

American Politicians Confront the Court

Politicians have long questioned, or have even been openly hostile to, the legitimacy of judicial authority, but that authority seems to have become more secure over time. What explains the recurrence of hostilities and yet the security of judicial power? Addressing this question anew, Stephen M. Engel points to the gradual acceptance of dissenting views of the Constitution, that is, the legitimacy and loyalty of stable opposition. Politicians' changing perception of the threat posed by opposition influenced how manipulations of judicial authority took shape. As politicians' views toward opposition changed over time, their approach toward the judiciary - where opposition could become entrenched - changed as well. Once opposition was no longer seen as a fundamental threat to the Constitution's survival and multiple constitutional interpretations were considered legitimate, judicial power could be construed less as the seat of an illegitimate opposition and more as an instrument to achieve political ends. Politicians were more likely to harness it to serve their aims than to openly undermine its legitimacy. In short, conflicts between the elected branches and the judiciary have not subsided. They have changed form. They have shifted from measures that undermine judicial legitimacy to measures that harness judicial power for political ends. Engel's book brings our understanding of these manipulations into line with other developments, such as the establishment of political parties, the acceptance of loyal opposition, the development of different modes of constitutional interpretation, and the emergence of rights-based pluralism.

Stephen M. Engel is an assistant professor of politics at Bates College and an Affiliated Scholar of the American Bar Foundation. He holds a doctorate in political science from Yale University, an interdisciplinary master of arts in Social Thought from New York University, and a bachelor of arts from the multidisciplinary College of Social Studies at Wesleyan University. His research is at the intersection of political development, constitutional law and theory, and sexuality politics. He is the author of *The Unfinished Revolution: Social Movement Theory and the Gay and Lesbian Movement*, also published by Cambridge University Press. His research has appeared in *Studies in American Political Development*, *Journal of the Philosophy of Education*, and the *Advertising and Society Review*.



"Stephen Engel's elegant and deeply empirical account traces the history of political attacks on America's independent judiciary, showing how these attacks have evolved and provoked changes in both law and politics. His narrative deftly weaves constitutional development into political development, showing how we have gotten to today's political and politicized federal courts. This book is essential reading for those interested in the American courts. It also poses an unanswerable challenge to anyone who believes that America's national development can be understood without an account of the courts' place in it."

- Julie Novkov, SUNY Albany



American Politicians Confront the Court

Opposition Politics and Changing Responses to Judicial Power

STEPHEN M. ENGEL

Bates College and American Bar Foundation







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521192958

© Stephen M. Engel 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2011

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data Engel, Stephen M.

American politicians confront the court: opposition politics and changing responses to judicial power / Stephen M. Engel.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-521-19295-8 (hardback) – ISBN 978-0-521-15398-0 (pbk.)

1. Political questions and judicial power – United States. 2. United States – Politics and government. I. Title.

KF5130.E45 2011

342.73'044-dc22 2010054310

ISBN 978-0-521-19295-8 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-15398-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acknowledgments	page vii
Introduction: Had Americans "Stopped Understanding about the Three Branches"?	I
PART I POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT AND ELECTED-BRANCH RELATIONS WITH THE JUDICIARY	
1. Beyond the Countermajoritarian Difficulty	19
2. A Developmental Theory of Politicians' Confrontations with Judicial Authority	43
PART II HOSTILITY TO JUDICIAL AUTHORITY AND THE POLITICAL IDIOM OF CIVIC REPUBLICANISM	
3. In Support of Unified Governance: Undermining the Court in an Anti-Party Age	71
4. Party against Partisanship: Single-Party Constitutionalism and the Quest for Regime Unity	131
5. "As Party Exigencies Require": Republicanism, Loyal Opposition, and the Emerging Legitimacy of Multiple	
Constitutional Visions	170
PART III HARNESSING JUDICIAL POWER AND THE POLITICAL IDIOM OF LIBERAL PLURALISM	
6. Clashing Progressive Solutions to the Political Problem of Judicial Power	225
7. A Polity Fully Developed for Harnessing (I): Living Constitutionalism and the Politicization of Judicial	
Appointment	285

V



vi		Contents
8.	A Polity Fully Developed for Harnessing (II): A Conservative Insurgency Innovates and a Self-Styled Majoritarian Court Responds	337
	nclusion: On the Return of Opposition Illegitimacy	
ano	d the Prospects for New Development	372
Inc	dex	385



Acknowledgments

For all the solitude that often comes with writing a book, the research involved can also be collaborative and involve family, friends, students, and colleagues. During the years spent on this project, I have had the privilege of knowing and working with faculty, colleagues, and students who offered much-needed encouragement through some of the darker doldrums that periodically stymied my research and writing, and for whom I am immensely grateful.

