

## INDEX

- aboriginal people *see* racial minorities  
 acquittals 235  
 administration of justice  
   offences against 218  
 advantaged groups  
   culpability and 156, 158  
 age *see* older people; youth as mitigating factor  
 agency 76  
 alcohol  
   abuse 129, 130, 139  
   intoxication *see* intoxication  
 ambiguous sentencing factors 15–16  
 American Law Institute (ALI) 47–8, 54  
 apologies 69, 76  
 appeal courts  
   guidance on sentencing and 7–8  
 arson 218  
 Ashworth, A. 5, 11, 17, 40, 43, 46, 48, 153  
 assault 165, 213  
   factors justifying community orders 180  
   personal mitigation and 64, 65  
 attempted murder 36  
 attitudes  
   of offender 15–16  
   public *see* public attitudes to sentencing  
 Australia  
   aboriginal people 124, 141–3  
     finding relevant differences in  
       aboriginality 137  
     harsher impact of sentencing on 138  
     intoxication, substance abuse and  
       emotional stress 139  
     over-representation in prisons 127  
     remedying past injustices and current  
       over-representation 139–40  
     socio-economic disadvantage and  
       indigenous sentencing factors 128–33  
   equality principles 126  
   equal impact principle 127  
   guidance on sentencing  
     excluded and controversial sentencing  
       factors 15  
     public attitudes to sentencing and  
       200–203  
   intoxication 139  
   proof of aggravating and mitigating facts 232  
   provocation defence 103, 104–5, 107, 108,  
     109, 111  
     equality analysis 116  
     loss of self-control 114  
     reasons for being provoked 114  
     sentencing practices and 119  
   public attitudes to sentencing 188, 189, 204  
     guidance on sentencing and 200–203  
     law and order and 191, 197–200  
     lessening of importance of personal  
       mitigation due to public attitudes 190  
     study of 196–7  
   real-offence (non-conviction) sentencing  
     236–7  
   traditional judicial sentencing 190–9  
   worthy conduct unrelated to offence 11  
 Bentham, Jeremy 25  
 bias  
   gender bias in provocation defence 105, 118  
   in research on public attitudes to sentencing  
     197  
 blameworthiness *see* culpability  
 breach of trust 24, 31, 268  
 British Crime Survey 169  
 burglary 31, 36, 164, 218, 222, 224  
 Canada  
   aboriginal people 124, 141–3  
   debating the issues 135–7  
   finding relevant differences in  
   aboriginality 137

- intoxication, substance abuse and
  - emotional stress 139
  - over-representation in prisons 128
  - remediating past injustices and current over-representation 139–40
  - socio-economic disadvantage and indigenous sentencing factors 133–5
- character of offenders 45
- equal impact principle 127
- guidance on sentencing
  - Court of Appeal 7
  - statutory 6
- intoxication 139
- justification of mitigation/aggravation 41
- proof of aggravating and mitigating facts 232
- public attitudes to sentencing factors 171
- real-offence (non-conviction) sentencing 236, 240–1, 242
- sentencing process 231
- character of offenders 44, 57
  - loss of self-control and 113
  - provocation and 117
- children
  - child victims of crime 173
  - impact of sentences on 57
- Clarke, A. 195
- cognitive behavioural therapy 75
- collateral consequences of sentences 31, 54
  - on third parties 32, 57, 170
- community sentences 179–84
- conceptual frameworks 5
- conduct
  - provocative *see* provocation
  - worthy conduct unrelated to offence 11–12, 28–9
  - standard of proof for contested facts 12–13
- consistency in sentencing 63, 217, 242, 268
- contested facts
  - standard of proof for 12–13
- controversial sentencing factors 15
- Cooper, J. 164, 191
- criminal history *see* previous offences
- Cullen, F. 195
- culpability 25, 44, 61, 71, 112, 191, 198, 200, 273
  - enhanced 224
  - social disadvantage and 156, 158
- cultural dimension of sentencing 42, 48–55
  - legitimate sympathy 55–7
- custodial sentences 26
  - life sentences 265
  - women and 29
  - see also* prisons
- dangerousness 45, 256
- death
  - causing death by driving 23, 24, 88, 165, 270
  - see also* murder
- desert theory 25, 26, 28, 42–5, 46, 71, 95, 254–5
- desistance from reoffending 68, 73–7, 78
- deterrence 25, 26, 255
- diminished responsibility 88
- Dingwall, G. 81, 83, 95, 96
- disability
  - discrimination on grounds of 29
  - mitigation for 57
- disadvantaged people 137, 141–3, 255
  - harsher impact of sentences on 137–9
  - intoxication, substance abuse and emotional stress 139
  - mitigation and social disadvantage 156–8
  - over-representation in prisons 127–8
  - remediating past injustices and current over-representation 139–40
  - socio-economic disadvantage and indigenous sentencing factors
    - Australia 128–33
    - Canada 133–5
    - debating the issues 135–7
- discretion
  - creation of judicial discretion 6
  - personal mitigation and 156
  - South African study of discretionary effect of mitigation and aggravating factors 261–2, 275
  - application of basic principles of sentencing 266–8
  - assessment and proposed improvements 271–5
  - comparative approach 268–71
  - minimum sentences 265–6, 274
  - traditional approach to sentencing 263–5
  - structuring discretion at sentencing 5–6
- discrimination 29
  - non-discrimination principle *see* equality
- driving *see* motoring offences
- drugs 25, 218, 223, 241, 269
  - Booker case 251–2
- drunkenness *see* intoxication
- education 74
- Elias, N. 51
- emergencies
  - crimes committed in 183
- emotional stress 139
- employment
  - impact of sentence on employees of offender 32
  - mitigating factors and 30, 56
- endangerment 44
- England and Wales
  - discount in sentence for assistance to police/prosecution 33
  - equality principles 126

