

#### INDEX

ABG (company), abuse of a dominant allocative efficiency position 492-4 arising of 217-18 abuse of a dominant position Cournot effects, and 237 case study 492-4 measurement 219 definition of abuse 30-5 static efficiency, as 218 alternative purchaser for failing firm, enforcement guidelines 35 intellectual property rights 33-4 efforts to find 412-13 tests 39-40 Aluminum Company, failing-firm types 32-4 defence 186-8 'acquisition', use of term 105n Angel Street Holdings (company), acquisitions see mergers failing-firm defence, derogation Act against Restraints of Competition from Merger Regulation 137 (GWB) (German legislation) anti-competitive agreements, treaty provisions 21-5 'antitrust', use of term 106n Addyston Pipe & Steel Company, crisis cartel 319-20 antitrust law see competition law Arch Coal (company), failing-firm administration, firms on verge of, satisfaction of failing-firm defence 189-92 Argentina defence criteria 112n, 113 crisis cartels 334 Aerospatiale (company), failing-firm defence 114-15 national champions 454 agreements between undertakings as Argentine economic crisis 2001–2 collusion 23 example of interplay of crisis Air Products and Chemicals Inc. components 74 (company), failing-firm defence overview 86-7 armaments production, compatible aid 363 Airtel (company), failing-firm defence, derogation from Merger Arthur Andersen (firm), failing-firm defence 128-9 Regulation 136 Aker Kvaerner (company), efficiency Asian financial crisis 1997-8 as defence 231-2 example of crisis 85 AssiDomän Cartonboard (company), AKZO (company), crisis cartel 299 Alenia (company), failing-firm defence efficiency defence 230 associations, collusion 24



> INDEX 511

Astrium (company), failing-firm assessment of 448-50 defence, derogation from disadvantages 450 Merger Regulation 135 implementation 450 Australia, approach to crisis overview 448-55 enforcement 409-10 preference for 449, 452 purpose 448 Austria assessment criteria 402 vertical firewalls 449 banking sector cartel 306-7 Belgium financial institutions under formal application of Commission guidance investigation 381-2tab 161 - 2Great Depression 1929 82 failing-firm defence 161-2 real economy measures 382-3tab financial institutions under formal state aid for financial institutions investigation 381-2tab real economy measures 382-3tab 371 tab state aid for financial institutions aviation, crisis cartel 321-2 Bankers Trust (company), failingstate aid measures 367 firm defence, derogation from Bertelsmann (company), failing-firm Merger Regulation 137-8 defence 121-3 banking and finance sector Biondi, Andrea, on state aid 349 bank failures 426-7 blank cheque 194, 425 crisis cartel 306-7 Blokker (company), failing-firm defence 120 crisis enforcement 406-12 derogation 422-3 Blomkest Fertilizer Inc. (company), different approach to enforcement crisis cartel 322-3 429-30, 494-5 Blue Bell (company), failing-firm effect of mergers on SMEs 411 defence 185-6 failing-firm defence 137-8, 142-6 Boeing (company), failing-firm defence formal investigations 381-2tab 120 - 1merger review 427-8 Bolloré SA (company), financialconstraints defence 338-40 state aid 351-2, 371tab, 472-91 state aid restrictions 8 Borman, Frank, on capitalism without supervision 100 bankruptcy 103 Braddell plc (company), failing-firm 'too-big-to-fail' thesis 420-2 Banking Communication 473-5 defence 156-9 bankruptcy as reason for merger 109 Brady, Professor Robert A., on BASF (company), failing-firm defence naturalness of cartels 259 brand repositioning 238 Beef Industry Development Society Ltd brick industry restructuring 290, (Ireland), crisis cartel 324-31 299-300 British Petroleum Chemicals beef sector, financial-constraints (company), crisis cartel 296-7 defence 336-8 British Salt Ltd (company), failing-firm behavioural remedies

advantages 452

defence 153-4



#### 512 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

Brown Shoe (company), failing-firm carbonless paper, financial-constraints defence 180-1 defence 338-40 BT (company), failing-firm defence, cartels see also crisis cartels derogation from Merger compulsory cartels 264, 267 Regulation 136 definition 268 burden of proof EU practice see European competition law criminal enforcement 261, 463 export cartels see export cartels dominant firm's 36 German practice see Germany efficiencies impact 260 compelling evidence, need for justifications 5, 261 operation 5, 259 countervailing argument 234 customer benefits 233-4 R & D benefits 5 guidelines 227, 234-5 rationalization cartels 272, 348, 392 setting appropriate burdens 234 response to crisis, as 389–90 sliding-scale assessment approach rule-of-reason test applied to 47, 52 specialization cartels 272 failing-firm defence 111, 113, 114, trusts distinguished 264 117 UK practice see United Kingdom provisions 64 (UK) business opportunities in crisis period US practice see United States (USA) cartonboard case, financial-constraints exploiting 92-4 defence 340-2 special-situation firms 94-7 strategy of innovation 93 cast glass, crisis cartel 300 successful companies 93 causality types 94-7 criteria see Kali and Salz (company) buyers see purchasers existence 118, 127, 128 failing-firm defence 111-12 lack of 112-13, 116-17, 119, 120, Canada 122, 123, 124, 129, 130-1, 165, approach to crisis enforcement 404 competition law development 14 crisis cartels 322-3 need for 30, 34 efficiencies assessment 215, 443 Cavendish Motor Services (company), weighted-surplus standard 443 failing-firm defence 159 capacity reduction CdMG (company), failing-firm defence cartel as indispensable means of 6 compensation for non-fulfilment cement industry crisis cartel 293-4 Chinese character meaning 'crisis' 92 296 Chinese walls 449 importance 5, 262 choice and consumer welfare standard lack of clauses in agreement for 302 structural overcapacity, and 274 treaty provisions 270, 279, 291, 467 Cinven Ltd (company), failing-firm

carbon and graphite case, financialconstraints defence 342-7 defence, derogation from

Merger Regulation 137



Citizen Publishing Company, failing-	types 363, 366
firm defence 182-4	whether permitted aid excessive
Civil Aeronautics Board (USA), crisis	370
cartel 321-2	competition
Clay, Henry, on the power of	causal link with adverse effect of
competition 499	dominant position see causality
Clayton Act (US legislation)	means to consumer welfare, as
amendment 48	401
enactment 48	rejection of assumption of restriction
failing-firm defence, text of	of, categories 469-70
provisions 211-12	use of term 106n
merger assessment 57-8	Competition Act 1998 (UK legislation)
prohibitions 57	anti-competitive practices 63-4
scope 48	unilateral conduct 67
Coase, Ronald, frustration with	Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT)
antitrust 14	appeal to 408-9
Cockerill Sambre (company), crisis	role 62
cartel 300-3	competition authorities (NCAs) see
collusion	also specific jurisdictions
fines leading to 463-4	allocation of jurisdiction between
types 23-4	Commission and 42
commitments	approach to financial-constraints
ensuring compliance with 444	defence 7
review clauses 450-1	approaches to crisis enforcement
submission of 444-5, 447	404-5
types 445-55	assessment criteria other than
Common Agricultural Policy,	consumer welfare 402
compatible aid 363	co-operation with sector regulators
compatible aid	402
automatic compatibility 364-5	consideration of economic and
balancing test 368	social issues 415–16
cases 367	consideration of industry conditions
export aid 369	419–20
'incentive effect' 367	decision-making structure 402
legislation 363-9	enlargement of objectives 402
necessity test 367	independent action 508
operating aid 369	political pressure during economic
possibility for 363	crises 391–2
R & D 368	pragmatic approach to crisis
regional aid 368	enforcement 497-8, 509
reporting obligation 366	representations on competition
restriction of exemption 364	policy 434
scope 350	role 1
SMFs 368	'too-big-to-fail' thesis and 421-2



