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521517393

🕐 Daniel H. Foster 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Foster, Daniel H., 1967–
Wagner's Ring cycle and the Greeks / Daniel H. Foster.
p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in opera)
ISBN 978-0-521-51739-3 (hardback)
I. Wagner, Richard, 1813–1883. Ring des Nibelungen. I. Title. II. Title: Ring cycle and the Greeks. III. Series.
ML410.WIA289 2009
782.I-dc22

2009043203

ISBN 978-0-521-51739-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-51739-3 - Wagner's Ring Cycle and the Greeks Daniel H. Foster Frontmatter More information

> To Kelly: editor, wife, scholar, mother, author, lover, the leitmotif of my life – always there, always the same, and yet always adapting and changing.

And to Oona Prudence Freya Foster-Amienne: she feeds me the golden apples that keep me forever young.

CONTENTS

List of musical examples | ix Preface | xi Acknowledgments | xviii Introduction | 1

Part I Epic

- I Introduction: what is epic? | 33
- 2 Retrospective narrative and the epic process | 45
- 3 The orchestral narrator and elementary epic | 65
- 4 Spiritual and factual realities in epic | 83

Part II Lyric

- 5 Introduction: what is lyric? | III
- 6 Orpheus and lyric liberation | 122
- 7 First-person opera and lyric identity | 140
- 8 Lyric and the rebirth of tragedy | 157

Part III Drama

- 9 Introduction: what is drama? | 183
- 10 Opera and tragedy | 197
- 11 Opera and comedy | 220
- Resolution and ambiguity in comedy and tragedy | 235
 Epilogue: Time, the *Ring*, and Performance Studies | 253

vii

viii Contents

Appendices	Wagner's primary and secondary sources 267
Appendix A	Wagner's primary sources 269
Appendix B	Secondary scholarship by authors Wagner knew
	personally 276
Appendix C	Secondary scholarship by authors Wagner knew by
	reputation or by reading 284
Notes 295 Bibliography	352
Index 363	

MUSICAL EXAMPLES

- 1 Nibelung motif | 70
- 2 Ring motif | 70
- 3 Freia motif | 71
- 4 Valhalla motif | 72
- 5 Rhine motif | 72
- 6 Valhalla motif | 79
- 7 Corrupted power motif | 80
- 8 Remembrance of corrupted power motif | 81
- 9 Siegfried motif | 135
- 10 Tarnhelm motif | 214
- 11 Curse and oath motifs | 218
- 12 Mime's nursing song | 226
- 13 Remembrance of nursing song | 226
- 14 Chorus of vassals | 227

ix

PREFACE

The basic thesis of this book is that each opera in Richard Wagner's Ring of the Nibelung (Der Ring des Nibelungen) represents a particular phase in the cultural evolution of a mythic world modeled in part upon the ancient Greek world. This thesis is immediately supported by two claims that Wagner made about the Ring in a letter to his friend, August Röckel, on August 23, 1856. The first is that in the Ring Wagner claims he intended to construct "a Hellenistically optimistic world [eine hellenistisch-optimistische Welt^I] for myself which I held to be entirely realizable if only people wished it to exist."² This world he says he constructed by relying upon his intellectual "conceptions."3 Wagner's second claim is that, "instead of a single phase in the world's evolution, what I had glimpsed [in the Ring] was the essence of the world itself in all its conceivable phases."4 Wagner attributes this second claim not to his intellectual conceptions but his artistic "intuitions." Thus we have the notion of a Greek model in the first claim and cultural evolution in the second. But because Wagner attributes the second claim to intuition more than intellect, he favors that one. And yet, upon closer examination, it appears that the only real difference between the two claims is chronological. The intellectual idea is one Wagner intended to carry out before he completed the Ring, and the artistic idea is one he began to see only after he had been working on the Ring and began to see where it was going. In other words the intellect came first, art second. But since there is no fundamental reason to discount the first in favor of the second, especially since both fit the facts, the two claims can be combined into the compound thesis that the Ring evolves, opera by opera, according to an idealized paradigm of Greek culture.