## INDEX

- England and Wales (cont.)  
 guidance on sentencing 8, 9–10, 70–1, 163, 193  
 Court of Appeal 7  
 general structure 22–3  
 intoxication 85–6, 97  
 methodology 23–4  
 personal mitigation 16  
 public attitudes to 192  
 statutory 7  
 weighting sentencing factors 14, 154–6
- intoxication 139  
 driving offences 88–91  
 empirical evidence and theoretical debates 91–4  
 legislation on 84  
 murder and 87–8  
 public views 94  
 scope of alcohol and crime problem 82–3  
 sentencing guidelines 85–6
- justification of mitigation/aggravation  
 extraneous mitigating factors 27  
 pursuit of equality polices and 29
- over-representation of racial minorities in prisons 128
- personal mitigation 61–4, 146, 163–4, 183  
 Crown Court 65–9  
 effect of list of factors 70–3  
 empirical study 147  
 exercise of discretion 156  
 interview findings 152–4  
 lessening of importance of personal mitigation due to public attitudes 190  
 magistrates' courts 64–5  
 observation findings 148–52  
 offence seriousness and guilty plea and 158–60  
 public attitudes 176–9  
 sentencing as subjective process 161–2  
 social disadvantage and 156–8  
 types of factors and their impact 147–8  
 weighting of sentencing factors 154–6
- proof of aggravating and mitigating facts 232
- provocation defence 103, 105–6  
 gravity of provocation 116  
 reasons for being provoked 114
- public attitudes to sentencing 168, 184–5, 188, 195, 205  
 comparison between mitigation and aggravation 183–4  
 criticism of sentencing and sentencers 169  
 factors justifying community orders 179–84  
 guidance on sentencing and 192  
 importance of prior compliance and prior offending 174–6  
 law and order and 191  
 lessening of importance of personal mitigation due to public attitudes 190  
 methodology of study 173–2  
 offender-related factors that justify more lenient sentence 176–9  
 prior research 171–2  
 reactions to aggravating factors 173  
 relevance of public views 169–71  
 purposes of sentencing 24, 94–8  
 real-offence (non-conviction) sentencing 236  
 risk of harm to prisoners 138  
 seriousness/gravity of offences 45, 61–2, 71  
 enhanced culpability theory 224  
 equality 3, 124  
 equal impact principle 125, 126–7  
 equality analysis of provocation 116–17  
 principles 126  
 pursuit of equality policies as mitigating factor 29–30  
 racial and disadvantaged minorities 124, 137, 141–3  
 harsher impact of sentences on 137–9  
 intoxication, substance abuse and emotional stress 139  
 over-representation in prisons 127–8  
 remedying past injustices and current over-representation 139–40  
 socio-economic disadvantage and indigenous sentencing factors Australia 128–33
- ethnic minorities *see* racial minorities
- evidence  
 evidentiary rules 231  
 provocation and 108–9  
 standard of proof for contested facts 12–13  
 excluded sentencing factors 15
- facts  
 proof of *see* proof  
 taxonomy of 229–30
- fairness 3, 242  
 standard of proof for contested facts and 13
- families  
 impact of sentence on 32, 170  
 supportive 56
- fines 26
- Finland  
 statutory guidance on sentencing 6
- first-offender discounts 174, 264
- fraud 181–2, 266
- Freiberg, A. 111
- Garland, David 42, 50–2, 55
- gender bias  
 provocation defence and 105, 118  
 gravity *see* seriousness/gravity of offences

