

Japan's Network Economy

Japan's economy has long been described as organized around or embedded in networks. In times past, the web of stable, reciprocated relations among Japanese banks, firms, and ministries was thought to play an important role in Japan's ability to navigate smoothly around economic shocks. Now those networks are widely blamed for Japan's faltering competitiveness. This book applies the perspective of structural sociology to a study of how the form and functioning of the Japanese network economy has evolved from the pre-war era to the late 1990s. It asks in particular whether, in the face of deregulation, globalization, and financial disintermediation, Japan's corporate networks – the keiretsu groupings in particular - have withered away in terms both of lost cohesion and their historical function of supporting member firms in hard times. Based on detailed quantitative and qualitative analysis, the book's answer is a qualified "yes." Relationships remain central to the Japanese way of business, but they are much more subordinated to the competitive strategy of the enterprise than was true of the network economy of the past.

James R. Lincoln is Warren E. and Carol Spieker Professor in the Organizational Behavior and Industrial Relations group at the Walter A. Haas School of Business at the University of California at Berkeley. He is the author (with Arne Kalleberg) of Culture, Control, and Commitment: A Study of Work Organizations and Work Attitudes in the U.S. and Japan (Cambridge University Press, 1990).

Michael L. Gerlach is an associate professor at the Walter A. Haas School of Business, University of California at Berkeley. He has previously published a major book on Japan, *Alliance Capitalism: The Social Organization of Japanese Business* (University of California Press, 1992), as well as numerous articles and book chapters on business in Japan.



Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences

Mark Granovetter, editor

The series Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences presents approaches that explain social behavior and institutions by reference to relations among such concrete entities as persons and organizations. This contrasts with at least four other popular strategies: (a) reductionist attempts to explain by a focus on individuals alone; (b) explanations stressing the casual primacy of such abstract concepts as ideas, values, mental harmonies, and cognitive maps (thus, "structuralism" on the Continent should be distinguished from structural analysis in the present sense); (c) technological and material determination; (d) explanation using "variables" as the main analytic concepts (as in the "structural equation" models that dominated much of the sociology of the 1970s), where structure is that connecting variables rather that actual social entities.

The social network approach is an important example of the strategy of structural analysis; the series also draws on social science theory and research that is not framed explicitly in network terms, but stresses the importance of relations rather than the atomization of reduction or the determination of ideas, technology, or material conditions. Though the structural perspective has become extremely popular and influential in all the social sciences, it does not have a coherent identity, and no series yet pulls together such work under a single rubric. By bringing the achievements of structurally oriented scholars to a wider public, the Structural Analysis series hopes to encourage the use of this very fruitful approach.

Mark Granovetter

Other Books in the Series:

- 1. Mark S. Mizruchi and Michael Schwartz, eds., Intercorporate Relations: The Structural Analysis of Business
- 2. Barry Wellman and S. D. Berkowitz, eds., Social Structures: A Network Approach
- 3. Ronald L. Breiger, ed., Social Mobility and Social Structure
- 4. David Knoke, Political Networks: The Structural Perspective
- 5. John L. Campbell, J. Rogers Hollingsworth, and Leon N. Lindberg, eds., Governance of the American Economy
- 6. Kyriakos Kontopoulos, The Logics of Social Structure
- 7. Philippa Pattison, Algebraic Models for Social Networks
- 8. Stanley Wasserman and Katherine Faust, Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications
- 9. Gary Herrigel, Industrial Constructions: The Sources of German Industrial Power
- 10. Philipe Bourgois, In Search of Respect: Selling Crack in El Barrio
- 11. Per Hage and Frank Harary, Island Networks: Communication, Kinship, and Classification Structures in Oceania
- 12. Thomas Schweizer and Douglas R. White, eds., Kinship, Networks and Exchange
- 13. Noah E. Friedkin, A Structural Theory of Social Influence
- 14. David Wank, Commodifying Communism: Business, Trust, and Politics in a Chinese City
- 15. Rebecca Adams and Graham Allan, Placing Friendship in Context