Beginning with this as a tentative thesis it in turn raises further questions: What are the phases of Greek cultural evolution the *Ring*

xi

xii Preface

supposedly depicts? How did Wagner arrive at these particular phases? And why did he do this? To answer these three questions – what, how, and why – this book delves into three further claims that other scholars who have written on the subject have developed only in part or not at all. They are: (I) The forms and content of the four operas in the *Ring* follow a quasi-Hegelian evolution of Greek poetic expression from epic to lyric to drama, with each genre pertaining to a particular stage in the world's cultural development. (2) To understand Wagner's perspective on the Greeks it is important to study not only the primary works Wagner knew but also the secondary works of scholarship that influenced his understanding and his use of the Greeks.⁵ And (3) Wagner used the Greeks not only for aesthetic purposes but also political purposes, particularly nationalistic and anti-Semitic ones.⁶

Fleshing out my original, tentative thesis with these three subsidiary claims leads to the more complex thesis that through his reading of primary and secondary classical sources, as well as his writing of critical essays, Wagner developed a Hegelian-inspired theory of Greek cultural evolution that moves from epic through lyric to tragedy and finally comedy, a genre that, according to Wagner, both announced and was implicated in the downfall of classical Greek civilization. In this evolutionary theory of poetry and politics, one genre does not simply disappear into the next but is transformed into it. Thus each genre not only retains something of the preceding evolutionary phase but also foreshadows something of the following one.⁷ The objectivity of epic prefigures the reality of drama; lyric focuses on the individuality of character; and in drama both epic objectivity and lyric subjectivity combine to form civic identity. Seen not only as a theory but also a model, this evolutionary paradigm in turn shapes the cyclical plot and the individual operas of the Ring cycle. Each of the Ring's operas represents a particular phase of poetic and political development that points both before and beyond itself, to what precedes and follows it. Das Rheingold and Die Walküre create epic national identity in its earlier and later stages respectively, Siegfried expresses lyric personal

CAMBRIDGE

Preface xiii

identity, and *Götterdämmerung* destructively culminates with a tragi-comedy about civic identity, an individual's failure to find a place in society and the ultimate failure of that society itself.⁸

CHAPTER OUTLINE

The three main sections of this book trace Wagner's evolutionary model for Greek poetic form as it appears in the Ring. Each section corresponds to one of the three major genres upon which Wagner based his trilogy: epic, lyric, and drama. These three parts are then further sub-divided into four chapters. The first chapter of each part reconstructs Wagner's respective theories of epic, lyric, and drama by discussing his arguments in the context of their major scholarly influences, especially Hegel's Aesthetics, but also the works of other scholars that helped him formulate his opinion of the three genres. Because these theories are sometimes abstruse, complex, and/or unknown to the non-Hegelian reader, these prefatory chapters are intended to serve as analyses and introductions to the subject rather than full-blown interpretations. They are an attempt to reconstruct Wagner's thoughts about the Greeks by looking at the Greeks through the same theoretical lenses that Wagner saw them.⁹ The next three chapters in each part then use these findings to discuss how the Greeks influenced both the Ring as a whole and its individual operas.

In the introduction I begin by analyzing how and why Wagner venerated the Greeks from his early childhood until his death. I briefly survey all the specific primary and secondary classical authors he read and/or knew personally.¹⁰ Beyond this more bibliographical argument I claim that through his interest in antiquity Wagner participated in a trend that was sweeping Europe during the nineteenth century. However, one of the things that sets Wagner apart as an artist and a German was that he did not turn toward a more generically "classical" culture. Instead, he avoided the Romans as the ancestors of the Italians and the French, and he disdained the ancient East for its ties to Jews and Jewishness. At one time or

xiv Preface

another Wagner counted at least one of these groups as a threat to German national identity and so he criticized their cultural offerings. By turning specifically to *Greek* epic, lyric, and drama for inspiration, he made a choice based on more than just form or style. It was also informed by national politics.

In Part I, after an introductory chapter on epic as a genre inextricably bound up with national identity, I begin chapter 2 with the thesis that, despite Wagner's own arguments against narrative in general and epic in particular, the libretto for the entire Ring owes an important artistic and political debt to Greek epic. This debt can be seen by examining the Ring's libretto as both a process and a finished product. Unlike what previous authors have said on the subject, I argue that in his theoretical works Wagner does not dismiss all epics and every narrative technique as somehow "bad" or imperfect. He praises Homer, and in the Ring he strives to do for Germany what he imagines Homer to have done for Greece, namely, provide his country with a national bible rooted in the sagas and myths of the Volk's collective past. One way in which he achieves this goal is by emulating Homer's technique of retrospective narrative and writing the libretti for the *Ring* in reverse order.¹¹ He begins with the fatal wound received by his hero, Siegfried, and generates prequel after prequel in search of its original cause. Finally, he arrives back at the very origins of time itself and the original sin of the Ring: the valuing of culture over nature, power over love. By focusing more specifically on Das Rheingold and Die Walküre, chapters 3 and 4 discuss the ways in which Wagner uses basic and advanced forms of epic in both the music and the libretti for these operas. Borrowing not only from Homer but also Hesiod and other epic poets, in Das Rheingold Wagner uses theogonic, didactic, and epigrammatic epic forms to create the inherently flawed world of the Ring and the gods that rule over this fallen world. Then in Die Walküre, as the Ring evolves both aesthetically and politically, Wagner introduces humans, depicting their interactions with the gods as more concrete and more Homeric by making his Teutonic source stories conform more closely to the ideal of proper Greek epics such as the Iliad and the Odyssey.