- guidance on sentencing 16, 17  
 ambiguous factors 15–16  
 Australia  
   excluded and controversial sentencing factors 15  
   public attitudes to sentencing and 200–3  
 Courts of Appeal and 7–8  
 England and Wales 7, 8, 9–10, 70–1  
   general structure 22–3  
   intoxication 85–6, 97  
   methodology 23–4  
   public attitudes to 192  
 enhanced 10–11  
 excluded and controversial factors 15  
 guilty plea discount 8, 10, 23  
 justifications for 2–5  
 legislature and 6–7  
 New Zealand 208, 209, 210, 213, 225–6  
   approach to band choice 215–18  
   background to sentencing reform 208–9  
   decision not to implement 226  
   problematic sentencing factors 208, 218–24, 225  
   Sentencing Act 2002 210–12  
   structure of guidelines 213–15  
 personal mitigation 3, 5, 16  
 proportionality theory and 193–4, 200–3  
 public attitudes to sentencing and 192–3, 200–3  
 rationale for mitigation/aggravation 10–11  
 standard of proof for contested facts 12–13  
 structuring discretion at sentencing 5–6  
 United States 8–9, 247–8  
   adoption of 248  
   application of s. 3553 to guidelines 254–7  
   Booker judgment and 251–2  
   calculation of sentence under guidelines 248  
   current sentencing under advisory guidelines 252–3  
   excluded and controversial sentencing factors 15  
   mitigation under guidelines 249–51  
   practical outcomes of advisory guidelines 258–9  
   sentence mitigation after Booker 253–9  
   theoretical puzzle of advisory guidelines 257–8  
   weighting sentencing factors 14  
   weighting sentencing factors 13–14, 154–6  
   worthy conduct unrelated to offence 11–12  
 guilty plea 108, 230  
   discount in sentence for 8, 10, 23, 33  
   personal mitigation and 158–60  
 hard labour 210  
 harm 25, 43–4, 61, 191, 272  
 Hart, H. L. A. 43, 46  
 Henham, R. 159  
 Hogarth, J. 3  
 Hough, M. 3, 5, 16, 67, 68–9, 92, 96, 97, 195  
 housing 74  
 illness  
   mental *see* mental illness  
   mercy and 35, 36  
   reduced sentences for 138, 178  
 impact of sentence  
   on offenders 25, 31, 54  
   on third parties 32, 57, 170  
 incapacitation 255–6  
 inchoate offences 44  
 indefinite sentences 87, 210, 231  
 indigenous people *see* racial minorities  
 infirmity *see* disability  
 innocence  
   presumption of 238  
 intoxication 1, 81, 99, 198  
   aboriginal peoples 139  
   alcohol support and treatment programmes 82, 98  
   empirical evidence and theoretical debates 91–4  
   public views 94  
   purposes of sentencing and 94–8  
   scope of alcohol and crime problem 82–3  
   sentencing and 83–4  
     sentencing guidelines in England and Wales 85–6, 97  
   as source of mitigation 87–8  
 intuition 1, 2–5  
   guidance for intuitive sentencer 3  
 Jacobson, J. 3, 5, 16, 67, 68–9, 92, 96, 97  
 Jacoby, J. 195  
 Jareborg, N. 42, 43  
 judges 231  
 juries  
   proof of aggravating and mitigating facts before 234  
   provocation defence and 108  
   unanimous verdicts 230  
 just desert theory 25, 26, 28, 42–5, 46, 71, 95, 247–8  
 justification of mitigation/aggravation 8, 10–11, 21–2, 37, 41  
 extraneous mitigation factors 27–8  
   collateral or consequential effects of conviction and sentence on offender 31, 54  
   collateral or consequential effects of conviction and sentence on third parties 32, 57