## 514 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

Competition Authority (Ireland), crisis concentrations see mergers cartel 324-31 conglomerate mergers, meaning 43-4 Competition Commission conservation, compatible aid 366, approach to crisis enforcement 369 consumer protection aim of 411-12 competition law 1 failing-firm defence, and 145, 148-58, 426 consumer welfare standard guidelines 237-8 analysis of standards 401-3 merger assessment 70 competition as means to reference to 69n, 140, 144, 147, 148, achievement 401 151, 159, 161 components 397 consideration of economic and role 62-3, 402 competition law see also enforcement social issues 416 consumer choice, and 397 acceptability of exceptional measures debate 397 during crises 432 efficiencies, and 11, 224, 225, 436, aim 1 caution in restructuring 8-9 442 - 3change resulting from recessions efficiencies requirement 440 focus on 401-2 innovation, and 398-9 common-law roots 61 consumer protection aim 1 objective 286, 397 development in specific jurisdictions pricing, and 397 prioritization during crisis 403 14 - 18effect of crises 391-7 promotion of 398-401 elements 260 quality of goods, and 397 question of relevant standard 401 exemptions 16, 260 fines, twofold role of 260 use of 215, 435 value for money, and 397 introduction 14-71 wealth transfer under 440 meaning 14 need for flexibility in crisis Continental Can case conditions 428-9 'abuse' defined 31 need for understanding of 1, 499 abuse of a dominant position 34 objectives 397-401, 507-8 causality 30 pragmatic approach to restructuring consumer welfare standard 215, 286, principles 15-16 'dominant position' defined 28 representations from NCAs 434 Cordiant (company), failing-firm social policy, and 15 defence, derogation from suspension of 392-3, 395 Merger Regulation 135-6 competition policy corporate restructuring see aims 4 restructuring contribution from efficiencies 4 cost of living in economic crises 75 compulsory cartels 264, 267 cost savings 'concentration', use of term 105n anticipated 174



categorization 216	compatible aid decision 364,
crisis situations, in 93-4	366
dynamic efficiency 221	laying down of regulations 287
economies of scale, and 4n	manifest crisis in steel industry, and
from efficiencies 224, 227	277-8
fixed costs 109	permitting of state aid 363
from merger 223	reference to 281
monitoring 29	role 4n, 37n
prices, and 221-2	state aid, and 471
production costs 114	Cournot effects
revitalizing through 177	allocative efficiency, and 237
static efficiencies 218, 220, 221	arising of 237
transaction costs 39, 217, 238	double marginalization, and 237
Williamson's model 221 fig.	Court of First Instance (CFI)
costs	appeal from 305
allocation of common costs 123,	authorization by 6
201, 213	causal link ruling 34
distribution costs 207	consumer welfare standard ruling
exit costs, avoidance of 199	400
increased costs on exit of failed firm	crisis cartels, and 303-4, 305, 306-7
198, 207	324
merger 175, 197	financial-constraints defence ruling
potential loss of productive	336, 337–8, 342–7, 465–6
efficiency as percentage of	reference to 302, 343
218	renaming 6n, 37n
product quality, and 219	social effects ruling 454-5
product substitution 59	state aid rulings 354-5, 357-8,
recouping of sunk costs 167	361
restart costs 126	structural commitments ruling
restructuring 104, 115	447-8
social costs 201-2	Court of Justice see European Court of
transport costs 150, 152, 180n	Justice (ECJ)
vertical mergers, in 43	credit crunch
Council of Ministers of the European	overview 87-92
Union	reasons for 430
adoption of Regulations 37	regulatory response
application of treaty provisions 288	danger of overregulation 100-2
Commission reports to 38	methods 99-100, 391
dominance test, and	overview 97-102
acceptance 39	regulatory weakness perceived
debate 40	97–8
Council of the European Union	scope of re-regulation 98-9
application of Regulations of 17,	regulatory weakness as cause 97,
273	97-8



# 516 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

creditors	generally 506-9
benefits from mergers 2-3, 105-6,	German practice see Germany
202	indispensable means of capacity
effects of mergers 416-17	reduction, as 6–7, 347
criminal enforcement	Ireland 324–31
burden of proof 261, 463	lack of European legislation 5-6
deterrence value 261	lenient policy towards 347–8
sanctions 465	likelihood 6, 347
crisis see also business opportunities in	overview 259–348
crisis period; economic crises;	penalties 462
financial crises	reduced fines on 5–6
acceptability of exceptional measures	structural crisis cartels 272, 348
during 432	UK practice see United Kingdom
cartels as response to 389–90	(UK)
chains of crises 102	US practice <i>see</i> United States
Chinese character meaning 92	(USA)
components 74, 81, 102	'crown jewels' undertakings 448
cost savings during 93–4	culture, compatible aid 366, 369
decision making, necessity of 74	customer benefits, efficiencies
different approach to efficiency	qualifying as 233–4
assessment during 258	Cyprus, state aid for financial
discernment of 81	institutions 371 <i>tab</i>
	Czech Republic, real economy
enforcement during <i>see</i> enforcement environmental crisis, overview	measures 382–3tab
77–8	measures 362–3140
long-term benefits of 413–14	damages awarding of 465
	damages, awarding of 465
meaning 73–4	De Havilland (company), failing-firm defence 114–15
notes on crises 72–102	
OED definition 73	de Larosière Report on state aid 471
origin of term 73	de minimis
political crisis, overview 76–7	efficiencies 235–6, 442
prioritization of welfare standards	state aid 360
during 403	Temporary Framework 484–5
social crisis, overview 75–6	debt restructuring <i>see</i> restructuring
types 74–81	decartelization 267
crisis cartels	decisions by associations of
authorization 6, 287–9	undertakings as collusion
case-by-case analysis 470–1	24
consequences of lack of 261–2	defences to enforcement, summary
enforcement, and 455-71	13fig
EU practice see European	Deloitte & Touche (firm)
competition law	failing-firm defence 128-9
financial-constraints defence and	report on deterrence for OFT 261

463

334-47



demand-side efficiencies	distress-debt funds, strategy 96
competition-enhancing, as 237-8	divestiture
Cournot effects see Cournot effects	implementation 452
product repositioning, and 238-9	purpose 445
Denmark	scope 445
debate over abuse test 40	suitable purchaser requirements
failing-firm defence 162-3	446
real economy measures 382-3tab	viable business requirement 446
reference to Commission 162–3	dominance, notion of
state aid for financial institutions	ECJ definition 27-31
371 <i>tab</i>	economic theories 27
Department of Justice (DOJ)	elements of definition 31
antitrust charges, topical	German competition law 26
classification 312-13tab	legal provisions 27
awarding of damages 465	Treaty of Paris 26
bank merger oversight 427-8	variables 31-2
criminal sanctions 465	dominance test
crisis cartels, and 308n, 418	application 30
derogation 194, 209	change to SLC test 39-40
enforcement, statistical analysis	hybrid test 40
308-19	new test 39–40
enforcement activity post-1935	dominant firm, burden of proof
395	36
failing-firm defence test 177, 183	dominant position see also abuse of a
Federal pre-merger notification	dominant position
programme 58	causality link with adverse effect on
horizontal conspiracies before see	competition see causality
horizontal conspiracies	legality 32
merger guidelines see Horizontal	double marginalization, Cournot effect
Merger Guidelines (US)	and 237
pocket decree/blank-cheque powers	dynamic efficiency
194, 424–5	arising of 218
'too big to fail' thesis, and 421	cost savings 221
derogation	failing-firm defence, and 109
banking and finance sector 422-3	product quality, and 218, 221
Commission decisions 423	promotion of 225-6
Merger Regulation, from 131-8, 423	R & D, and 109
US legislation, from 194-5, 422	Schumpeterian theory 218
Dinkins, David, on the stigma of	social welfare, and 221
bankruptcy 103	static efficiency distinguished 220-1
discrimination, treaty provisions	types 219tab
279	Dyno (company), failing-firm defence,
discriminatory pricing as abuse of a	derogation from Merger
dominant position 32	Regulation 136