This project began as a dissertation prospectus at Yale University in the fall of 2005 under the guidance of Stephen Skowronek, who has remained foremost among my mentors. Steve introduced me to the subfield of American political development (APD), offering me access to APD's leading scholars and newest voices when he took me on as an editorial assistant for the journal *Studies in American Political Development*. His insights, questions, and commentary throughout the writing of the dissertation and the development of this book are appreciated beyond measure.

My deepest gratitude extends also to Bruce Ackerman and Greg Huber. Bruce introduced me to constitutional law and pushed me to consider how processes of legal interpretation and development extend far beyond judges' chambers. His revisionist scholarship stands as an inspirational model of creativity and rigor. Greg has been a constant in my intellectual development, proving himself to be a truly excellent mentor and, more important, a friend, throughout my graduate school years and beyond.

The research undertaken to complete this book required not only the commitment of skilled and empathetic mentors and a borderline sense of self-destructive self-obsession on the part of the writer, but money! Travel to various archives for data could not have been completed without generous financial support. The National Science Foundation provided a Dissertation Improvement Grant in Law and Social Science (SES-0719031) in 2007–8 and a grant extension in 2008–9. Columbia University's School of International and Public Affairs graciously offered an appointment as a visiting research scholar during the summer of 2010 so that I might make final revisions to the manuscript while living near family and friends in and around New York

vii



viii

Acknowledgments

City. Yale University provided a doctoral dissertation-writing grant in 2008 and 2009 as well as a John W. Enders grant in the summer of 2006 to begin my research at the Maryland Historical Society. Thanks also to Alan Gerber's Center for the Study of American Politics at Yale for funding my research at the Massachusetts Historical Society in 2006.

The American Bar Foundation (ABF) in Chicago deserves special mention. The Foundation offered me a pre-doctoral fellowship in 2007–8, which not only provided funding and office space but, much more importantly, also gave me access to a rich and vibrant interdisciplinary intellectual community just when frustrations with my project and home discipline were beginning to mount. My year at the ABF stands as a highlight of my time in graduate school; it reaffirmed my commitment to seeking out research areas and questions that transcend disciplinary domains. My particular thanks to Robert Nelson, Laura Beth Nielsen, Bonnie Honig, Terry Haliday, and Traci Burch at the ABF.

Numerous scholars offered helpful commentary on earlier iterations of many of these chapters. Thanks to Richard Adelstein, Julia Azari, Dan Carpenter, Elizabeth Clemens, Stephen Daniels, Giulio Gallarotti, Daniel Galvin, Scott James, David Mayhew, Cecilia Miller, and Don Moon for their assessments of early drafts of my work on Jefferson, Van Buren, and Lincoln. Thanks to Justin Crowe, Don Greene, Jacob Hacker, Bradley Hayes, Thomas Keck, Kevin McMahon, Shep Melnick, Bruce Peabody, Sarah Staszak, Steven Teles, and McGee Young for their helpful commentary on earlier versions of my theory chapters. Thanks also to my colleagues in the Department of Political Science at Marquette University for encouraging the successful completion of this project and to colleagues at Marquette Law School, particularly Chad Oldfather, who offered me access to their faculty research seminar to present parts of this study. Thanks also to John Baughman and my new colleagues at Bates College for helping to make my transition to a new position, while in the midst of editing this book, so easy. Finally, a special thanks to Mark Graber, Paul Frymer, Robert Lieberman, and Ken Kersch, who have offered invaluable critiques and professional advice and who have encouraged me to push and test my ideas at conferences, further introducing me to the growing community of scholars interested in questions at the intersection of law, politics, and history.

