Perceptions in Litigation and Mediation

Tamara Relis is a Research Fellow at Columbia University Law School in New York and in the Law Department of the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE). She holds a PhD in law and an LLM masters degree in law (*with Merit*) from the LSE law department, as well as an LLB law degree (*Hons*) from the University of London. She is a trial lawyer (barrister) with experience in trial court practice and has worked and qualified as an attorney in litigation (solicitor).

Dr. Relis is the recipient of various awards for her doctoral and postdoctoral research, including awards from the British Academy, the Economic & Social Research Council, Columbia University, and the LSE. She has been invited to speak about her research throughout North America, Europe (Italy, Germany, Spain, France, and Croatia), India, and South Africa. She was also invited to Japan through a Japanese government award to speak about her findings, culminating in this book.

Dr. Relis' work has been published in the *Harvard Negotiation Law Review* (2007), the *Pittsburgh Law Review* (2007), *Studies in Law, Politics and Society* (edited by Austin Sarat and Patricia Ewick, 2002), as well as in Japan in *Sociology of Law* (JASL – University of Tokyo, 2004). Her work was also translated into Japanese in Kyoto University's law journal (2004).

She is presently conducting a large-scale empirical study on the permeation of international human rights laws and norms in formal courts versus informal justice processing of violence-against-women cases throughout India. She uses victims', the accuseds, and legal actors' understandings, aims, and experiences as a lens to map, theorize, and critically analyze the theoretical ideas informing these processes (e.g., norm diffusion theory, universalism versus cultural relativism, restorative justice, and feminist critiques of mainstream human rights paradigms) and shows how these ideas are understood by those on the ground.

Perceptions in Litigation and Mediation

Lawyers, Defendants, Plaintiffs, and Gendered Parties

Tamara Relis

London School of Economics, Department of Law, and Columbia University Law School



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521517317

© Tamara Relis 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Relis, Tamara.
Perceptions in litigation and mediation : lawyers, defendants, plaintiffs, and gendered parties / Tamara Relis.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references.
ISBN 978-0-521-51731-7 (hardback)
1. Mediation. 2. Dispute resolution (Law) 3. Actions and defenses. I. Title.
K2390.R45 2009
347'.09 - dc22 2008033580

ISBN 978-0-521-51731-7 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables, and other factual information given in this work are correct at the time of first printing, but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.

For Coby, my heart and soul

Abstract

Grounded in interpretive theory, this book addresses the question, "How do professional, lay, and gendered actors understand and experience litigated case processing leading up to and including mediation in legal disputes?" Litigation and mediation processes are increasingly well described and understood through a fast-growing literature to which lawyers and other social scientists have contributed. Yet, rather little in-depth empirical data are available on what happens during case processing as well as what occurs prior to and inside mediation sessions, in terms of how these processes are understood and experienced by the actors involved. The different perceptions of professional, legal, and lay actors, and of males and females, particularly require further examination. These differences are explored here through data derived from 131 interviews, questionnaires, and observations of parties, lawyers, and mediators involved in 64 mediated fatality and injury cases in medical disputes (mandatory and voluntary; pre- and intra-litigation).

Attention to the discursive representations of the various actors on issues such as understandings of plaintiffs' litigation aims, all actors' mediation objectives and perceptions of what occurred during mediations reveals significant differences in terms of both language and agenda. It emerges that professional and lay actors, males and females, occupy largely parallel worlds of understanding affecting how conflict and its resolution are perceived. There is some evidence that mediation experience leads lawyers to reconceptualize their roles. This move away from conventional legal thought is further revealed through the discourse of lawyermediators, which was frequently distinct from practicing attorneys and more akin to that of non-lawyer-mediators. Nevertheless, in juxtaposing actors' understandings and perceptions on all sides of the same or similar cases, the data reveal inherent problems with the core workings of the legal system, as stark similarities in the discourse of plaintiffs and defendants on the one hand, and lawyers of all camps on the other reveal unlikely conceptual alignments between legal and extralegal actors involved in case processing.