#### 518 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

EADS (company), failing-firm defence, Eeckhout, Professor Piet, on state aid derogation from Merger 349 Regulation 135 efficiencies see also inefficiency defence; earliest competition case 61n supply-side efficiencies Eastbourne Buses Ltd (company), allocative efficiency see allocative failing-firm defence 159 efficiency EC Treaty (TEC) see Treaty establishing burden of proof 227 categorization 216 the European Community (EC Treaty - TEC) classification 217 ECMR see Merger Regulation (ECMR) consumer welfare, and 11, 224, 225, 'economic activity', meaning 354 436, 442-3 contribution to competition policy 4 economic crises cost of living, and 75 cost savings from 227 counteraction of negative effects of effect on competition regulation merger 226 391 - 7crisis enforcement, and 414-15, examples 81-92 financial crises distinguished 79 435 - 44Kindelberger's pattern theory 79 cumulative criteria 223 legislative response 390 customer benefits 233-4 meaning 79 de minimis assessment 235-6, 442 overview 79-81 demand-side efficiencies see progression 80-1 demand-side efficiencies different approach to assessment in state aid, and 391 economic policy measures as state aid crisis situation 258 357-9 diverging approaches to enforcement economic recession, competition law changes resulting from 7 dynamic efficiency see dynamic economic theories of dominance 27 efficiency economic theory 216, 217-22 economically deprived areas, compatible aid 366 EU practice see European economies of scale competition law dynamic efficiency 219tab failing-firm defence, and 418 efficiencies 216 importance of understanding 214 jurisprudence generally 215, meaning 4n merger assessment, in 60 216-19, 222 productive efficiency 218 lenient policy 217, 439 R & D 220 literature review 222 loss prediction methods 218 reason for merger, as 105, 111 static efficiency 219tab merger assessment and 109-10 methods for gaining 218 economies of scope pricing, and 217, 219 meaning 4n reason for clearance, as 417 productive efficiency 218 reason for merger, as 105, 111 reason for mergers, as 3 'economy', OED definition 79 reliance on guidelines 256-7



INDEX 519

sliding-scale assessment in guidelines 35 horizontal mergers 227-8 increase during crises 456 static efficiency see static efficiency legislation 49-51 substantiality requirement 441 non-competition-related objectives success in claims 4 402-3 penalties see penalties synergy efficiency see synergy efficiency pragmatic approach to 396-7 total surplus standard, and 436-7 reduction during crises 391–7 true synergies distinguished 225 reform 42 types 224 state aid rules, of 7-8, 471-92 statistical analysis 308-19 UK practice see United Kingdom statistics 309tab (UK) US practice see United States (USA) 'stop-the-clock' provision 42 ENI (company), crisis cartel 297-8 verifiability 225 Enichem (company), crisis cartel 298 Williamson's model of efficiency Enterprise Act 2002 (UK legislation) gains 220-1 efficiency defence cases 139 crisis enforcement 505 criminal sanctions for cartel Dutch cases 243-5, 257-8 behaviour 64-5 EU practice see European efficiencies 232-3 competition law exceptions 402, 407n making successful claim 228 investigation provisions 62n merger assessment 68-9 meaning 10, 215 Secretary of State's powers to overview 214-58 quantification of efficiencies 226-7 intervene 407, 425-6 'environment', OED definition 77 requirements 227 welfare standard, and 10, 215 environmental crisis, overview 77-8 electricity industry, crisis cartel Erie Sand and Gravel Company, failing-firm defence 179-80 323 - 4employment effects of mergers Estonia, real economy measures 415-17, 438 382-3tab enforcement see also criminal Euratom Treaty see Treaty establishing enforcement; merger the European Atomic Energy assessment; specific jurisdictions Community (Euratom) case-by-case analysis 434, 470-1 Eurodiol (company), failing-firm concurrent enforcement 51 defence 124-8 defences to enforcement, summary European Coal and Steel Community Treaty (ECSC Treaty) see Treaty 13fig of Paris (ECSC Treaty) during crises 389-498 crisis cartels, and 287, 455-71 European Commission different approach to banks 429-30 acceptance of structural economic effect of relaxation 396 commitments 445 fines see fines allocation of jurisdiction between generally 16 NCAs and 42



### 520 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

European Commission (cont.) Horizontal Merger Guidelines application of treaty provisions 5-6, (EU) 37, 262, 277, 399-400 investigative role 8, 28n, 210 approach to crisis enforcement national application of guidance see specific jurisdictions 504-5 notices 399 assessment powers, extent 167n behavioural commitments, and one-stop-shop for merger control, as 448-55 37n, 42, 238 penalties 16 commissioners 4n commitment to enforcement 425 policy statements 8-9 political pressure during economic consideration of employment effects crises 432, 505 455 consumer welfare standard, and 11, pricing measures 282-3 'private investor' principle 356 398-401, 435 quotas, and 279-81 crisis cartels, and 284-307, 329, 347-8, 457-60, 462, 467 Regulations, applicability 17 crisis conditions, approach to xi, 429 remedies 444 crisis powers, extent 279, 283 report on competition policy 398 'crown jewels' undertakings, and reporting by 38 role 4n definition of dominant position 28 rule setting 8 definition of structural overcapacity social policy objectives 509 state aid, and 350, 356-7, 359, definition of undertaking 23 361-3, 364, 366-9, 391, 471 derogation decisions 423-4 state aid, new rules 473-81 divestiture ruling 446 state aid decisions 372-81tab. dominance test 39-41 486-91 efficiencies, and 216, 223-32, 235, submissions of commitments to 256, 257, 435-6, 440 enforcement guidelines 35 Temporary Framework, and 481-5 enforcement powers, reform of 42 Temporary Framework cases European Council, and 19n, 36 383-8tab failing divisions, and 418 European competition law see also failing-firm defence, and 111-37, Horizontal Merger Guidelines 169, 405, 431, 434-5 (EU) financial-constraints defence, and caution in applying during economic 335-44, 462, 465 crisis 390 commitment to 425 fines 281 consumer welfare see consumer fix-it-first remedies, and 446-7 welfare standard flexibility over timetabling 423-4 flexible approach to enforcement crisis cartels 429, 434-5 enforcement 455-71 granting of exemptions 22 jurisprudence generally 347 guidelines 25n, 35 see also legislation 274-83