- 16. Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges, Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets and Unequal Pay for Women in America
- 17. Robert Freeland, The Struggle for Control of the Modern Corporation: Organizational Change at General Motors, 1924–1970
- 18. Yi-min Lin, Between Politics and Markets: Firms, Competition, and Institutional Change in Post-Mao China
- 19. Nan Lin, Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action 20. Christopher K. Ansell, Schism and Solidarity in Social Movements: The Politics of Labor in the French Third Republic
- 21. Thomas Gold, Doug Guthrie, and David Wank, eds., Social Connections in China: Institutions, Culture, and the Changing Nature of Guanxi
- 22. Michael Gerlach and James Lincoln, Japan's Network Economy
- 23. Séan Ó Riain, The Politics of High Tech Growth: Developmental Networks States in the Global Economy



Japan's Network Economy

Structure, Persistence, and Change

JAMES R. LINCOLN

University of California, Berkeley

MICHAEL L. GERLACH

University of California, Berkeley





> PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United States of America

Typeface Sabon 10/12 pt. System LATEX 2_{ε} [TB]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Lincoln, James R.

The evolution of Japan's network economy: a structural perspective on industrial change / James R. Lincoln and Michael L. Gerlach.

p. cm. – (Structural analysis in the social sciences) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-45304-6

- 1. Business networks Japan. 2. Strategic alliances (Business) Japan.
- 3. Organizational change Japan. 4. Industrial organization Japan. I. Gerlach, Michael L. II. Title. III. Series.

HD69.S8L553 2004 338.8'7 - dc22

2003065167

ISBN 0 521 45304 6 hardback



To our families



Contents

	List of Figures	page xi
	List of Tables	xiii
	Acknowledgments	xvii
	Introduction	1
1.	The Structural Analysis of the Network Economy	10
2.	The Origins of Japanese Network Structures	51
3.	The Evolution of a Corporate Network: A Longitudinal	
	Network Analysis of 259 Large Firms	87
4.	Exchange and Control: Explaining Corporate Ties:	
	A Longitudinal Dyad Analysis	147
5.	Intervention and Redistribution: How Keiretsu Networks	
	Shape Corporate Performance	205
6.	Japan's Next-Generation Industrial Architecture	295
	Bibliography	379
	Inder	401

ix



Figures

1.1	How horizontal and vertical keiretsu interconnect	page 22
2.1	Sumitomo techno-organizational chain	55
2.2	Illustration of the organization of the Sumitomo	
	Concern (approximately 1930)	57
2.3	Nippon Electric Company (NEC)	59
2.4	Fujitsu	60
2.5	Sumitomo Bank	61
2.6	Dai-Ichi Kangyo (Hypothec) Bank (DKB)	62
3.1	Densities and connectivities of four networks of	
	259 Japanese firms	101
3.2	Network densities by shacho-kai	103
5.1	Keiretsu effects on ROA_t by tercile of ROA_{t-2}	236
5.2	Keiretsu effects on sales growth by tercile of	
	ROA_{t-2}	236
5.3	ROA_t regression on ROA_{t-k} for shacho-kai and	
	independent firms	237
5.4	ROA_t regression on ROA_{t-k} at 0 percent and 50	
	percent trading partner ties to a big-six group	244
5.5	ROA_{t-k} effects on ROA_t and sales growth by	
	shacho-kai	246
5.6	ROA_{t-2} effect on ROA_t by year for firms with and	
	without a big-six shacho-kai membership	277
5. 7	ROA_{t-k} effect on ROA_t by year for firms with and	
	without a big-six debt tie	278
5.8	ROA_{t-1} effect on ROA_t by year for firms with and	
	without a big-six trade tie	278
5.9	ROA_{t-2} effect on ROA_t by year for firms with and	
	without a big-six equity tie	279

xi



xii	Figures	
5.10	ROA_{t-2} effect on ROA_t by year for firms with and without a big-six director tie	280
5.11	ROA_{t-2} effect on sales growth by year for firms	200
0.11	with and without a big-six shacho-kai membership	281
5.12	ROA_{t-2} effect on sales growth by year for firms	
	with and without a big-six debt tie	281
5.13	ROA_{t-2} effect on sales growth by year for firms	
	with and without a big-six equity tie	282
5.14	ROA_{t-1} effect on sales growth by year for firms	
	with and without a big-six trade tie	283
5.15	ROA_{t-2} effect on sales growth by year for firms	
	with and without a big-six director tie	283
6.1	Trends in cross-shareholding for publicly listed	
	corporations	329
6.2	Trends in cross-shareholding within the big-six	
	horizontal groups	330



