

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51682-2 - Optimal Protection of International Law: Navigating between European Absolutism and American Voluntarism

Joost Pauwelyn

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS

*Table of cases* [viii]

*Table of treaties* [xiii]

*Table of international documents* [xvi]

*Acknowledgments* [xviii]

*Abbreviations* [xix]

*Foreword* [xxv]

Introduction [1]

- 1 Overview and relevance of the analysis [5]
- 2 The two extremes of European absolutism and American voluntarism [16]
- 3 Allocation, protection and back-up enforcement of entitlements [26]
  - 1 The basic model, its advantages and limitations [26]
  - 2 Step 1: allocation of entitlements [30]
  - 3 Step 2: protection of entitlements [32]
  - 4 Step 3: back-up enforcement [36]
  - 5 A framework for the protection of international law entitlements [38]
- 4 How should international law entitlements be protected? [45]
  - 1 The argument for a default rule of property protection [46]
    - (a) *Contractual freedom and welfare maximization* [47]
    - (b) *Property protection requires less intervention* [49]

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51682-2 - Optimal Protection of International Law: Navigating between European Absolutism and American Voluntarism

Joost Pauwelyn

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

- 2 When to protect entitlements as inalienable [50]
  - (a) *Significant externalities* [51]
  - (b) *Moralisms and incommensurability* [52]
  - (c) *Paternalism* [54]
- 3 When to protect entitlements under a liability rule [55]
  - (a) *Hold-out* [56]
  - (b) *Free-load* [59]
  - (c) *High transaction costs* [62]
- 4 Arguments for a lower level of protection in international law [66]
  - (a) *The need for flexibility to attract participation and prevent exit* [67]
  - (b) *The consent rule as well as uncertainty may require incomplete contracting and flexibility* [68]
  - (c) *Legitimacy concerns* [72]
- 5 Arguments for a higher level of protection in international law [75]
  - (a) *Absence of collective valuation* [77]
  - (b) *The cost and possible errors of collective valuation* [79]
  - (c) *International entitlements as unique goods* [83]
  - (d) *Stability and the need to make credible commitments* [85]
  - (e) *Inequalities between states* [90]
  - (f) *States may not internalize costs or maximize welfare* [93]
  - (g) *States are not unitary actors* [98]
- 6 A matrix to decide on how to protect international law entitlements [102]
- 5 How are international law entitlements currently protected? [107]
  - 1 International law is, in principle, not inalienable [108]
  - 2 International law is, by default, protected by a property rule, not a liability rule [111]
  - 3 An evaluation of inalienability in current international law [117]
    - (a) *The need for a more objective analysis* [117]

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51682-2 - Optimal Protection of International Law: Navigating between European Absolutism and American Voluntarism

Joost Pauwelyn

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

(b) <i>Collective obligations through the lens of externalities, incommensurability and paternalism</i>	[122]
4 An evaluation of liability rules in current international law	[128]
(a) <i>Cross-border environmental damage</i>	[130]
(b) <i>Liability rules in the GATT/WTO</i>	[134]
(c) <i>Investor protection under NAFTA and BITs</i>	[145]
6 Back-up enforcement in international law	[148]
1 The puzzle of property protection backed-up by “mere” compensation and proportional countermeasures	[150]
(a) <i>Good reasons to limit countermeasures to 1:1 retaliation</i>	[157]
(b) <i>How 1:1 retaliation can achieve property protection: the “kicker” of community costs</i>	[163]
(c) <i>Community costs in a property regime as opposed to a liability regime</i>	[172]
(d) <i>How 1:1 retaliation can achieve property protection: the hidden force of 1:1 retaliation itself</i>	[178]
2 The puzzle of <i>jus cogens</i> and collective obligations benefiting from the weakest form of back-up enforcement	[185]
(a) <i>Default rules of back-up enforcement for community obligations</i>	[187]
(b) <i>An assessment: be careful what you wish for</i>	[194]
7 Conclusion	[198]
 References	 [207]
Index	[220]