Contents

	List o	f tables	page xiii		
	List of figures				
	List of abbreviations				
	Acknowledgments				
1	Intro	oduction	1		
	1.1	Book structure	5		
	1.2	Findings and recurrent themes	8		
		Parallel worlds' theme findings	9		
		Lawyers' reconceptualization theme findings	17		
		Gender theme findings	22		
	1.3	Methodology	26		
2	Great misconceptions or disparate perceptions of				
	plaintiffs' litigation aims?				
	2.1	Lawyers' comprehensions of plaintiffs' litigation aims –			
		group differences	36		
		Physician lawyers: It's only about money	36		
		Hospital lawyers: It's mostly about money	38		
		Plaintiff lawyers: It's mostly about money, but also other			
		issues	39		
	2.2	Plaintiffs: "It's not about the money! It's about principles'	42		
	2.3	Case studies: Parallel worlds of understanding the			
		meaning of litigated cases	46		
	2.4	System conditioning, dispute transformation, and			
		principles intermeshed with money	51		
	2.5	Gender differentiations	58		
		Female lawyers' extralegal sensitivity	58		
		Female plaintiffs' compensatory unease	60		
	2.6	Chapter conclusion	61		

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-51731-7 - Perceptions in Litigation and Mediation: Lawyers, De	fendants,
Plaintiffs, and Gendered Parties	
Tamara Relis	
Frontmatter	
More information	

3	The 3.1	voluntary versus mandatory mediation divide Lawyers' world – mandatory versus voluntary mediation	6	
		divide	6	
		Negative lawyer attitudes	72	
		Underlying meaning of lawyers' mandatory – voluntary		
		mediation preferences	75	
	3.2	Parties' world – no mandatory versus voluntary divide	72	
		Just a stage in the litigation process	73	
		Same positive attitudes – same eagerness	73	
		Same overall understandings, expectations, intentions,		
		and needs	79	
	3.3	Chapter conclusion	82	
4	Con	sequences of power: Legal actors versus		
	disp	utants on defendants' attendance at mediation	86	
	4.1	The attendance arbiters	88	
		Lawyers' experiences with defendants at mediation	89	
	4.2	Professionals' reasoning on defendants' attendance	9	
		Physician lawyers	94	
		Hospital lawyers	96	
		Plaintiff lawyers	97	
		Analysis of lawyers' views	99	
		Mediators	101	
	4.3	Facing opponents: Disputants ascribe common meaning to case resolution	104	
		Defending doctors' views on attending mediation	105	
		Plaintiffs on defendants' mediation attendance	109	
	4.4	Gender disparities	119	
		Professionals' gender disparities	119	
		Parties' gender disparities	120	
	4.5	Chapter conclusion	125	
5	Actors' mediation objectives: How lawyers versus			
	part	ies plan to resolve their cases short of trial	129	
	5.1	Legal actors' mediation objectives	131	
		Physician lawyers	132	
		Hospital lawyers	134	
		Plaintiff lawyers	135	
		Lawyers' gender divisions	139	
	5.2	Disputants' mediation aims	141	
		Plaintiffs' mediation aims	142	
		Plaintiffs' gender differences	145	
		Defendant physicians' mediation objectives	149	

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-51731-7 - Perceptions in Litigation and Mediation: Lawyers, Defendants,
Plaintiffs, and Gendered Parties
Tamara Relis
Frontmatter
More information

	5.3		
_	5.4	The confidentiality premise Chapter conclusion	150 153
			155
6	Perc 6.1	eptions during mediations Mediation's contextual worlds: Confrontations and	156
		representations	158
		Legal actors' confrontations	158
		Conflicts between legal and lay actors	159
		Representations	161
		Surface findings	163
	6.2	Favored and disfavored mediation elements – legal actors	
		Favored elements	165
	6.3	Objectionables	171 172
	0.5	Favored and disfavored mediation elements – disputants Favored elements	172
		Objectionables	175
	6.4	Gender disparities	181
		Legal actors	181
		Plaintiffs	184
	6.5	Chapter conclusion	193
7	Para	llel views on mediators and styles	197
	7.1	Contextual realities and surface findings	199
	7.2	The legal evaluative world on style	204
		The importance of background	205
		The significance of style	207
	7.3	The extralegal facilitative world on style	212
	7.4	Gendered mediator experiences	217
		Overpowered female facilitative mediators	217
	7.5	Mediators and female plaintiffs	220 223
_		Chapter conclusion	223
8		clusion: The parallel understandings and eptions in case processing and mediation Parallel lay versus legal worlds of understanding and	226
		meaning in case processing and mediation	228
		Litigation aims and mediation objectives	229
		Mandatory versus voluntary mediations	230
		Defendants' attendance and the meaning of mediation	231
		Mediation perceptions and assessments	231
		Mediators and their styles	232
	8.2	The reconceptualization of legal actors The literature	234 236