Tables

1.1	List of member companies of presidents' councils	
	(shacho-kai) of six major horizontal keiretsu groups	4 10
2.4	(as of March 31, 1993).	page 18
2.1	Fuji Electric's sales to Furukawa group and other firms.	68
3.1	CONCOR partitioning of 259 Japanese firms, 1978.	114
3.2	CONCOR partitioning of 259 Japanese firms, 1986.	116
3.3	CONCOR partitioning of 259 Japanese firms, 1989.	118
3.4	CONCOR partitioning of 259 Japanese firms, 1993.	120
3.5	CONCOR partitioning of 259 Japanese firms, 1995.	122
3.6	CONCOR partitioning of 259 Japanese firms, 1997.	124
3.7	Herfindahl measures of concentration in the	
	distribution of shacho-kai firms over CONCOR blocks	
	by year.	135
4.1	Measures and descriptive statistics for 259 firms and	
	66,822 firm dyads by year.	154
4.2	Probit (director) and tobit (equity) regressions of	
	corporate ties for pairs of Japanese firms by year.	156
4.3	Number of firms and shacho-kai composition by	
	industry.	189
4.4	Probit (director) and tobit (equity) regressions of ties	
	from and to twenty firms in the automobile industry.	192
4.5	Probit (director) and tobit (equity) regressions of ties	1,72
1.5	from and to twenty-one firms in the electronics industry.	194
4.6	Probit (director) and tobit (equity) regressions of ties	171
7.0	from and to twenty-eight firms in the metals industry.	196
4.7	Probit (director) and tobit (equity) regressions of ties	170
т./	from and to thirty-one firms in the chemicals industry.	197
4.8	Regressions of director and equity ties from and to	17/
4.0		
	seventeen firms in the nonelectrical machinery industry	100
	by year.	198
	xiii	



ĸiv	Tables	
5.1	Definitions of variables, means, and standard	
	deviations.	224
5.2	Zero-order correlations of keiretsu measures and	
	firm attributes.	226
5.3a	OLS estimates of main effects on ROA of keiretsu	
	measures and controls (197 manufacturing firms,	
	1965–95).	229
5.3b	OLS estimates of main effects on sales growth of	
	keiretsu measures and controls (197 manufacturing	
	firms, 1965–95).	229
5.4a	OLS estimates of keiretsu effects on ROA_t by tercile	
- 41	of the ROA_{t-2} distribution.	235
5.4b	OLS estimates of keiretsu effects on sales growth by	
	tercile of the ROA_{t-2} distribution.	235
5.5	Regressions of ROA and sales growth on keiretsu	
	measures and controls (197 Japanese manufacturing	2.40
<i>5 (</i>	firms, 1966–95).	240
5.6	Zero-order correlations among group-specific	247
5.7	measures.	246
3.7	Regressions of ROA and sales growth on group-specific ties and interactions.	247
5.8a	Firm size conditions the intervention effects of	27/
J.0a	keiretsu ties on ROA.	251
5.8b	Firm size conditions the intervention effects of	231
3.00	keiretsu ties on sales growth.	252
5.9	Correlations of debt and equity concentration with	
	keiretsu ties, size, and industry measures.	254
5.10	Intervention effects of shacho-kai, debt and equity	
	ties, and debt and equity concentration.	255
5.11	Means and correlations of keiretsu variables by	
	industry.	257
5.12	Industry conditions the intervention effects of	
	keiretsu ties on ROA and sales growth.	258
5.13	Intervention effects of vertical keiretsu on ROA and	
	sales growth.	264
5.14a	Regressions of ROA on shacho-kai and exchange	
	and control ties by period.	274
5.14b	Regressions of sales growth on shacho-kai and	
	exchange and control ties by period.	275
6.1	Stability in the ownership of Japan's big-five steel	
	firms, 1979–99.	324
6.2	Stability in the ownership of Japan's big-five	22.
	electronics firms, 1979–99.	32.5