## INDEX

- justification of mitigation/aggravation (cont.)  
 incentives to facilitate smooth running of  
 criminal justice system 33  
 positive social contribution 28–9  
 pursuit of equality policies 29–30  
 reparation, remorse and other post-offence  
 matters 34  
 mitigation, mercy and parsimony 35–7
- Koffman, L. 95
- language  
 culture and 54  
 law and order  
 public attitudes to sentencing and 191–2,  
 197–200
- legislatures  
 guidance on sentencing and 6–7
- legitimacy 170, 242
- legitimate sympathy 55–7
- leniency 97
- life sentences 265
- lifecourse criminology 72
- limiting retributivism 40, 43, 45, 46
- living-standard gauge 44
- Lovegrove, A. 164
- mental illness 63, 102  
 diminished responsibility 88
- mercy in sentencing 35–6, 97, 138, 199, 201  
 Royal Prerogative of Mercy 46
- methodology of guidance on sentencing  
 England and Wales 23–4
- Millie, A. 191
- minimum sentences 210  
 South Africa 265–6, 274
- Morgan, R. 175
- motoring offences 178  
 causing death by driving 23, 24, 88, 165, 270  
 intoxication and 88–91
- murder 107, 235  
 attempted 36  
 intoxication as source of mitigation  
 87–8  
 nature of 107  
 provocation defence *see* provocation
- New Zealand  
 guidance on sentencing 208, 209, 210, 213,  
 225–6  
 approach to band choice 215–18  
 background to sentencing reform 208–9  
 decision not to implement 226  
 problematic sentencing factors 208, 218–  
 24, 225  
 Sentencing Act 2002 210–12  
 structure of guidelines 213–15
- over-representation of aboriginal people in  
 prisons 128
- prevalence of offences as aggravating factor  
 219
- provocation defence 103, 104, 107, 220–1
- public attitudes to sentencing 210
- traditional approach to mitigation and  
 aggravation 209–12  
 weighting sentencing factors 221–2
- non-conviction offences *see* real-offence  
 (non-conviction) sentencing
- non-discrimination *see* equality
- objective capacity theory 113
- Odudu, O. 156
- offender mitigation *see* personal mitigation
- older people  
 mitigation for 57, 138
- opinions *see* public attitudes to sentencing
- ordinary person test for provocation 116
- parole boards 230
- parsimony principle 30, 37, 257
- personal mitigation 3, 5, 16, 60, 189, 199, 200,  
 202, 264  
 England and Wales 61–4, 146, 163–4, 183  
 Crown Court 65–9  
 effect of list of factors 70–3  
 empirical study 147  
 exercise of discretion 156  
 interview findings 152–4  
 lessening of importance of personal  
 mitigation due to public attitudes 190  
 magistrates' courts 64–5  
 observation findings 148–52  
 offence seriousness and guilty plea and  
 158–60  
 public attitudes 176–9  
 sentencing as subjective process 161–2  
 social disadvantage and 156–8  
 types of factors and their impact 147–8  
 weighting of sentencing factors 154–6
- finding the person in 77–8
- importance of 73–7
- lessening of importance due to public  
 attitudes 190
- proportionality theory and 193
- relation to overarching principles of  
 sentencing 61–4
- phenomenology of sentencing 3
- plea bargains 235, 239
- police  
 discount in sentence for assistance to 33
- pre-sentence reports 67, 77, 93, 125, 231
- presumption of innocence 238
- prevalence of offences as aggravating factor  
 219, 264