My research required a fair bit of rooting around in archives and going bleary-eyed in front of microfilm readers. It could only have been accomplished with the help of numerous archivists across the country. Thanks to staffs at the New York Public Library, Connecticut Historical Society, Massachusetts Historical Society, Maryland Historical Society, Franklin D. Roosevelt Presidential Library, Richard M. Nixon Presidential Library, the Bancroft Library at University of California-Berkeley, the Library of Congress, and the National Archives and Records Administration. Thanks to Bert Brandenberg and Bill Saunders at Justice at Stake and the Family Research



Acknowledgments ix

Council, respectively, who graciously provided sundry materials and invited me to interview them about their campaigns involving judicial authority.

Over the past five years - at Yale, the Bar Foundation, and Marquette - I have had the good fortune to build a community of friends and colleagues who have made my work a joy. These intensely smart, caring, and wonderfully witty, sarcastic, and oft-times just goofy men and women made the periodic frustrations of this research and writing not merely bearable but an eminently conquerable challenge. My most heartfelt thanks to Abbey Steele, Alex Kirshner, Shatema Threadcraft, Stephen Kaplan, Robert Person, Nicole Kazee, Dominika Koter, Amelia Hoover, Steve Shewfelt, Christine Kim, Justin Zaremby, Sandy Henderson, Joseph Lampert, Ana Arjona, Turkular Isiksel, Ryan Sheely, Jud Mathews, Meredith Levine, Matt Hall, Tiffany Davenport, Laia Balcells, and Beth Lauer. Thanks to friends who provided much-needed respites in New York; Boston; Chicago; Milwaukee; Washington, DC; and Berkeley, as well as beds to crash on when research funding was nearing its limits: Carter Clements, Jenna and Ethan Dabbs, Becca Gerner, Kevin Staszowski, Andrew Grossman, John Gage, Maya Seiden, Sean Maloney, Kara Cruoglio, Blair Hanzlik, Prana Topper, Rob Finn, Dave Gomez, Peter Friedman, Dena Rosenberg, Amin Ghaziani, Ellen Berrey, Gabrielle Ferales, Christopher McGuinness, Michael Phillips, Matt Bianco, Patrick Hunt, Luke Schluesener, Max Polonsky, Scott Grabarski, and Brian Falb, who, each in their own way, helped me to see this project through. Thanks to my undergraduate students at Marquette and Yale for continuously reminding me of the reasons I decided to become a professor in the first place. A few students deserve special mention for invaluable research, administrative, and editorial assistance. Thanks to Nick Franchot, Yale '07, for his assistance combing through years of Congressional Record indices; thanks also to Marquette graduate students - Patricia Rodda, Joseph Struble, Lauren Reeves, Meaghan Moen, and Nathan Conroy - for their editorial comments and criticism on earlier drafts.

At Cambridge University Press, I am grateful to my editor Lew Bateman for his unflagging belief in my project. Anne Lovering Rounds has been extremely supportive throughout the editing process. Thanks also to my copy editor Patterson Lamb and to Soniya Ashok for her skilled production management. Earlier versions of portions of Chapters 3 and 4 appeared in *Studies in American Political Development* 23 (October 2009): 189–217 under the title "Before the Countermajoritarian Difficulty: Regime Unity, Loyal Opposition, and Hostilities toward Judicial Authority in Early America." Thank you to Cambridge University Press for granting permission to reprint those portions.

The phrase "thank you" hardly captures my gratitude to my parents and brothers who I am sure wondered on too many occasions when I would finish this book. My father spent hundreds of hours discussing my ideas, reading chapters, offering critiques – always with a self-deprecating caveat of "what



X

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19295-8 — American Politicians Confront the Court Stephen M. Engel Frontmatter More Information

Acknowledgments

do I know, I'm an eye doctor" – and calling me when spotting a news article that was relevant to my research. My mother spent hundreds of hours getting me to think about and do things *other* than work on this book, making sure that I remained a whole person, getting enough exercise and nagging me to eat right. My brothers, Jarrett and David, my sister-in-law, Jennifer, and my two nieces, Emma Hayes and Charlotte, each had a hand in helping me complete this project. I dedicate this book to my family, on whose shoulders I have always stood and without whom I would not have accomplished what I have.

Stephen M. Engel Milwaukee, WI January 31, 2011