	Tables	XV
6.3	Overall patterns of stability and change among major	
	steel and electronics firms, 1979–99.	326
6.4	Descriptive statistics.	363
6.5	Random-effects panel probit estimates of the likelihood	
	of strategic alliance formation for each dyad of Japanese	
	electronics firms for each year of the period 1992–97	364



Acknowledgments

This book has been longer in the making than we care to admit. Academic careers have their twists and turns, and both of us took some detours on the road to completion of the project. So it is altogether fitting that we begin with an expression of gratitude to Mark Granovetter, editor of the Cambridge Series on Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences, and to Cambridge University Press Social Science Editor Alia Winters for their patience and support over the course of a project that extended well beyond the contractually agreed-upon completion date. We are also grateful to Mark for his thoughtful comments on successive drafts of the manuscript.

The research of professors invariably in part reflects the efforts of their students, and our work here is no exception. We are much indebted to a group of talented and dedicated Berkeley graduate students, who served not just as research assistants, but often as colleagues and collaborators as well. Topping the list is Christina Ahmadjian, now on the faculty of the Graduate School of International Corporate Strategy at Hitotsubashi University and an established Japan business scholar in her own right. Chris was a full partner in much of the work discussed in these pages, doing interviews, gathering data, designing and conducting analyses, coauthoring papers, and sharing her experience, theoretical and methodological acumen, and clear sense for what was going on in Japan. Didier Guillot, another Berkeley doctoral student (now on the faculty of INSEAD), contributed importantly in the late stages of the project to the management and analysis of data, the interviewing, and the writing and preparation of papers. Eliot Mason's expert data analytic skills and helpful thoughts on organization and network issues are much appreciated, as is Mike Thayer's conquest of the daunting data management challenges posed by Chapter 3's dyad analysis. In an early phase of our work, Berkeley doctoral students Joan Boothe, Frank Freitas, Ha Hoang, and Peggy Takahashi supplied us with able research assistance. We further acknowledge

xvii



xviii Acknowledgments

the cheerful diligence of our undergraduate coders who put in hour after hour at the Institute of Industrial Relations (IIR) translating and transcribing Japanese-language materials. We are grateful, too, to IIR and Haas staff members Olivia Armstrong, Deborah Huoy, and Lorraine Seiji, among others, who supplied us with efficient and capable administrative assistance.

We owe a considerable debt to a number of Japanese scholars. At the start of the project, Ikujiro Nonaka arranged a productive and stimulating year for the two of us at the Institute of Innovation Research at Hitotsubashi University. He also opened doors to companies and enlightened us with his firm grasp of the philosophical underpinnings of Japanese business practice. Another senior Hitotsubashi figure at the time, Ken-ichi Imai, arranged interviews with top firms and trade associations, directed us to data sources, and otherwise helped get our work off the ground. His own influential network theory of the Japanese economy was of particular value as we struggled to formulate the structuralist perspective that frames this volume. Other prominent Hitotsubashi scholars whose help we gratefully acknowledge are Tsuyoshi Tsuru and Konosuke Odaka, who invited Jim Lincoln to spend four months in 2001 in the vibrant intellectual setting of Hitotsubashi's Institute of Economic Research (IER). Lunch discussions with Akira Goto, Hideshi Itoh, Toshi Nishiguchi, and other resident faculty made our Hitotsubashi stays all the more stimulating and edifying. Two joint conferences between Hitotsubashi's IER and Berkeley's Institute of Industrial Relations provided further opportunities to hash through some intriguing issues in Japanese business and labor practice with a group of distinguished American and Japanese scholars.

Several faculty at Kyoto University were also quite instrumental in the progress of our work. Masahiro Shimotani of the Economics Department has long been a friend, supporter, and collaborator. His deep understanding of corporate governance and organization issues was immensely helpful in giving us a fix on what was happening in turbulent late 1990s Japan. Until the sad event of his premature death in 1996, Banri Asanuma, another eminent Kyoto University economist, enlightened us on several occasions with his analysis of the organization of Japanese electronics and auto industry supply networks. A third Kyodai scholar, Hiroshi Shiomi, assisted with interviews and opened our eyes to a number of important issues in auto industry subcontracting and distribution.