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-51731-7 - Perceptions in Litigation and Mediation: Lawyers, I	Defendants,
Plaintiffs, and Gendered Parties	
Tamara Relis	
Frontmatter	
More information	

xii	Contents		
	8.3	Parallel worlds' findings – macro-meanings	238
		The formal versus informal justice debates	240
		The role of the legal system	241
		The role of lawyers	242
	8.4	Gendered parallel worlds	245
		Extralegal sensitivity of female lawyers	245
		Disempowered female plaintiffs	248
	8.5	Practical application of the findings	252
	8.6	Recommendations for future research	254
	Bibli	iography	257
	Inde	x	281

List of tables

1	Breakdown of dataset (interview/questionnaire) files	page 27
2	Respondents' genders and ages	29
3	Disputants' education and previous mediation experience	29
4	Lawyers' medical mediation experience (not mediation	
	experience generally)	30

List of figures

1	Physician lawyers' understandings of plaintiffs' litigation aims.	page 37
2	Hospital lawyers' understandings of plaintiffs' litigation aims.	38
3	Plaintiff lawyers' understandings of plaintiffs' litigation aims.	40
4	Claimants' descriptions of their litigation aims.	43
5	Instances of hospital lawyers mentioning plaintiffs' extralegal	
	litigation objectives.	59
6	Instances of all defense lawyers mentioning plaintiffs'	
	extralegal litigation aims.	59
7	Non-disputants' views on defendant physicians' attendance	
	at mediation.	92
8	Non-disputants' reasons against defendants' attendance at mediation.	. 93
9	Non-disputants' reasons in favor of defendants' attendance at	
	mediation.	94
10	Disputants' views on defendants' mediation attendance.	104
11	Disputants' reasoning on why defendants should attend mediation.	105
12	Extralegal reasons for defendants' mediation attendance by gender.	119
13	Tactical reasons against defendants' mediation attendance by gender.	120
14	Legal actors' mediation aims.	131
15	Disputants' mediation aims.	131
16	Defendants' versus defense lawyers' mediation aims.	133
17	Plaintiffs' versus plaintiff lawyers' mediation aims.	136
18	Lawyers' references to extralegal mediation aims.	139
19	Plaintiffs' mediation aims concerns – by gender.	146
20	Plaintiffs' mediation needs and fears – by gender.	147
21	Surface perceptions of mediations – all actors.	163
22	Mediation's favored elements – legal actors.	166
23	Mediation's favored elements – disputants.	174
24	Plaintiffs' gender disparities at mediation.	185
25	Surface perceptions of mediators and style.	202

List of abbreviations

ADR = alternative dispute resolution

CMPA = Canadian Medical Protection Association

College = College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario

Fieldwork Period = 17 months between May 2000 and October 2001 in and around Toronto, Canada

Legal actors = all lawyer groups

Medmal = medical malpractice/medical negligence/medical injury

MMP = Ontario Court Mandatory Mediation Program

Non-disputants = all legal actors together with all mediators

The terms "claimant," "plaintiff," and "complainant" have been used synonymously.

The terms "disputant," "litigant," and "party" have been used synonymously.

The terms "lawyer," "counsel," and "attorney" have been used synonymously.

Acknowledgments

This book would not have been possible without the support of my mentors, colleagues, family, and friends. My husband, Coby Relis, has been the backbone of my work. I am also extremely indebted to Professor Simon Roberts for his support and erudite guidance during the research period and to Professor Nicola Lacey for her advice and assistance throughout the years. I thank Dr. Joseph Relis for his continuous encouragement and strong belief in this work, as well as professors Richard Abel, Michael Palmer, and Carrie Menkel-Meadow, for their insightful suggestions for publication. The London School of Economics has supported my research throughout the years and has graciously acted as my second home. I am also grateful to Columbia University Law School in New York for its accommodation and support during the writing-up stages, and to the University of Toronto and professor Mariana Valverde for their assistance during the Fieldwork Period. The Economic & Social Research Council (Award PTA-026-27-0979) and the British Academy (Award PDF/2006/64) provided me with the financial support that made the transformation from research thesis to published manuscript possible. Finally, and importantly, I offer my deepest thanks to all those lawyers, disputants, and mediators involved in the cases covered in this project and to all those who kindly allowed me access to the research sites. Although their names must remain confidential, without them this research would not have been possible, and for them and others like them I hope to make a difference.