The Colonial American Origins of Modern Democratic Thought

This first examination in almost forty years of political ideas in the seventeenth-century American colonies reaches some surprising conclusions about the history of democratic theory more generally. The origins of a distinctively modern kind of thinking about democracy can be located, not in revolutionary America and France in the later eighteenth century, but in the tiny New England colonies in the middle seventeenth. The key feature of this democratic rebirth was honoring not only the principle of popular sovereignty through regular elections but also the principle of accountability through non-electoral procedures for the auditing and impeachment of elected officers. By staking its institutional identity entirely on elections, modern democratic thought has misplaced the sense of robust popular control which originally animated it.
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Preface

This book originated in a curious fact about my move to England more than a decade ago: I quickly developed a previously unknown interest in studying the country from which I had come. Though I was not at that moment ideally situated for studying the history of American political ideas, I was well placed for learning about the places and intellectual traditions from which the reverse migration had first been made. Thus I started doing groundwork in English and to some extent European political thought from the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. When I returned to the United States, I set out more directly on my path toward composing a first volume in the history of American political ideas.

That volume has yet to be produced. This book is the surprising result of my researches toward that project; it has not fulfilled the project itself. I wanted to acquire knowledge about American political ideas for its own sake, but along the way I discovered things about modern democratic thought which may be of interest even to people who find the other subject inherently uninteresting. Human affairs being as they are, to learn something important about the history of democratic thought is necessarily to learn something important about democracy itself. Thus I am deliberately offering a hybrid book, and I can only hope that seekers of knowledge about both the fields that it covers will find in it some reward for their pursuit.

Another curious feature of this book, and one that surprises those who know me well, is the amount of sustained attention I have given (not to say devoted) to matters of Christian theology – or, more precisely, ecclesiology. It is another subject considered by some to be inherently uninteresting, but I have found it to be both indispensable to understanding the seventeenth-century Englishmen who figure below and also powerfully explanatory in relation to the ideological origins of modern
democracy. One of the hazards of doing intellectual history is that the most important lines of inquiry often diverge from the inquirer’s personal favorites.

Notes on the Text

In the interest of simplicity, accessibility, and ease of reading, I have not reproduced sixteenth- and seventeenth-century English quotations in the exact form found in their original texts. Instead I have rendered them in modern American English spelling, but I have preserved original punctuation, capitalization, and italics except in a few cases where clarity seemed to necessitate a change.

Also in the interest of accessibility, I have preferred to cite modern editions and translations of sixteenth- and seventeenth-century texts if such exist, though I have attempted always to make clear the date and circumstances of original composition or publication in the text and Bibliography. For works by Plato and Aristotle, citations refer to Stephanus and Bekker pages, respectively. For parts of a book lacking numbered pages, citations refer to signature-page and folio; for example, A/2b stands for sig. A, fol. 2b.
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