lenient policy 347-8	social policy, and 15, 433
provisions generally 262	state aid see state aid
differences to US law 285, 286-7	transaction cost savings 39
efficiencies 228	treaty provisions see specific treaties
Commission's approach 222-8	European Council
consumer welfare standard 215	Legal Service opinion 20–1
cumulative criteria 223	meetings 19n
decision on compatibility with	merger control provision 36-7
common market 223-4	European Court of Justice (ECJ)
defence requirements 227	annulment decisions 119n, 305
importance in cases 228–9	authorization of crisis cartels 6
jurisprudence 215–16, 228–32	consumer welfare standard ruling
lack of post-Merger Guidelines	215, 286, 398–9
cases 225	crisis cartels 292, 324, 347, 460-1,
sliding-scale approach to	468-70
assessment 227–8	definition of abuse 30-5
enforcement see enforcement	definition of dominance notion
failing-firm defence	27–31
assessment criteria 112, 195-6,	definition of undertaking 23
200 see also Kali and Salz	dismissal of appeals 342
(company)	extent of powers 283
burden of proof 111, 113, 114,	failing-firm defence 111, 118–19
117	quotas ruling 280
cases 113-38	reference to 118, 328-31
causation 111-12	rejection of assumption of restriction
legislation 111-13	of competition, categories 401
overview 110-38	renaming 6n
relaxation of rules 431	ruling on EC Treaty competition
satisfaction of criteria 112n, 113	provisions 19, 282
treaty provisions 110-11	state aid, and 350, 359-60
financial-constraints defence 334-5	structural commitments ruling 447
harmonization with national laws see	European Merger Regulation see
specific jurisdictions	Merger Regulation (ECMR)
lack of crisis cartels legislation 5-6	European Parliament, role 37n
national application see competition	European Union (EU)
authorities (NCAs); specific	consumer welfare standard 215
jurisdictions	exclusive competencies 20
notions 397	institutions 4n
one-stop-shop principle for merger	legislative institutions 37n
control 37, 238	merger assessment criteria 106
penalties 260, 462	origins 18
political pressure during economic	regulatory response to credit crunch
crises 396	100, 391
rule-of-reason approach 15, 286	remedies, approach to 453



European Union (EU) (cont.)

#### 522 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

rule-of-reason approach to competition law 15 structural overcapacity 274 evidentiary standards see burden of proof exceptional occurrences, compatible aid 365 excess capacity, meaning 196 excessive pricing as abuse of a dominant position 32 export aid as compatible aid 369 export cartels legality 308 legislative provisions 268 failing divisions of firms, policy towards 417-18 failing-firm defence acceptance by authorities 3, 412 assessment approach 409-10 assessment criteria 204 assessment issues 195-203, 433-4 Belgian cases 161-2 cautious approach to 420 chapter summary 10, 106-7 consideration of industry conditions 419-20 crisis enforcement 405-35, 504 Danish cases 162-3 decision to use 205 definition 176 derogation for see derogation difficulty in applying 432 Dutch cases 168-9 dynamic efficiency, and 109 economic and social considerations 201-2, 204-5, 415-16, 432 economic perspective 197-8 effect of policy on market entry

EU practice see European competition law extension to failing divisions 417-18 extension to less-efficient firms 203 'flailing-firm defence' distinguished flexible approach to 418-19 French cases 163-4 German cases 164-6 importance of international cooperation in enforcement 434 increase during crises 404 increased costs, as to 198, 207 introduction 103-7 Italian cases 167 jurisprudence generally 205 justifications 198 lenient policy 200-1, 417 meaning 3, 10, 108-9 need for 204 non-provision in certain jurisdictions 198-9 OECD report 196 overview 108-10 political pressures 422 qualification for 204 reasons for 196-7 reasons for disallowing 198-200 relaxation of rules 431 restructuring, and 107-8, 415 satisfaction of criteria 413-15 Swedish cases 167-8 UK practice see United Kingdom US practice see United States (USA) Falconbridge (company), efficiency defence 229-30 Federal pre-merger notification programme 58 Federal Trade Commission (FTC) analyses of manufacturing industries approach to crisis enforcement 502-3 consumer welfare objective 398

efforts to find alternative purchaser

efficiencies, and 418

412-13



failing-firm defence 121, 178, 183,	Finley Tristates Health Group
187, 188, 190-1	(company), efficiency defence
jurisdiction 58, 211	249-50
pocket decree/blank cheque powers	Finnboard (company), financial-
194, 424–5	constraints defence 340-2
powers 48-9, 50, 51, 194	fix-it-first remedies 446-7
reference to 120, 189	'flailing-firm defence' distinguished
role 1	199
'too-big-to-fail' thesis, and 421	Flynn, James, on state aid 349
Ferryways NV (company), failing-firm	France
defence 147	debate over abuse test 40
Fife Silica Sands Ltd/ Fife Resources	failing-firm defence 163-4
Ltd ('Fife companies'), failing-	financial institutions under formal
firm defence 150–3	investigation 381-2tab
'finance', OED definition 79	real economy measures 382-3tab
financial-constraints defence	state aid for financial institutions
acceptance 466	371 <i>tab</i> , 472
competition authorities' approach 7,	state aid measures 358-9
462–3, 465	welded steel mesh sector crisis cartel
crisis cartels, and 334-47, 462-7,	300-3
506-7	French beef, financial-constraints
effects on deterrence 465	defence 336-8
financial crises	
economic crises distinguished 79	G20 Summit 2009, response to credit
examples 81–92	crunch 98, 99, 100
Kindelberger's pattern theory 79	GCap Media (company), efficiency
meaning 79	defence 241-3
overview 79–81	General Court (GC) see Court of First
progression 80	Instance (CFI)
financial sector see banking and finance	General Dynamics Corporation
sector	case
fines	definition of failing-firm defence
EC Guidance 464	176
leading to collusion 463-4	example of flexible approach to
maximum 464	failing-firm defence 418–19
reduction in crisis conditions 5–6,	failing-firm defence 184–5
262	importance of 2–3
treaty provisions 276, 287	merger benefits ruling 2-3, 105-6,
twofold role 260	202
Finland	general economic policy measures as
real economy measures 382-3tab	state aid 357–9
state aid for financial institutions	'general measures', meaning 358
371 <i>tab</i>	Genesco (company), failing-firm
state aid measures 358	defence 185-6



## 524 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

Germany 462	harmonization with European law
abuse of a dominant position,	17, 272–3
prohibition 17	influence of competition law on Jean
application of Commission guida	
273	lenient policy on crisis cartels 347-8
cartels	national champions, and 453-4
adverse effects 265	penalties 260, 462
benefits 264-5	per se 269–70
compulsory cartels 264, 267	rationalization of production,
decartelization 267	exemption for 18
defensive reasons 269	raw material prices 1929-31 266tab
definition 268	real economy measures 382-3tab
ECSC Treaty, and 267-8	social policy and competition law
examples 265-7	15
importance 262, 264	state aid for financial institutions
jurisprudence 271	371 <i>tab</i> , 486–91
legislation 262-4, 268-70	support for dominance test 40
nomenclature 265	trusts, cartels distinguished 264
number 264	welded steel mesh sector crisis cartel
operation 262	300-3
production by 265	glass industry, cast glass sector crisis
prohibition 269-70	cartel 300
purpose 269	Global Radio UK Ltd, efficiency
rationalization cartels 272	defence 241-3
specialization cartels 272	global restructuring, issues 2
structural crisis cartels 272	global warming as environmental crisis
trusts distinguished 264	78
competition authority 17	government intervention see also
competition law summary 16-18	Secretary of State for Trade and
co-operation between SMEs,	Industry (UK); state aid
exemption for 18, 273	ad hoc intervention, treaty
credit crunch 88	provisions 474
crisis provisions 6, 262, 270	avoidance of 482
differences to European law	case study 143
273	challenge of x
dominance notion in competition	economic effect 392, 454
law 26	future certainty of 497
exemptions 269, 271-2	increase 495
failing-firm defence 164–6	influence on markets 7, 349
financial institutions under forma	nl motivation 391, 422
investigation 381-2tab	objectives xiii
former East Germany, compatible	e pressure on competition authorities
aid 364, 365	391–2
Great Depression 1929, 83	proportionality requirement 476