Preface xv

I begin Part II with chapter 5, an analysis of the essential relationship between lyric and personal identity. In chapter 6 I argue that in Siegfried Wagner creates a liminal space occupied by lyric forms and characters. With Das Rheingold and Die Walküre Wagner represents his characters in an embattled epic context. In Götterdämmerung he puts them in a more civilized setting, similar to the way that Greek dramatists made their heroes face the problems of personal responsibility within the civic context of tragedy and comedy. But between these two stages lies Siegfried, a poetic and political proving ground where heroes are neither wholly consumed by outside forces nor entirely subsumed by inner ones. In his eponymous opera Siegfried fills out the contours of the mythical Greek lyricist, Orpheus. He does this not only to further the plot of the Ring but also to facilitate Wagner's own self-discovery as an artist and an individual. Like Orpheus, Siegfried is a singer, a child of nature, friend to forest creatures and rescuer of maidens from death (or in Brünnhilde's case death's counterfeit, sleep). But like Wagner Siegfried is also anxious about his biological and artistic paternity (chapter 7). Just as Siegfried fears that Mime might be his real father, so Wagner fretted over the possibility that his own father was a Jew named Ludwig Geyer, his mother Johanna's second husband. By allowing Siegfried, his operatic double, to discover his true paternity through nature Wagner tries to solve what he saw as both his country's and his own identity crisis. Such a solution is undercut, however, by the similarities Wagner shares with Wotan and Mime. These similarities with "flawed" characters are further complicated by Siegfried's slaving and slaking of his thirst with the blood of the dragon Fafner (chapter 8). His subsequent transformation via Fafner's blood hints not only at miscegenation and pollution (such as that which contaminated Apollo through his slaying of the Python at Delphi), but also at the possibility of a new, hybrid creation that would combine the best of two worlds, symbolized by Siegfried on the one hand and Fafner on the other. Startlingly (perhaps even for Wagner), through his transfusion and subsequent transformation Siegfried becomes a symbol of music drama as the synthesis of German lyric and French spectacle.

xvi Preface

In Part III I begin with chapter 9, which analyzes the notion that civic identity and collision, mostly between national and personal identity, are essential to drama. In chapter 10 we see Wagner enlisting the aid of Aristophanic parody and Greek tragedy in order to write the finale to the Ring cycle. Somewhat against the evolutionary progress of the Ring as a whole, in this final opera Wagner seeks through ridicule and critique to destroy the old perhaps more than he seeks to create the new. In other words he does not deliver on the formal promise he made at the end of Siegfried to create a hybrid opera that would combine both French spectacle and German lyric. Instead, he works within the ambivalent genre of tragi-comedy, thus fulfilling Siegfried's and Brünnhilde's ecstatic wish at the end of Siegfried to die laughing. To some extent Wagner is forced into this semi-destructive attitude by the fact that the music for this final opera was written last chronologically while the libretto, the oldest for the Ring, was written first and clearly reveals the influence of French and Italian operatic models, complete with massive choruses and wedding ceremonies, blood-brother duets, and princes and princesses. Instead of upgrading the libretto or scaling back his musical invention, Wagner uses his music to deconstruct what the libretto seems to say in earnest. Most obviously, he scores the music for his male choruses in Götterdämmerung in such a way as to parody the chorus of French grand opera, a form he denounces in his theoretical works as both retrograde and unnecessary (chapter II). For, according to Wagner, the Greek chorus had long ago evolved into the operatic orchestra. From an aesthetic perspective its use on the modern stage thus constitutes a needless repetition. Similarly, from an ethical perspective the chorus represents an unnecessary luxury. As the representation of an unhealthy civic body this chorus has to be purged, a cleansing that is eventually achieved through the ending of the Ring, itself a darker and more destructive version of certain endings for Greek New Comedy. Instead of being united through the civic ceremony of a wedding, Siegfried, Brünnhilde, and, symbolically, German society as a whole are tragically united upon a funeral pyre that eventually engulfs the entire world of the Ring, negating the old through destruction and yet, perhaps, neglecting

Preface xvii

to create the new (chapter 12). In this way Wagner disrupts and terminates the *Ring*'s cycle of poetic and political evolution. By brutally negating history, as Adorno would have it, the *Ring* may ultimately not only evolve but also revolve, in both senses of that word. It revolts against the past only to return to it, ending almost exactly where the entire cycle began, thus embodying certain Greek notions about the return to stasis at the close of tragedies. As we leave the *Ring* the stage is once more flooded and the Rhinedaughters once more in possession of the Rhinegold. Ambivalent to the end, the *Ring* cycle's finale both is and is not what it seems: a new beginning and the eternal return of the same.

