#### EVOLUTION, CREATIONISM, AND THE BATTLE TO CONTROL AMERICA'S CLASSROOMS

Who should decide what children are taught in school? This question lies at the heart of the evolution–creation wars that have become a regular feature of the U.S. political landscape. Michael Berkman and Eric Plutzer show that, since the 1925 *Scopes* "monkey trial," many have argued that the people should decide by majority rule and through political institutions; others variously point to the federal courts, educational experts, or scientists as the ideal arbiters. Berkman and Plutzer illuminate who *really* controls the nation's classrooms. Based on their innovative survey of 926 high school biology teachers, they show that the real power often lies with individual educators who make critical decisions in their own classrooms. Broad teacher discretion sometimes leads to excellent instruction in evolution. But the authors also find evidence of strong creationist tendencies in America's public high schools and, more generally, a systematic undermining of science and the scientific method in many classrooms.

Michael Berkman is Professor of Political Science at the Pennsylvania State University, where he also serves as the director of undergraduate studies and director of the minor in business and the liberal arts. He is the author (with Eric Plutzer) of *Ten Thousand Democracies: Politics and Public Opinion in America's School Districts* (2005) and many articles appearing in such journals as the *American Political Science Review*, *American Journal of Political Science*, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *Political Research Quarterly*, and *PLoS Biology*. He currently serves on the editorial board of the *American Journal of Education*.

Eric Plutzer is Professor of Political Science at the Pennsylvania State University, where he also serves as the academic director of the Survey Research Center. He has traveled widely, including as a Senior Fulbright Lecturer at the University of Malaya and as a guest scholar at Humboldt University and the Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB). He is the author (with Michael Berkman) of *Ten Thousand Democracies: Politics and Public Opinion in America's School Districts* (2005) and many articles appearing in such journals as the *American Political Science Review, American Sociological Review, American Journal of Politics.* He currently serves on the editorial boards of *Politics and Gender, American Politics Research, Social Science Quarterly*, and the *American Journal of Education.* 

# Evolution, Creationism, and the Battle to Control America's Classrooms

Michael Berkman The Pennsylvania State University

Eric Plutzer The Pennsylvania State University



# CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521148863

© Michael Berkman and Eric Plutzer 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Berkman, Michael B., 1960– Evolution, creationism, and the battle to control America's classrooms / Michael Berkman, Eric Plutzer. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-19046-6 (hardback) I. Human evolution – Study and teaching (Primary) 2. Creationism – Study and teaching (Primary) 3. Intelligent design (Teleology) – Study and teaching (Primary) I. Plutzer, Eric. II. Title. GN281.4.B45 2010 379.2'80973 – dc22 2010006776

ISBN 978-0-521-19046-6 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-14886-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLS for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# CONTENTS

List of Figures	<i>page</i> vii
List of Tables	
Acknowledgments	xiii
Introduction	I
1 Who Should Decide What Children Are Taught?	5
2 The Public Speaks: "Teach Both"	32
3 A Nation Divided by Religion, Education, and Place	64
4 Is Evolution Fit for Polite Company? Science Standards in	
the American States	93
5 Teachers and What They Teach	115
6 State Standards Meet Street-Level Bureaucracy	147
7 When the Personal Becomes Pedagogical	174
8 Teachers in Their Schools and Communities	194
9 The Battle for America's Classrooms	215
Appendix to Chapter 2	229
Appendix to Chapter 3	
Appendix to Chapter 4	245
Appendix to Chapter 5	247
Appendix to Chapter 6	249
References	259
Judicial Opinions and Court Cases Cited	275
Index	277

# FIGURES

1.1	Constitutional and unconstitutional policies toward	
	instruction in evolution.	page 25
3.1	Level of support for teaching evolution only in public	
	schools, by state, 1998–2005.	86
3.2	The relationship between public opinion and the degree	
	to which conservative Protestants outnumber the highly	
	educated.	89
4.1	Technical policy-making model and the court-constrained	
	responsiveness model.	104
5.1	National Survey of High School Biology Teachers	
	questionnaire.	119
5.2	Geographic distribution of teachers completing the	
	survey.	120
6.1	The rigor of state evolution standards in 2000 and 2007,	
	for states that revised their standards.	155
7.1	Teacher beliefs about human origins.	184
A6.1	Number of evolution benchmarks achieved, by rigor of	
	state evolution standards from lowest to highest third.	254

# TABLES

2.1	Public preferences for teaching evolution and	
	creationism, single-question format, 1981–2005	page 35
2.2	Percentage favoring the teaching of creationism instead	
	of evolution, 1999–2005	36
2.3	Percentage favoring the teaching of creationism along	
	with evolution, 1998–2006	37
2.4	Public preferences for teaching evolution and creationism	
	based on two-question format, 1999–2005	38
2.5	Comparing support for evolution and creationism in the	
	classroom elicited by two different question formats	39
2.6	Preferences for teaching evolution and creationism,	
	conditional on knowledge of the scientific consensus	50
2.7	The structure of evolution-creationism belief systems:	
	Polychoric correlations among idea elements	56
2.8	The association between church-state liberalism and	
	preferences for teaching evolution and creationism	58
3.1	Support for teaching creationism along with evolution,	
	for all respondents and those attending religious services	
	at least 2–3 times each month, 1998	72
3.2	Belief that "Human beings developed from earlier species	
	of animals," by religious tradition, 1993–2004	73
3.3	Belief that "Human beings developed from earlier species	
	of animals," by score on the Thorndike Verbal	
	Intelligence Test, 1993–2004	76
3.4	Belief that "Human beings developed from earlier species	
	of animals," by levels of general scientific literacy,	
	1993–1994	78

