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Economic growth is a key factor in the improvement of our living standards and hence of great interest to academics and policy makers alike. This book aims to explain why growth across Europe has been disappointing since the mid 1990s, both compared to earlier periods and compared to the United States, which showed resurgent growth after 1995. In the process we present the EU KLEMS database, a rich data toolbox that can be used to explore these and other growth-related questions. The main message of this book is that an industry perspective on growth and the sources of growth is essential because of the great diversity in the drivers of growth in agriculture, manufacturing and services industries, including trade, transport, financial, business and personal services.

The empirical study of sources of economic growth has a long tradition in Europe, starting as far back as the seventeenth century when William Petty began to construct measures of economic performance including comparisons of output and productivity in industry, trade and transportation. Over the centuries, with the emergence of standardised national accounts and other internationally comparable statistical sources, the measurement of sources of growth has become more sophisticated. During the second half of the last century, growth accounting evolved as a standard methodology. In 1987, Jorgenson, Gollop and Fraumeni published a pioneering study laying out what has become known as the KLEMS approach. The KLEMS method measures the changes in the quantity and quality of capital (K), labour (L), energy (E), material inputs (M) and service inputs (S) as contributions to output growth. This approach has subsequently been particularly useful in tracing the effects of the development and deployment of information and communication technology (ICT) on the resurgence of the American economy since 1995 (Jorgenson et al. 2005).

While the KLEMS methodology has been replicated in studies for some individual countries, a standardised comparison of European
countries has not been available until recently. This became increasingly pressing in the early 2000s as European productivity growth seemed to be on a declining trend, in the context of accelerating growth in the United States and increasing competition from emerging economies such as China and India. The slowing growth and faltering emergence of the knowledge economy in Europe led to an ambitious action programme of the European Commission, called the ‘Lisbon Agenda’, aimed at boosting competitiveness, primarily through innovation. Monitoring and evaluation of progress in achieving these goals required a comprehensive analysis of economic growth in Europe based on a detailed industry-level database. With evidence of the rising importance of ICT and market services for growth, there was also renewed attention given to measurement issues and the international comparability of national statistics. Clearly, there was an increasing need for new methods, comparable statistics and convergence of methods of measuring productivity. The aim of the EU KLEMS initiative set up in 2003 was to meet this demand.

This study is the result of the multi-year, multi-national endeavour involving a large consortium of researchers. It was supported by the European Commission, Research Directorate-General as part of the 6th Framework Programme, Priority 8, Policy Support and Anticipating Scientific and Technological Needs, and is part of the EU KLEMS Project on Growth and Productivity in the European Union. The grant made it possible to form a consortium of eighteen partners, including universities and research institutes across Europe, as well as Japan and the United States. The result of this collaboration is the EU KLEMS Growth and Productivity Accounts database, publicly available at www.euklems.net. This database includes measures of output and detailed capital and labour inputs, and derived variables such as labour and multi-factor productivity at the industry level. The measures are developed for twenty-five individual European Union member states, the United States and Japan and cover the period from 1970 onwards. This book combines a documentation of the EU KLEMS methodology and database with a number of analytical studies that have been carried out using the database. It can therefore be used as the primary reference work for the current and future versions of the EU KLEMS database. In particular Chapters 3 and 6 provide a detailed account of the growth accounting and level accounting methodologies used in the EU KLEMS project. The analysis in the book is
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