protection of markets from 369	heritage conservation, compatible aid
remuneration of 481	366, 369
temporary, requirement to be 476	Hippocrates of Cos, first use of krisis
types of measures 92, 482	73
graphite electrodes case, financial-	HMV (company), failing-firm defence
constraints defence 342-7	159–61
Grays Newsagents (York) Ltd	Honduras, political crisis 2009 76-7
(company), failing-firm defence	Hong Kong
139–41	Asian financial crisis 1997-8 85
Great Depression 1929 see also	regulatory response to credit crunch
National Industrial Recovery	99
Act (NIRA)	stock market crash 1987 83
example of crisis 82-3	horizontal conspiracies
legislative response 390, 394-5	by means used 314–15tab
recovery from 393	numbers of conspirators 317tab
statistical analysis 394tab	other characterizing elements
successful companies 92-3	316 <i>tab</i>
suspension of competition laws 392-3	statistical analysis 308–19
Great Lakes Chemical Corporation,	Horizontal Merger Guidelines (EU)
failing-firm defence 188–9	aims 41
Greece	critique 225-6
real economy measures 382-3tab	efficiencies 223-6, 235
state aid for financial institutions	failing-firm defence 112
371 <i>tab</i>	generally 43-5
state aid measures 358-9	lack of efficiencies cases post-
Guadeloupe crisis 2009 as example of	adoption 225
social crisis 75-6	publication 41
GV Instruments Ltd (company),	sliding-scale approach to assessmen
failing-firm defence 145–6	441
	welfare standard 435
Hart-Scott-Rodino Act (US legislation)	Horizontal Merger Guidelines (US)
exemption for banks 427	441–2
Federal premerger notification	aim 59
programme 58	analytical framework 57, 170
notification thresholds 172	development from case law 224
HBOS (company), failing-firm defence,	efficiencies 234–5, 246–7
142-4, 406-12	failing-firm defence 171-2
healthcare sector, efficiency defence	failing-firm defence, text of
243-5, 257-8, 439	provisions 212–13
Heinz Corporation, efficiency defence	horizontal mergers
253–4	meaning 43
Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI)	sliding-scale assessment of
calculation 60	efficiencies 227-8
purpose 60	house prices and the credit crunch 90



### 526 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

Hungary	state aid for financial institutions
real economy measures 382-3tab	371 <i>tab</i> , 472
state aid for financial institutions	support for SLC test 40
371 <i>tab</i>	iron pipes, crisis cartel 319-20
hybrid remedies 449-50	Italy
	cast glass, crisis cartel 300
Impaired Assets Communication	failing-firm defence 167
477–9	real economy measures 382–3tab
Imperial Chemical Industries	state aid for financial institutions
(company), crisis cartel 296-7,	371 <i>tab</i>
298	state aid measures 359
Inco (company), efficiency defence 229–30	support for dominance test 40
individuals, compatible aid 364-5	James Budgett Sugars Ltd (company),
Indonesia, Asian financial crisis 1997-8	failing-firm defence 148-50
85	Japan
inefficiency defence 4-5, 438-9	crisis cartels 456-7
innovation	need for mergers for economic
assessment of performance 220	recovery 430-1
business strategy, as 93	responses to recessions 392
consumer welfare standard, and	
398-9	Kali and Salz (company)
effect of overregulation 101	collective dominance ruling 28,
market focus on 29	30n
social welfare, and 221	criteria for failing-firm defence
insolvency, concept 107	119–20, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127,
intellectual property rights, abuse of a	129, 161, 162
dominant position 33-4	failing-firm defence 113, 115–19
internal market	Kennedy, John F., on crisis as
threat from state aid rules 491-2	opportunity 389
treaty provisions 20	Kindelberger's theory of patterns of
International Competition Network	financial and economic crises
(ICN), report 30, 401	79
International Monetary Fund (IMF),	Korsnäs (company), efficiency defence
Asian financial crisis 1997-8	230
85	krisis, first use by Hippocrates 73
International Shoe (company), failing-	
firm defence 178-9	Landes, Professor William M., on
Ireland	Ronald Coase's frustration with
approach to crisis enforcement	antitrust 14
404-5	Latvia
crisis cartels 324-31, 462	financial institutions under formal
penalties 260, 462	investigation 381-2tab
real economy measures 382-3tab	real economy measures 382-3tab



INDEX 527

state aid for financial institutions Meinl (company), failing-firm defence 371 tah 123 - 4Lever Brothers (company), failing-firm Menzies Distribution Ltd (company), defence 181-2 failing-firm defence 139-41 Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij Mercy Health Services (company), (company), crisis cartel 304-5 efficiency defence 249-50 liquidation, firms on verge of, Merger Action Group, appeal against satisfaction of failing-firm Secretary of State's decision in defence criteria 112n, 113 Lloyds TSB/HBOS 408-9 Lithuania, real economy measures merger assessment see also enforcement 382-3tab bank mergers 427-8 living costs in economic crises 75 Lloyds TSB (company), failing-firm economic considerations 436 defence 142-4, 406-12 efficiencies, and 109-10 failing-firm defence 195-203 loyalty rebates as abuse of a dominant need for 3, 106 position 33 Luxembourg pragmatic approach 403 financial institutions under formal protectionist approach 392 investigation 381-2tab remedies 444 real economy measures 382-3tab systematic approach 108 state aid for financial institutions timetabling 423-4 371 tab treaty provisions 36–42 merger control application of treaty provisions Malaysia, Asian financial crisis 1997-8 85 36-7 generally 502-6 Malta, real economy measures 382-3tab importance 105 man-made fibres, crisis cartel 294-5 one-stop-shop principle 37, 238 Regulations 37–9 see also Merger manifest crisis, treaty provisions 277 - 8Regulation (ECMR) market concentration, HHI calculation Merger Regulation (ECMR) see also 60 derogation market entry abuse test see significant impediment effect of policies towards failing to effective competition (SIEC) firms on 109 test willingness for 414 aim 39, 44 Maytag (company), efficiency defence differences to UK law 62 efficiencies 222-3 255-6McChesney, Professor Fred S., on the entry into force 39 efficiency of acquisition 103 failing-firm defence, text of McDonnell Douglas (company), provisions 210-11 failing-firm defence 120-1 procedural reforms 41-2 MdK (company), failing-firm defence reform 222-3 115-19 scope 39-40