- previous offences 174–6, 183, 184, 222–4  
 principles of mitigation/aggravation 40–2, 58  
 contributions of philosophers 45–6  
   von Hirsch, Ashworth and the American Law Institute 46–8  
 cultural dimension 42, 48–55  
   legitimate sympathy 55–7  
 desert theory 25, 26, 28, 42–5, 46, 71, 95, 247–8  
 equality 126  
 personal mitigation and 61–4  
 proportionality theory 25, 26, 40, 42, 43–4, 48, 179, 188, 192, 205, 254  
   cardinal proportionality 48  
   guidance on sentencing and 193–4, 200–3  
   ordinal proportionality 48  
 retributivism 40, 43, 45, 46, 78, 179, 184, 224  
 prisons  
   over-representation of racial and disadvantaged minorities in 127–8  
   risk of harm to prisoners 138  
 probation service  
   presentence reports 67, 93  
 progressive loss of mitigation 224  
 proof  
   of aggravating and mitigating facts 228–9, 241–3  
   non-conviction offences *see* real-offence (non-conviction) sentencing  
   procedural architecture 230–2  
   taxonomy of facts 229–30  
   variable burdens of proof 232–5  
   standard of proof for contested facts 12–13  
 proportionality theory 25, 26, 40, 42, 43–4, 179, 188, 192, 205, 254  
   cardinal proportionality 48  
   guidance on sentencing and 193–4, 200–3  
   ordinal proportionality 48  
 prosecution  
   discount in sentence for assistance to 8, 33  
 protective factors for crime 72  
 provocation 102, 106, 112, 120–1, 220–1  
   attack on doctrine of 109–12  
   Australia 103, 104–5, 107, 108, 109, 111  
   England and Wales 103, 105–6  
   New Zealand 103, 104, 107  
 evidentiary considerations 108–9  
 gravity of 115, 116  
 juries and 108  
 loss of self-control 113–14  
 offender's personal characteristics and 117  
 ordinary person test or equality analysis 116–17  
 as partial defence 103–4, 107  
 proposed approach to provocation in sentencing 118–19  
 reasons for being provoked 114–15  
 sentencing practices and 119–20  
 sentencing structure and 106–7  
 public attitudes to sentencing 168, 184–5, 189–8, 195, 204–6  
   comparison between mitigation and aggravation 183–4  
   criticism of sentencing and sentencers 169  
   factors justifying community orders 179–84  
   guidelines on sentencing and 192–3, 200–3  
   harsher sentencing and 190–1  
   importance of prior compliance and prior offending 174–6  
   law and order and 191–2, 197–200  
   methodology of study 173–2  
   New Zealand 210  
   offender-related factors that justify more lenient sentence 176–9  
   prior research 172–1  
   reactions to aggravating factors 173  
   relevance of public views 169–71  
   research on 194–6  
     bias possibilities 197  
     implications for further research 203–4  
   public confidence in sentencing 4  
   public protection 25  
   purposes of sentencing 24–7, 94–8, 264  
     desert theory 25, 26, 28, 42–5, 46, 71, 95, 254–5  
     deterrence 25, 26, 255  
     incapacitation 255–6  
     public protection 25  
   reform and rehabilitation 25, 56, 73–7, 96, 194, 198, 201, 239, 256–7  
 racial aggravation 1, 29  
 racial minorities 124, 137, 141–3  
   harsher impact of sentences on 137–9  
   intoxication, substance abuse and emotional stress 139  
   over-representation in prisons 127–8  
   remedying past injustices and current over-representation 139–40  
   socio-economic disadvantage and indigenous sentencing factors  
     Australia 128–33  
     Canada 133–5  
     debating the issues 135–7  
 rape 132, 265  
 rationale for mitigation/aggravation *see* justification of mitigation/aggravation  
 real-offence (non-conviction) sentencing  
   Australia 236–7  
   Canada 236, 240–1, 242  
   England and Wales 236  
   United States 235–6, 237–40, 242, 243  
   reforms 240

