The material elements of writing have long been undervalued, and have been dismissed by recent historicizing trends in criticism; but analysis of these elements – sound, signature, letters – can transform our understanding of literary texts. In *Anti-Mimesis from Plato to Hitchcock* Tom Cohen shows how, in an era of representational criticism and cultural studies, the role of close reading has been overlooked. Arguing that much recent criticism has been caught in potentially regressive models of representation, Professor Cohen undertakes to counter this by rethinking the “materiality” of the text itself. Through a series of revealing new readings of the work of writers including Plato, Bakhtin, Poe, Whitman, and Conrad, Professor Cohen exposes the limitations of new historicism and neo-pragmatism, and demonstrates how “the materiality of language” operates to undo the representational models of meaning imposed by the literary canon.
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The Cambridge Literature, Culture, Theory series is dedicated to theoretical studies in the human sciences that have literature and culture as their object of enquiry. Acknowledging the contemporary expansion of cultural studies and the redefinitions of literature that this has entailed, the series includes not only original works of literary theory but also monographs and essay collections on topics and seminal figures from the long history of theoretical speculation on the arts and human communication generally. The concept of theory embraced in the series is broad, including not only the classical disciplines of poetics and rhetoric, but also those of aesthetics, psychoanalysis, semiotics, and other cognate sciences that have inflected the systematic study of literature during the past half century.
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For “Mallie,” goddess
“How good are the copies?”
“Almost perfect.”
“Then why do you want the originals? Collector’s vanity.”
“Changes, Mr. Snide, can only be effected by alterations in the original. The only thing not prerecorded in a prerecorded universe are the prerecordings themselves. The copies can only repeat themselves word for word. A virus is a copy. You can pretty it up, cut it up, scramble it – it will reassemble in the same form. Without being an idealist, I am reluctant to see the originals in the hands of the Countess de Culpa, the Countess de Vile and the pickle factory …”

William Burroughs, Cities of the Red Night

How might one free oneself from the cowardliness pressing upon social convictions of the present, subjugated as they are to reactive, mimetic, and regressive posturings?

Avital Ronell, Crack Wars
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Introduction: The legs of sense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part I</th>
<th>Dialogue and inscription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><em>Othello</em>, Bakhtin and the death(s) of dialogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>P.s.: Plato’s scene of reading in the <em>Protagoras</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part II</th>
<th>Parables of exteriority: materiality in “classic” American texts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Too legit to quit: the dubious genealogies of pragmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Poe’s <em>Foot d’Or</em>: ruinous rhyme and Nietzschean recurrence (sound)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Only the dead know Brooklyn ferry (voice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>The letters of the law: “Bartleby” as hypogrammatic romance (letters)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part III</th>
<th>Pre-posterous modernisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Conrad’s fault (signature)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Miss Emily, <em>c’est moi</em>: the defacement of modernism in Faulkner (inscription and social form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Hitchcock and the death of (Mr.) Memory (technology of the visible)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coda Post-humanist reading
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