### 528 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

mergers	monopolization as felony 26, 55
bankruptcy as reason 109	monopoly, reasonable 319
benefits to stakeholders 2–3, 105–6, 202	Montedipe (company), crisis cartel 305–6
commitments see commitments	Montedison (company), crisis cartel
conglomerate mergers, meaning	297–8
43–4	Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc.
costs, and see costs	(company), crisis cartel
effects of 214	323-4
funding during crises 404	Monsanto Chemical Company, failing-
future level of activity 433	firm defence 181–2
importance 2–3, 106, 203	mm detence for 2
increase following crises 403	Napier Brown Foods plc (company),
lenient policy 414	failing-firm defence 148–50
necessary for economic recovery, as	national champions
430–1	concept 4, 105, 214
non-horizontal mergers,	creation 369
Commission guidelines 45,	endorsement of 392
238	meaning 4n
·	OECD competition report, and
pricing, and <i>see</i> price fixing; pricing purpose 214	453–4
reasons for 3, 105, 214	
	national competition authorities see competition authorities
role in restructuring 104–5	
types 43–4 use of term 105n	(NCAs)
	National Industrial Recovery Act
vertical mergers <i>see</i> vertical mergers Williamson's model of savings	(NIRA) (US legislation)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	impact 7, 390
arising from 221tab	operation 394–5
Merrill Lynch (company), failing-	suspension of competition laws 393, 395
firm defence, derogation from	
Merger Regulation 137–8	unconstitutionality 395
Metsä-Serla Sales (company), financial- constraints defence 340–2	natural disasters, compatible aid 364, 365
Metso Corporation, efficiency defence	NCAs see competition authorities
231–2	(NCAs)
Mexican financial crisis 1994-5 as	Netherlands
example of crisis 84	abuse of a dominant position, case
Milnot Holding Corporation, efficiency	study 492-4
defence 253-4	brick industry restructuring 290,
Monnet, Jean	299–300
drafting of Treaty of Paris 26	cement industry crisis cartel 293-4
influence of German competition	efficiency defence 243-5, 256,
law 26	257–8, 439
monopolies, effects of 220	failing-firm defence 168-9



INDEX 529

financial institutions under formal investigation 381-2tab real economy measures 382-3tab state aid for financial institutions 371*tab* support for dominance test 40 Neven & Seabright's table of static and dynamic efficiency types 2.19tab New Cheshire Salt Works Ltd (company), failing-firm defence 153 - 4New Deal policies abandonment of 396 adoption 83, 393 effect 309n, 393-6 key feature 393-4, 396 meaning 83n theoretical model 393, 394tab New Zealand approach to crisis enforcement 404 competition law development 15 Newscorp (company), failing-firm defence 130-1 'non-economic activity', meaning 354 non-horizontal mergers, Commission guidelines 45, 238 non-synergy efficiency 216 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Mexican financial crisis 1994-5 84 Ocean Park Ltd (company) failing-firm defence 205-9

inefficiency defence 438–9
DECD
analysis of welfare standards 401–2
calls for more effective market
regulation 92
different approach to bank merger
enforcement, on 429–30
efficiencies report 219–20, 221–2
product quality assessment findings
219

report on competition and financial markets findings 392, 403-4, 434, 440, 456, 508 national champions debate 453-4 submissions to 404-5, 426-7, 430-1, 434, 452-3, 495-6 report on failing-firm defence 169, 196, 200, 203 Office Depot Inc. (company), efficiency defence 252-3 Office of Fair Trading (OFT) approach to crisis enforcement 412 consumer welfare objective 398 efficiencies accounting for 256 assessment of arguments 237-41 de minimis assessment 235-6, 442 sliding-scale assessment approach 2.33 - 7failing-firm defence criteria 138-9, 207-8, 412, 426 functions 62 merger assessment 68-9, 70, 138-45, 147, 159-61, 216-17 overruling by Secretary of State 408, 503-4 report on deterrence 261, 463 role 1, 402 submission to OECD Competition Committee 495 Substantive Assessment Guidance 207-8, 232-3, 240 oil and petroleum industry abuse of a dominant position, case study 492-4 crisis cartels 321 different approaches of EU and US law 286-7 one-stop-shop principle for merger control 37, 42, 238 operating aid

compatible aid, as 369

meaning 369



## 530 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

Oracle (company), efficiency defence	pocket decree 194, 424
254–5	Poland
Orbital Marketing Services Group Ltd	real economy measures 382–3 <i>tab</i>
(company)	state aid for financial institutions
failing-firm defence 205–9	371tab
inefficiency defence 438–9	political crisis, overview 76–7
	'politics', OED definition 76
Orica (company), failing-firm defence,	
derogation from Merger	polyester fibres, crisis cartel 294
Regulation 136	Portugal
out-of-court reorganization, meaning	debate over abuse test 40
104	financial institutions under formal
overregulation	investigation 381–2tab
danger of 100-2	real economy measures 382-3tab
effect on innovation 101	state aid for financial institutions
Oxford English Dictionary (OED),	371 <i>tab</i>
definitions	Potash Corporation of Saskatchewan
'crisis' 73	Inc. (company), crisis cartel
'economy' 79	322-3
'environment' 77	pre-negotiated reorganization,
'finance' 79	meaning 104
'politics' 76	pre-packaged reorganization, meaning
'social' 75	104
	predatory pricing as abuse of a
Pakistan, Asian financial crisis 1997-8	dominant position 32
85	Preston Bus Ltd (company), failing-
Pantochim (company), failing-firm	firm defence 159
Pantochim (company), failing-firm defence 124–8	firm defence 159
defence 124–8	price fixing
defence 124-8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law	price fixing agreements <i>see</i> cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law 15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70,	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law 15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70, 287, 307	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5 consumer welfare standard, and 397
defence 124–8  Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1  penalties     crisis cartels 462     treaty provisions 276–7     various jurisdictions 260  Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5  per se approach to competition law     15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70, 287, 307  petrochemical industry, crisis cartels	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5 consumer welfare standard, and 397 during crises 82, 84, 90–1, 93, 95
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law 15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70, 287, 307 petrochemical industry, crisis cartels 296–9, 304–6	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5 consumer welfare standard, and 397 during crises 82, 84, 90–1, 93, 95 crisis cartels and 6
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law 15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70, 287, 307 petrochemical industry, crisis cartels 296–9, 304–6 Philippines, Asian financial crisis	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5 consumer welfare standard, and 397 during crises 82, 84, 90–1, 93, 95 crisis cartels and 6 demand, and 28–9, 31
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law 15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70, 287, 307 petrochemical industry, crisis cartels 296–9, 304–6 Philippines, Asian financial crisis 1997–8 85	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5 consumer welfare standard, and 397 during crises 82, 84, 90–1, 93, 95 crisis cartels and 6 demand, and 28–9, 31 discrimination, restriction of 48, 57
defence 124–8 Paterson, Isabelle, on ambiguity of antitrust legislation 1 penalties crisis cartels 462 treaty provisions 276–7 various jurisdictions 260 Peoplesoft Corporation, efficiency defence 254–5 per se approach to competition law 15–16, 47, 52, 54, 260, 269–70, 287, 307 petrochemical industry, crisis cartels 296–9, 304–6 Philippines, Asian financial crisis	price fixing agreements see cartels illegality 15, 21, 22, 23, 63, 65 jurisprudence generally 66–7 treaty provisions 283 price standard 437 pricing abuses, types of 32 assessment of effects of transactions on 60 cartels and 5 consumer welfare standard, and 397 during crises 82, 84, 90–1, 93, 95 crisis cartels and 6 demand, and 28–9, 31



