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Preface

The genesis of this project was in a series of conversations between the four investigators around the theme that the advent of the 2004 Workplace Employment Relations Survey (WERS 2004) would allow the construction of a data set that covered one of the most interesting periods in British industrial and economic history – that starting with the election of the first Thatcher government and ending at the beginning of the third term of the Blair Labour government. Moreover, the fourth survey's timing coincided closely with the change of government from Conservative to Labour, and a significant change in approach to matters in the employment and economic areas, thereby offering something of a natural experiment relating to the impact of this change. The main idea that came out of these conversations was to build on the pioneering work undertaken by members of the research team for the fourth WERS and published under the title *All Change at Work?* Two members of that team (Alex Bryson and John Forth) decided to link up with two researchers with long track records of working with WIRS/WERS and beyond (William Brown and Keith Whitfield) to extend the analysis in two main directions – first, to extend the series to incorporate the 2004 data, and to involve a wider range of researchers in the analysis of the data set so developed. Fortunately, the Economic and Social Research Council agreed to fund the study, and most of the researchers whom the team identified as potential co-authors agreed to take part and undertook their tasks with alacrity.

The product of this collective effort is presented in this book. It offers an evidence-led set of analyses of how, where and why employment relations have changed at the British workplace in the quarter century spanning the Thatcher/Major/Blair years – a period of considerable change in the area. Such an analysis is unprecedented. There can be no equivalent subject area that has been the subject of such an intensive scrutiny over such a long period of time, and certainly not one that has involved as much fundamental change as workplace employment relations in the last quarter century. However, it should be borne in mind that such a study
has never been the purpose of the sponsors of the WIRS/WERS series. Their intention has always been to produce strong pictures of workplace IR/ER patterns at a point in time with, at most, a comparison with one other survey for a limited area of investigation. Moreover, survey data, no matter how well conceived and collected, can never tell the whole story of what is going on in any particular area, and is especially limited in terms of addressing the ‘why’ questions. Nonetheless, the WIRS/WERS sponsors have not only been especially astute in developing an extremely penetrating survey instrument, but have also been aware of their obligation to history, by keeping in place a number of questions that are closely comparable across a number of surveys and, in some cases, all five. Therefore, any failure to tell a strong and compelling story of this fascinating period is highly likely to be the responsibility of the project team, rather than the materials with which they were working.

We would like to express our grateful acknowledgements to a range of people and organisations that have helped us turn our interesting idea into the reality that is this book. First and foremost are the WIRS/WERS sponsors (various government departments, but currently the Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform (BERR); the Advisory, Arbitration and Conciliation Service (Acas); the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), and Policy Studies Institute (PSI), for showing the sustained commitment to produce such a powerful data set. ESRC is also to be warmly thanked for providing the funding for the project (grant number RES-000-23-1603).

We are grateful to the National Centre for Social Research for providing access to the British Social Attitudes Survey, to Marco Ercolani for providing data on annual rates of absenteeism from the Labour Force Survey, the Institute for Social and Economic Research at the University of Essex as the originators of the British Household Panel Survey data, the Office for National Statistics as the originators of the Labour Force Survey, and the Economic and Social Data Service as the distributors of both data sets. We are also grateful to John Kelly and Citizens Advice for providing data on numbers of employment-related problems raised by visitors to Citizens Advice Bureaux; to the Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education, for providing the data for Figure 10.1; and to Andy Charlwood for providing statistics on union membership density from the 1983 British Social Attitudes Survey.

Thanks are also due to Paul Marginson, David Nash, Andrew Oswald and Mike Terry, who have provided comments that have significantly improved the quality of the work that is reported herein. A major debt of gratitude is owed to Katy Huxley, who has provided tireless support to the project team, and has brought a degree of organisation to the project that
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has allowed the rest of us to concentrate on what we enjoy doing most – data analysis and writing. Our advisory board members Neil Millward and Mike Emmott have made important and insightful comments at all stages of the project, and have had a strong impact on the directions that we have taken. Phil Good of Cambridge University Press has been an excellent editor with whom to work.

WILLIAM BROWN, ALEX BRYSON, JOHN FORTH AND KEITH WHITFIELD
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