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19046-6 - Evolution, Creationism, and the Battle to Control America's Classrooms
Michael Berkman and Eric Plutzer
Frontmatter
More information

## x Tables

3.5	Belief that "Human beings developed from earlier species of animals," by formal educational attainment,	
3.6	1993–2004 Belief that "Human beings developed from earlier species	79
2	of animals," by Census region, 1993-2004	80
3.7	Belief that "Human beings developed from earlier species of animals," by urban–rural classification, 1993–2004	81
3.8	What explains state-level public opinion concerning the	01
4 T	teaching of evolution? What explains the rigor and quality of state science	88
4 <b>.</b> 1	standards?	108
4.2	What explains the rigor and quality of state evolution	
5.1	standards? Hours devoted to human evolution and general evolution	109
5	in high school biology classes, 2007	123
5.2	Teacher support of key positions advanced by the	
	National Academy of Sciences and other organizations	125
5.3	Support for core NAS positions and the number of hours	
	devoted to evolution	127
5.4	Teacher reports of deliberately avoiding evolution by	
	pacing and the impact on the number of hours devoted to	
	evolution	131
5.5	Hours devoted to creationism or intelligent design in high	
- (	school biology classes Teacher orientations to discussing creationism or	136
5.6	intelligent design in high school biology classes	
5.7	Hours devoted to creationism or intelligent design in high	137
3./	school biology classes, by endorsement of these as valid	
	scientific approaches	138
5.8	Teacher reports of raising the possibility of irreducible	1)0
J	complexity	142
5.9		
	orientation	145
6.1	Predicted teaching outcomes in states with less and more	
	rigorous standards	160
6.2	-	
	content standards and teachers' perception of human	
	evolution in current standards	162
6.3	Relationship between the existence of aligned assessment	
	and teachers' characterization of state testing policy	164

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-19046-6 - Evolution, Creationism, and the Battle to Control America's Cla	issrooms
Michael Berkman and Eric Plutzer	
Frontmatter	
More information	

able	S	
6.1	Effect of standards on NAS scales, depending on whether	
••4	teachers believe there is a test	166
6.5	Effect of standards on time devoted to evolution, by	100
	levels of seniority	168
6.6	The effect of standards on teaching evolution, by	100
	teachers' self-rated expertise	170
7.1	Random intercept, multilevel regression models assessing	- / -
/ -	the impacts of teacher educational credentials	177
7.2	Ordinary least squares regression models assessing the	, ,
/	impacts of teacher educational credentials,	
	self-identification, and expertise	181
7.3	Educational background of teachers, by personal beliefs	185
7.4	OLS regression models assessing the impacts of teacher	2
/ <b>·</b>	educational credentials, self-identification, expertise, and	
	personal beliefs about human origins	187
7.5	Models assessing the impacts of teacher educational	,
	credentials, self-identification, expertise, and personal	
	beliefs about human origins on the teaching of	
	creationism	189
7.6	The association between teaching practices and personal	
	beliefs concerning human origins	190
8.1	Teachers' educational qualifications by level of school	
	district traditionalism	198
8.2	The distribution of teachers' personal beliefs by level of	
	school district traditionalism	198
8.3	Teaching practices by level of school district	
	traditionalism	200
8.4	Teacher reports of pressure on what to teach, from	
	specific community sources	203
8.5	Summary of teacher reports of pressure about teaching	
	evolution or creationism	205
8.6	The association between community traditionalism and	
	teacher reports of pressure	205
	Teaching practices by the kinds of pressures reported	207
8.8	Ordinary least squares regression models assessing the	
	impact of local religious and educational context on	
	teaching practices	210
8.9	Logistic regression model assessing the impacts of local	
	religious and educational context on the teaching of	
	creationism	212

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-19046-6 - Evolution, Creationism, and the Battle to Control America's Classroom	ms
Michael Berkman and Eric Plutzer	
Frontmatter	
More information	

## xii Tables

A2.1	All response combinations of answers to "along with" and "instead of" questions (illustration from 2005 Pew	
	Callback poll)	231
A2.2	All response combinations of answers to "along with"	
	and "instead of" questions, ordered for scaling as an	
	ordinal measure	233
A3.1	Percentage of citizens endorsing the teaching of only	
	evolution, estimated by multilevel regression with	
	poststratification	240
A6.1	Random intercept, multilevel regression models	
	predicting time devoted to evolution and NAS emphasis	
	scale: null and bivariate models	255
A6.2	Random intercept, multilevel regression models assessing	
	the impacts of standards, conditional on teacher seniority	
	and self-rated expertise on hours devoted to evolution	257
A6.3	Random intercept, multilevel regression models assessing	57
. ,	the impacts of standards, conditional on teacher seniority	
	and self-rated expertise and perceptions of testing	257
	and contracted emperated and perceptions of testing	-37