following exit of failing firm 116, 126	purchasers availability of suitable 451–2
monopolies and 220	suitability requirements 446
movements 59	, 1
post-merger 43–4, 95, 176, 198, 202, 221, 223	quality of goods and consumer welfare standard 397
price maintenance 17, 59-60, 204	quasi-structural remedies 449-50
reasonable price justification 261 stability as legislative objective 20	quotas, treaty provisions 279–81
treaty provisions 278–82	rationalization, meaning 285
unfair 26, 46	rationalization cartels 272, 348, 392
vertical mergers 45	rationalization of production
private investors and state aid 356–7	efficiencies from 216
private parties, benefits from mergers	exemption for 18
2, 105–6, 202	Reagan, Ronald, on government and
product quality	crisis 389
assessment 219	reasonable monopoly, use of term 319
costs, and 219	Recapitalization Communication 475–
dynamic efficiency, and 218, 221	reduction in capacity see capacity
product repositioning	reduction
demand-side efficiencies, and 238–9	refusal to supply as abuse of a
demonstrability of efficiency claims	dominant position 33
post-merger 239–40	regional aid as compatible aid 368
product substitution 59	remedies see also commitments
production function concept 216	challenge of devising suitable 452
productive efficiency benefits of 218	fix-it-first 446–7
	hybrid remedies 449–50
economies of scale and scope 218	pragmatic approach 453
potential loss as percentage of costs	purpose 444
218	research and development (R & D)
static efficiency, as 218	benefits from cartels 5
prohibition principle of competition	compatible aid 368
law 15	dynamic efficiency 109, 218
protectionism	economies of scale 220
avoiding 100	justification for cartels 261
government policy xii	synergy efficiency 219tab
merger assessment, and 392	restructuring
response to crisis, as 94	aims 104
public-interest grounds for	costs 104, 115
intervention 425-6	failing-firm defence, and 415
public services, compatible aid 363	meaning 204
Public Utility District No 1 of	mergers as means 104–5
Snohomish County, crisis cartel	overview 107–8
323-4	process 103-4



## 532 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

restructuring (cont.) services of general economic interest reason for mergers, as 3 (SGEIs), compatible aid 363 response to financial distress, as 103 shareholders types 104 benefits from mergers 2, 105-6, use of process 106 202 Restructuring Communication for effects of mergers 416 Financial Institutions 479-81 Shell (company), crisis cartel 299 Sherman Act (US legislation) review clauses in commitments 450-2 anti-competitive agreements 51-4 Rewe (company), failing-firm defence 123 - 4cases 249 Romania, real economy measures content 46 enforcement 49-51 382-3tab Roubini, Professor Nouriel ('Dr merger assessment 58 monopolization 26, 55, 318-19 Doom'), predicts global original competition law, as 46 economic crisis 72 penalties 51 rule-of-reason approach to competition law prohibition principle 15 EU 15, 286 proposed amendment 319 USA 46-7, 52 scope 57, 287, 307 Russia, Asian financial crisis 1997-8 supplementary legislation 48 significant impediment to effective competition (SIEC) test Sachsen LB (company), state aid aim 40 487-9 application 41 Saint Gobain (company), failing-firm hybrid test 40 defence 119-20 scope 40-1 Schumpeterian theory and dynamic sliding-scale assessment 227-8, 233-7, efficiency 218 235,441-2SCR Sibelco (company), failing-firm Slovakia, real economy measures defence 150-3 382-3tab seamless steel tubes, financial-Slovenia constraints defence 335-6 real economy measures 382-3tab state aid for financial institutions Searoad Stevedores NV (company), failing-firm defence 147 371*tab* Secretary of State for Trade and small and medium enterprises Industry (UK) (SMEs) appeal against decision of 408-9 compatible aid 368 competition law exemptions 18, 273 decision-making role 402, 407-8 decisions 144, 408, 411 effect of bank mergers 411 Smith, Adam, on combinations of intervention by 406-7, 425-6, masters 259 503-4 'social', OED definition 75 intervention notices 142, 407 social aid as compatible aid 364-5 reference to 151 sectoral agreements see crisis cartels social crisis, overview 75-6



social policy issues	de minimis 360
competition law, and 15	decisions 372-81tab
consideration of 201-2, 204-5,	disregard of form and objectives by
415–16, 432, 438, 505	legislation 355
treaty provisions 422, 433, 438	domestic situations 361
social welfare see also welfare	evolution 369
standard	exceptional measures during
dynamic efficiency, and 221	economic crisis 391
innovation, and 221	exceptions to prohibition see
Socony Vacuum Oil Company, crisis	compatible aid
cartel 321	exclusively non-EU exports 361
South Korea, Asian financial crisis	existence 354
1997-8 85, 392	general economic policy measures
Southern Pacific Rail Corporation,	as 357–9
efficiency defence 250-2	granting 353
Spain	guidelines 350
debate over abuse test 40	impact 7
real economy measures 382-3tab	importance 349, 369
state aid for financial institutions	importance of rules enforcement for
371 <i>tab</i>	competition policy 7–8
special-situation firms, types and	'incentive effect' 367
objectives 94–7	incompatibility principle 350
specialization cartels 272	internal market, and 349-50
Specialty Graphites case, financial-	intra-EU trade 361
constraints defence 342-7	justifications 362-3
Stagecoach Bus (company), failing-firm	legislation 350, 352-3, 355-60,
defence 156-9	361-9
Stagecoach Group (company), failing-	measurement of effect on trade 360,
firm defence 159	370
Staples Inc. (company), efficiency	necessity test 367
defence 252-3	new measures 8
state aid see also compatible aid	new rules 473-81
Action Plan 2005 368	non-EU situations 361
amount 350-2	private investors 356–7
application of special rules 485-6	prohibition 350, 352, 363, 369
assessment 350, 361-2	recipients 353
banking sector restrictions 8	reporting provisions 352
case studies 486-91	scope of legislation 355, 362
cases 357-8, 359-61	'state resources', meaning 353
concept 352-63	threat from state aid rules to
conditions 352-3	integrity of internal market
control of 500-2	491–2
crisis enforcement, and 471-92	types 355-6
de Larosière Report 471	'state resources', meaning 353



## 534 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

static efficiency	Sweden
cost savings 218, 220, 221	failing-firm defence 167-8
dynamic efficiency distinguished	real economy measures 382-3tab
220-1	state aid for financial institutions
types 218, 219tab	371 <i>tab</i>
Williamson's model 220–1, 439–40	support for SLC test 40
steel beams case 285	Switzerland
steel flat products case 285	approach to crisis enforcement
steel industry	405
cases 177, 210, 284-5	credit crunch 88
crisis cartels 300-3	synergy efficiency
financial-constraints defence	distinguishing 216
335-6	static and dynamic efficiency, and
restructuring 113, 267	219 <i>tab</i>
treaty provisions 18n, 274–83	true synergies distinguished from
Stichting Baksteen (company), crisis	efficiencies 225
cartel 299–300	synthetic fibres, crisis cartel 295-6
stock market crash 1987 as example of	•
crisis 83-4	Taminco (company), failing-firm
'stop-the-clock' enforcement provision	defence 154-6
42	Telepiù (company) 130, 167, 417, 448
Stream (company), failing-firm defence	Temporary Framework for aid to
130-1	real economy (Temporary
structural crisis cartels 272, 348	Framework)
structural overcapacity	amount of aid 371tab
capacity reduction, and 274	cases 383-8tab
meaning 274	commencement 350
structural remedies	de minimis aid 484-5
acceptance 445	exit strategy 370
advantages 445, 447	expiry 370
disadvantages 452	introduction x
divestiture see divestiture	operation 351, 481-5
effectiveness 445-6	Teneo (company), failing-firm defence,
review clauses 452	derogation from Merger
subprime mortgage crisis see credit	Regulation 137–8
crunch	tequila effect (Mexican financial crisis
substantial lessening of competition	1994–5) as example of crisis 84
(SLC) test	textile industry, crisis cartels 287,
change to 39-40	331-4
hybrid test 40	Thailand, Asian financial crisis 1997-8
national application see specific	85
jurisdictions	Thermo Electron Manufacturing Ltd
supply-side efficiencies, assessment of	(company), failing-firm defence
arguments 240–1	145-6