In the epilogue I reconsider the Ring's relationship to time and history by using the language of performance theory. Because books do not appear instantaneously but rather over time, this epilogue in part represents my own evolution as a scholar and a teacher, a widening of my interest in theater to include performance studies. But beyond this more personal reason for the epilogue lies the fact that Wagner's own theoretical writings and the Ring cycle itself have been essential in shaping certain modern and post-modern ideas of performance, especially with respect to ambiguity, recycling of the past, and mixing art, politics, and self-expression. Such a re-examination of opera through eyes other¹² than those used in more conventional studies of music, theater, classics, and aesthetics is intended to further one of the main methodological goals of this book: to open up opera to new hermeneutic practices.¹³ In light of this I argue that twentieth- and twenty-first-century theatrical practitioners and theorists have adopted and adapted certain Wagnerian innovations despite the fact that they have sometimes violently disagreed with Wagner's ideological leanings. In the same way that Wagner took from the past, picking things over and piecing them together through his own unique blend of mytho- and politicopoeisis, so history has dealt with Wagner. Ultimately, by acquainting ourselves with the complex and sometimes corrupt ways in which Wagner Hellenized his world, we become that much freer with respect to the ways in which we may or may not choose to Wagnerize our own.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Perhaps more so than any books that might follow, the merits of a first book reach well beyond its covers. But unfortunately its faults must stop with the name that appears on the binding. The case is no different with this first book. It certainly possesses its share of faults and although I do not have a lot of people to thank for whatever merit it might possess, I would like to thank a few people a lot.

Because it was a dissertation first, I would first like to thank my dissertation committee, each of whom passed on to me a certain bit of wisdom, virtue, or knowledge without which this book never would have seen the light of day. My dissertation director, Sander Gilman, taught me (as some advisors do not) that one cannot get a first job or publish a first book until one finishes a dissertation first. Easy advice in theory, not so easy in practice. Just ask any grad student. My first reader, David Bevington, showed me what dedication meant. And not just dedication to his research, but dedication to his students, a rare combination in today's academy. And last but not least, my second reader, Michael P. Steinberg, opened my eyes to the political dimensions of opera. It is to him that I am indebted for one of this book's fundamental premises, namely, that opera can be a powerful ideological weapon.

There have of course been other readers and opportunities that have shaped my work since I was a graduate student. As a Mellon Fellow at the University of Pennsylvania Humanities Forum I was able to pursue my research on Performance Studies and opera. At Duke University three colleagues in particular read and commented on early drafts of this book and assessed it from their particular disciplinary perspectives: Bryan Gilliam read it as a musicologist, Peter Burian as a classicist, and John Clum as a theater historian.

xviii

Acknowledgments xix

More recently, while a visiting fellow at the American Academy of Arts and Sciences I was able to finish my final revisions. And lastly, there are the people associated with Cambridge University Press: Arthur Groos, who closely read the manuscript and kindly allowed it to be published in his Cambridge Studies in Opera series; Rebecca Jones, who has been very prompt and thoughtful in all of her contacts with me and in helping me get just the right cover for the book; and Victoria Cooper, who initially thought enough of the book to send it off to readers and who successfully persuaded the Cambridge University Press Syndicate to publish it.

On a more personal note, I would also like to thank my family, particularly my mother, Eugenia, and my father, Harmon. While they may not have contributed directly to the pages of this book, they did author its author. When I was younger they told me I could do anything and I (foolishly enough) believed them. When I wanted to pursue something as impractical as the Liberal Arts – worse yet, a "Great Books" program at St. John's College – they let me go with their blessing. Combining their belief in me and the philosophy of my undergraduate institution, further fostered by my graduate work in Comparative Literature at the University of Chicago, produced someone who believes in the benefits of interdisciplinary study and that we are all standing on the shoulders of giants. True, I have tried to climb down off the shoulders of some of those giants, but I still look up to them.

Finally, my wife and partner, Kelly Amienne, deserves my gratitude for reading and re-reading this book ever since it began life as a dissertation. She has seen it wax, wane, wither, and be reborn over the years, and all that time she has been there to help me write and rewrite it. She was there at my defense, stayed up all night helping me apply for fellowships, and helped to celebrate every achievement along the way, teaching me the importance of ritual on a small scale even as I was writing about it on a grander scale. In academia we have a name for those spouses who follow us as we travel from job to job in the early years of our careers. They are called "trailing

xx Acknowledgments

spouses." But the more appropriate term for Kelly is "leading spouse." She has led me to where I am today and has shown me the way in terms of professionalism and even in the publication of a first book. But trailing or leading, it doesn't matter; she has always been there for me. Words may fail to describe how much I have to thank her for, but she has never failed me.