A very special debt is owed Yoshi Nakata, a long-time friend and the founding dean of the new Management School at Doshisha University. On multiple occasions Yoshi paved the way for Jim Lincoln to teach and study in that nicest of Japanese cities – Kyoto – and in Doshisha's supportive academic environment. Yoshi also helped with company interviews, data access, research assistance, and a multitude of other tasks. Other



Acknowledgments

xix

Doshisha colleagues, most notably Mitsuo Ishida and Hugh Whittaker, provided stimulating company and now and then guidance on how to navigate the instructional and administrative landscape at Doshisha. Having Hugh, formerly of Cambridge and a major figure in Japanese management studies, as a Doshisha colleague in 2002–03 was a particular treat. Still other friends, colleagues, and students at Japanese universities provided assistance, advice, and insight along the way.

We are further indebted to the Center for Global Communications (Glocom) and the Institute for Social Research at the University of Tokyo where Michael Gerlach spent time as a visiting scholar in 2000 and 2001. Special thanks are owed to Shumpei Kumon of Glocom, who helped provide contacts for interviews and other logistical support through his organization.

We also gratefully acknowledge the generous funding support of a number of agencies and foundations, specifically the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, the National Science Foundation (grant SES 891 2498), the Social Science Research Council, the U.S.–Japan Friendship Commission, the University of California Education Abroad and Pacific Rim Programs, the Warren E. and Carol Spieker Chair, and the Center for International Business and Policy and the Institute for Management, Innovation, and Organization of the Haas School of Business. We are also grateful to Haas for the summer support and leave time that made possible lengthy stays in Japan and to Berkeley's Institutes of Industrial Relations and East Asian Studies, which supported us with research and administrative assistance, travel funds, and office space.

Some of the material in these chapters saw life in earlier published work. Parts of Chapter 1 draw on Gerlach and Lincoln (1998). Chapter 2 appeared in early form in Gerlach (1997). Chapters 4 and 5 build on two *American Sociological Review* articles coauthored with then-students Christina Ahmadjian and Peggy Takahashi (Lincoln, Gerlach, and Takahashi, 1992; Lincoln, Gerlach, and Ahmadjian, 1996). A section of Chapter 6 draws on Guillot and Lincoln (2001). Other passages here and there take material from Lincoln and Ahmadjian (2001); Lincoln and Nakata (1997); and Lincoln, Gerlach, and Ahmadjian (1998).

More people than we can fairly give credit to offered useful commentary on our work over the years, among them Clair Brown, Ron Burt, Glenn Carroll, Jerry Davis, Ron Dore, David Flath, Mark Fruin, Gary Hamilton, Leonard Lynn, Mark Mizruchi, Masao Nakamura, Hugh Patrick, Charles Perrow, John Ries, Tom Roehl, Ulrike Schaede, Paul Sheard, Chuck Weathers, Harrison White, and Oliver Williamson. Berkeley colleague and eminent Japan scholar, Bob Cole, provided support in more ways than we can fairly acknowledge. David Vogel's persistent queries as to when the book would be finished and why it was taking so long were a



xx Acknowledgments

nontrivial spur to completion. Berkeley friends Neil Fligstein and Trond Peterson provided general moral support, insightful commentary on business networks in Europe, and a sympathetic ear to gripes and frustrations. Our work also benefited significantly from the commentary of seminar and workshop participants at Berkeley, British Columbia, Carnegie Mellon, Dartmouth, Davis, the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Kobe University, Kyoto University, Marburg, Northwestern, Osaka University, Ritsumeikan, Stanford, the Stockholm School of Economics, UCLA, the University of Tokyo, and Yale. The PRISM (Pacific Roundtable on Industry, Strategy, and Management) group organized by Michael Gerlach and Mark Fruin was for years a stimulating forum for friendly and relaxed, if not always gentle, criticism from a group of accomplished West Coast Japan scholars.

Last but by no means least, we are deeply grateful for the patient and loving support of our families, who put up with far too many weekends and evenings when Dad was busy writing or, worse, not even in the country but off in Japan on research.