'too-big-to-fail' thesis 420-2	effect 19
total surplus standard	entry into force 19, 21, 334, 416, 508
adoption of 10, 215	full employment objective 422, 433,
crisis usage 440–1	438, 455, 464, 505
efficiencies, and 436-7	interpretative issues 21
efficiencies requirement 440	social objectives 422, 433, 438, 455,
prioritization during crisis 403	464, 505
support for 401, 435, 444	undistorted competition provision
use of 221, 444, 505-6	20
wealth transfer under 440	Treaty of Maastricht (TEU)
whether relevant welfare standard	amendment 19
401	internal market provisions 20
Toys 'R' Us (company), failing-firm	interpretative issues 21
defence 120	Treaty of Paris (ECSC Treaty)
trade associations, collusion 24	aim 18
transport services, compatible aid 363	authorizations 276
Treaties of Rome	cases 284-5
meaning 19n	competition objective 274
signing of 19n	crisis cartels 6, 274–83
Treaty establishing the European	crisis provisions 262
Atomic Energy Community	discrimination provisions 279
(Euratom), signing of 19n	dominance basis 26
Treaty establishing the European	expiry 18n
Community (EC Treaty –	fines 276
TEC) see also Treaty on the	manifest crisis provisions 277-8
Functioning of the European	penalties 276-7
Union (TFEU)	price-fixing provisions 283
amendment 19	pricing provisions 278-82
competition provisions, ECJ ruling	prohibitions 275-6
19	quotas provisions 279–81
renumbering 5n, 288n	signing of 274
Treaty establishing the European	Treaty of Rome (EEC Treaty) see
Economic Community see	also Treaty establishing the
Treaty of Rome (EEC Treaty)	European Community (EC
Treaty of Amsterdam, entry into force	Treaty – TEC)
27n	crisis cartels
Treaty of Lisbon	cases 290, 292-307
aim 19, 508	differences to US law 285, 286-7
competition provisions 20	enforcement provisions 287
anti-competitive agreements 21-5	exemption 289, 292
collusion types distinguished	fines 287
23–4	legalization 287-9
merger assessment 36-42	provisions 262, 285-93
unilateral conduct 26-36	reduced fines 5-6



### 536 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

Treaty of Rome (EEC Treaty) (cont.) anti-competitive practices reduction in capacity 291, 467 jurisprudence 65-7 structural overcapacity provisions legislation 63-5 application of Commission guidance 289-90 62, 66n, 70 entry into force 19 merger control provisions 36 approach to crisis enforcement 406-12 signatories 36n signing of 27n, 36n common-law roots of competition Treaty on European Union (TEU) see Treaty of Maastricht (TEU) Competition Act see Competition Treaty on the Functioning of the Act 1998 European Union (TFEU) competition law EU exclusive competencies 20 exceptions 402 state aid provisions 349-50, 352-69 overview 61-70 Trefilunion (company), crisis cartel credit crunch 88, 89 300 - 3crisis cartels 331-4, 462 trusts, cartels distinguished 264 decision-making structure 402 tying in as abuse of a dominant differences to Merger Regulation position 33 earliest competition case 61n Ukraine, Asian financial crisis 1997-8 efficiencies see also Office of Fair Trading (OFT) 85 burden of proof see burden of undertakings collusion 23-4 proof definition 23, 354 legislation 232-3 unilateral conduct see also abuse of a Enterprise Act see Enterprise Act dominant position 2002 collusion, as 23-4 EU law as model 61-2 exceptional public-interest cases 63 requirements for application of treaty provisions 31 failing-firm defence treaty provisions 26-36 cases 139-61, 205-9 Unilateral Conduct Working Group non-satisfaction of assessment (UCWG) criteria 205-9 establishment 30 OFT assessment criteria 138-9 objectives 30 merger assessment Union Pacific Corporation, efficiency jurisprudence 69-70 defence 250-2 legislation 68-9 penalties 260, 462 United Kingdom (UK) see also Competition Appeal Tribunal public-interest grounds for (CAT); Competition intervention 425-6, 503-4 Commission; Office of Fair real economy measures 382-3tab Trading (OFT); Secretary of reference to Commission 68-9 State for Trade and Industry remedies, approach to 452 sectoral regulators 62n (UK)



state aid for financial institutions	jurisprudence generally 247–8
371 <i>tab</i>	legislation 245-6
submissions to OECD Competition	enforcement statistics 309tab
Committee 494–7	entry into force 334
support for SLC test 40	export cartels, legality 308
unilateral conduct	failing-firm defence
jurisprudence 68	assessment criteria 195, 200
legislation 67	cases 178-94, 209-10, 418-19
Yarn Spinners' Agreement 331-4	derogation 194-5
United States (USA) 285 see also	failing divisions, and 417-18
Department of Justice (DOJ);	legislation 170-8
Federal Trade Commission	fines, aggregate level 1890-1969
(FTC)	312 <i>tab</i>
anti-competitive agreements	Great Depression 1929 82-3
jurisprudence 51-2	gross national product 1890-1969
legislation 51	312 <i>tab</i>
antitrust charges, topical	Hart-Scott-Rodino Act see Hart-
classification 312-13tab	Scott-Rodino Act
antitrust law	Herfindahl-Hirschman Index usage
differences to EEC 285, 286-7	60
overview 46-61	horizontal conspiracies, statistical
Argentine economic crisis 2001–2 87	analysis see horizontal
bank failures 426-7	conspiracies
bank merger oversight 427-8	Horizontal Merger Guidelines see
Clayton Act see Clayton Act	Horizontal Merger Guidelines
concurrent enforcement 51	(US)
credit crunch	jurisprudence generally 46-8, 49-50
overview 87-92	merger assessment
regulatory response 99-100, 101	criteria 106
Treasury response 97	overview 57-61
crisis cartels	New Deal see New Deal policies
academic support for 316	NIRA see National Industrial
cases 308, 319-24	Recovery Act (NIRA)
development 307-19	penalties 260, 462
legislation 307	per se approach 47, 52, 54, 260, 287,
lenient policy 347-8	307
overview 307-24	reasonable monopoly, use of term
penalties 462	319
proposed legislative amendment	rule-of-reason approach 46-7
319	Sherman Act see Sherman Act
efficiencies	SLC test 57
cases 249-56	social policy and competition law 15
Horizontal Merger Guidelines	stock market crash 1987 83
246-7	structural crisis cartels policy 348



### 538 ANTITRUST LAW AMIDST FINANCIAL CRISES

United States (USA) (cont.)
submission to OECD Competition
Committee 426–7
subprime mortgage crisis 87–92
unilateral conduct
jurisprudence 55–7
legislation 55

value for money and consumer welfare standard 397
vertical firewalls 449
vertical mergers
effect on competition 43
meaning 43
pricing, and 45
vexatious litigation as abuse of a
dominant position 34
vulture funds
objective 95
use of 108

Wacker-Chemie (company), failingfirm defence 119–20 weapons production, compatible aid 363 weighted surplus standard 437, 443 welded steel mesh, crisis cartel 300-3

welfare standard *see also* consumer welfare standard; social welfare; total surplus standard analysis of standards 401–3 efficiency defence, and 10, 215 WestLB (company), state aid

489–91 Whirlpool (company), efficiency defence 255–6

Williamson's model of efficiency gains 220–1, 439–40 window glass, crisis cartel 320 WPP (company), failing-firm defence, derogation from Merger

Yarn Spinners' Agreement (UK) 331-4

Regulation 135-6

Zavvi Retail Ltd (company), failingfirm defence 159–61 zinc producers, crisis cartel 295