

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51445-3 - Skeletons in the Closet: Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Europe

Monika Nalepa

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

## *Contents*

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i>	x
<i>List of Tables</i>		xii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>		xv
<i>List of East Central European Political Organizations</i>		xxi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Why Lustration?	4
1.2	First Puzzle: Skeletons in the Closet	11
1.3	Second Puzzle: Why Were the Promises of Amnesty Eventually Broken?	16
1.4	Third Puzzle: Why Did Post-Communists Lustrate?	20
1.5	Existing Explanations of Transitional Justice and Timing of Lustration	22
1.6	A Roadmap of the Argument	28
1.7	Terminology	29
	<b><i>Part I: Skeletons in the Closet</i></b>	<b>31</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>COMMITTING TO AMNESTY</b>	<b>33</b>
2.1	A Simple Transitions Game	33
2.2	Limitations of STG	37
<b>3</b>	<b>THE KIDNAPPER'S DILEMMA</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1	The Kidnapper's Dilemma in Pacted Transitions	43
3.2	The Transition with Secret Information Game	44
<b>4</b>	<b>HOSTAGES AND SKELETONS IN POLAND, HUNGARY, AND THE CZECH REPUBLIC</b>	<b>58</b>
4.1	Case Selection	58
		vii

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51445-3 - Skeletons in the Closet: Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Europe

Monika Nalepa

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

	Contents
4.2 The Interview Data	59
4.3 Empirical Implications	61
4.4 Hostages and Skeletons: Analytic Narratives	62
4.5 Evidence from Aggregate Data	84
4.6 Summary of the Skeletons Argument	91
4.7 Alternative Explanations	92
<b><i>Part II: Out of the Closet</i></b>	<b>97</b>
<b>5 VOTERS: TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE DEMAND</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1 The East Europeans “Don’t Need That”?	102
5.2 Surveys with Questions on Transitional Justice	106
5.3 Summary and Descriptive Statistics	108
5.4 Factors Associated with Demand for Lustration	113
5.5 The Statistical Model	118
5.6 Conclusions	124
<b>6 STRATEGIC ELITES: TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE SUPPLY</b>	<b>126</b>
6.1 The Origin of Pro-lustration Parties	128
6.2 Lustration Policies and Parties’ Origins in Dissident Movements	134
6.3 Do Parties Exhibit More Support for Lustration over Time?	146
6.4 Do Younger Legislators Select Pro-lustration Parties?	150
6.5 Alternative Explanations	153
6.6 Conclusions	160
<b>7 THE TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE BILL GAME</b>	<b>162</b>
7.1 The Wave of Post-Communist Lustrations	163
7.2 The Model	167
7.3 Case Studies Explained by the Model	178
7.4 Slovakia: Agenda Setting with an Atypical Status Quo	192
7.5 Strategic Transitional Justice in the Baltics	200
7.6 Conclusions	202
<b>8 STRATEGIC TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE: BEYOND EAST CENTRAL EUROPE</b>	<b>206</b>
8.1 Summary of the Argument in Part I	210
8.2 Summary of the Argument in Part II	212
8.3 Broader Implications	215
8.4 Conclusions	226

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51445-3 - Skeletons in the Closet: Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Europe

Monika Nalepa

Table of Contents

[More information](#)**Contents**

<b>9</b>	<b>EPILOGUE: BETWEEN AGENTS AND HEROES</b>	<b>230</b>
	Appendix A: Mathematical Proofs to Chapter 3	235
	Appendix B: Answers of MPs and Their Constituents to “More Should Be Done to Punish People Who Were Responsible for the Injustices of the Communist Regime”	241
	Appendix C: Sampling Technique and Transitional Justice Survey Questionnaire	245
	Appendix D: Birth and Death of Parliamentary Parties by Their Position Regarding Lustration	255
	Appendix E: Mathematical Proofs to Chapter 7	259
	Appendix F: Lustration Laws by Target, Targeted Activity, and Sanction Type in Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic	267
	<i>Bibliography</i>	271
	<i>Index</i>	293