## INDEX

- reform and rehabilitation 25, 56, 73–7, 96, 194, 198, 201, 239, 256–7
- relevance of mitigation/aggravation factors 8
- religious aggravation 1, 29
- remorse 15–16, 34, 159, 179, 184
- reparation 34, 56, 76  
 symbolic 76
- reports before sentencing 67, 77, 93, 125, 231
- retributivism 40, 43, 45, 46, 78, 179, 184, 224
- risk factors for crime 72
- R-N-R paradigm 75
- robbery 213, 214, 267, 274
- Roberts, Julian 21, 34, 135, 136, 175, 192, 195, 203
- Robinson, Paul 170
- Royal Prerogative of Mercy 46
- rule of law 126
- Rumgay, J. 93
- Russell, N. 175
- self-defence 102
- sensibility 55  
 circumstances influencing 26
- Sentencing Council of England and Wales 4, 7, 8, 9–10, 169  
 guidance on sentencing 8, 9–10, 163, 193  
 Court of Appeal 7  
 general structure 22–3  
 intoxication 85–6  
 methodology 23–4  
 personal mitigation 16  
 statutory 7  
 weighting sentencing factors 14
- seriousness/gravity of offences 24, 43, 183, 191, 216, 271, 273  
 England and Wales 45, 61–2, 71  
 personal mitigation and 158–60
- sexual offences 217  
 rape 132, 265
- sexual orientation  
 discrimination on grounds of 29
- Shapland, J. 91, 93, 97
- social background of offenders 125
- social contribution as mitigating factor 28–9
- socio-economic disadvantage *see* disadvantaged people
- South Africa  
 discretionary effect of mitigation and aggravating factors 261–2, 275  
 application of basic principles of sentencing 266–8  
 assessment and proposed improvements 271–5  
 comparative approach 268–71  
 minimum sentences 265–6, 274  
 traditional approach to sentencing 263–5  
 equality principles 126
- speeches in mitigation 60, 67
- speed of decision making 96
- statutes 70  
 guidance on sentencing and 6–7
- Stenning, P. 135, 136
- Stewart, F. 111
- stress  
 emotional 139
- supportive families 66
- Sweden  
 collateral consequences of sentences 54  
 employment as mitigating factor 30  
 guidance on sentencing  
 statutory 6  
 weighting sentencing factors 14  
 impact of sentence on offender 31  
 justification of mitigation/aggravation 27
- symbolic reparation 76
- sympathy  
 legitimate 55–7
- taking into consideration 237
- Tasioulas, J. 35
- terminal illness  
 mercy and 35, 36
- theft 265  
 breach of trust 24, 31, 268  
 burglary 31, 36, 164, 218, 222, 224  
 intoxication and 85  
 robbery 213, 214, 267, 274
- third parties  
 impact of sentence on 32, 57, 170
- Thomas, D. 16
- Thompson, E. P. 50
- totality principle 24
- transparency in sentencing 217
- unanimous verdicts 230
- United States  
 employment as mitigating factor 30  
 guidance on sentencing 8–9, 247–8  
 adoption of 248  
 application of s. 3553 to guidelines 254–7  
*Booker* judgment and 251–2  
 calculation of sentence under guidelines 248  
 current sentencing under advisory guidelines 252–3  
 excluded and controversial sentencing factors 15  
 mitigation under guidelines 249–51  
 practical outcomes of advisory guidelines 258–9  
 sentence mitigation after *Booker* 253–9  
 theoretical puzzle of advisory guidelines 257–8  
 weighting sentencing factors 14

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19780-9 - Mitigation and Aggravation at Sentencing

Edited by Julian V. Roberts

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

- murder sentences 107
- over-representation of racial minorities in prisons 128
- parole boards 230
- plea bargains 239
- proof of aggravating and mitigating facts 232–5
- provocation defence 103
- real-offence (non-conviction) sentencing 235–6, 237–40, 242, 243
  - reforms 240
- sentencing process 231
- structuring discretion at sentencing 5
- variability of application of mitigating/ aggravating factors 3
- victims of crime
  - assistance to victim after assault 1
  - children as 173
  - provocation by *see* provocation
- vigilantes 272
- violent offences 217, 265
- von Hirsch, A. 43, 46, 48, 113, 156, 158, 188, 192, 193
- voting rights of prisoners 74
- Walker, N. 7
- Ward, M. 98
- Wasik, M. 109
- weapons 173, 200
- weighting sentencing factors 13–14, 154–6, 221–2
- Wilkins, L. 2
- women
  - custodial sentences and 29
  - gender bias in provocation defence 105, 118
- worthy conduct unrelated to offence 11–12, 28–9
- youth as mitigating factor 102, 254, 263