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book could not have been written without contributions from many institutions and individuals, and we are particularly grateful to four institutions that provided financial resources. Very early in the project, we received a small amount of "seed money" from the Research and Graduate Studies Office of Penn State's College of the Liberal Arts. This allowed us to do some preliminary research that laid the groundwork for Chapters 2 and 3. At roughly the same time, we used funding from the National Science Foundation to field our national survey of high school biology teachers. This was the very first coast-to-coast scientific sample survey of teachers that focused on the teaching of evolution, and we could not have fielded this study without the early financial support of the NSF. As we moved into the analysis and writing stages, we benefited enormously from grants from the Spencer Foundation and the John F. Templeton Foundation. These two grants helped extend Plutzer's sabbatical from one semester to an entire year, provided additional time for Berkman to devote to the project, and supported international travel that permitted the authors to collaborate in person.

These foundations, along with the College of the Liberal Arts, also helped to support several undergraduate and graduate research assistants. We are especially indebted to the efforts of the undergraduates, all of whom had to draw much more from their high school biology classes than they might have expected to as political science majors. These research assistants included Siobhan O'Connor, Edward Torres, Tausif Kahn, Kristen Tunney, Kristen Dennison, and Michelle Falvey. We were also able to support several graduate students who assisted in data management and statistical

#### xiv Acknowledgments

analysis: Nicholas Stark, Claudiu Tufis, Julianna Sandell Pacheco, and Christopher Ojeda.

We are especially indebted to the nation's biology teachers. We began the survey portion of the project by meeting with six science teachers from the State College Area School District who provided *pro bono* advice to us. They read the initial draft of our survey questionnaire and provided dozens of useful suggestions and insights – ultimately improving the project immeasurably. And of course we are grateful to the more than 900 teachers who took time to not only answer our survey, but also to add additional comments and materials that became an important part of our data set. We appreciate the efforts as well of Sally Crandall, Teresa Crisafulli, Patty Nordstrom, and Patricia Wamboldt of the Penn State Survey Research Center for their exceptional work in fielding the survey and their patience in responding to our never-ending series of queries and modifications.

Much of Chapters 2 and 3 are based on data that were originally collected by others and then made freely (and unconditionally) available to us. Many of these data sets were originally collected for polls published by the New York Times; the ABC, CBS, NBC, and Fox television networks; and magazines such as Time and Newsweek. These organizations graciously deposited their data in the Roper Center Archive, a wonderful resource for scholars whose collections of polls span more than six decades. The Roper Center provides an invaluable service by archiving, preserving, and distributing these polls to scholars and writers such as ourselves. We also want to thank David Urban and the staff at Virginia Commonwealth University for making their 2005 Life Sciences Survey data available. We thank Scott Keeter of the Pew Research Center for providing us with their question randomization files and, more generally, for making their studies available to scholars through their own archive. Brian Starks wrote the Stata code to allow our coding of religious denominations, and we appreciate that he made it available to us. Dan Meehan of the Geographic Information Analysis unit at PSU helped us produce the maps that are both accurate and informative.

The actual analysis and writing of the book took place over more than two years, but we made exceptional progress during the winter and spring of 2008–2009. During this time, Plutzer was a guest scholar in residence at the Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung

#### Acknowledgments

(Social Science Center Berlin) in the unit on social inequality, directed by Professor Jens Alber. Plutzer thanks Jens and the research scientists in the unit for providing a wonderfully stimulating environment in which to think, write, and discuss ideas. In addition to Jens, we are sure that Uli Kohler, Tom Cusack, Jan Paul Heisig, and Chiara Saraceno will all see that the book reflects improvements resulting from their helpful suggestions and constructive criticisms.

In addition to the WZB group, we have received helpful comments and suggestions from many of our colleagues. Lael Keiser, Chris Mooney, Paul Peterson, Mark Rom, and Charles Barrilleaux provided helpful comments on conference papers and invited lectures that became the basis of several chapters. Frank Baumgartner offered valuable comments at different stages of the book as did the American/Comparative working group at Penn State. Joyce Banaszak (well exceeding any reasonable expectation as Plutzer's mother-inlaw) and Christopher Ojeda read the entire manuscript and provided helpful editorial suggestions. We also received comments from many participants at the Darwin symposium at the University of Cincinnati, in particular from Glenn Branch, Robert Pennock, and Stephen Mockabee. Special thanks go to George Bishop for organizing the symposium and his ongoing interest in our work.

We also give special thanks to our extended families. Our parents (and even our in-laws) not only cultivated within each of us a deep curiosity about science and the natural world, but also showed a keen interest in this particular book – offering frequent questions and encouragement. The natural curiosity shown by our children – Clara Plutzer, Isaac Plutzer, and Benjamin Berkman – continually inspired and motivated us. Eric thanks Lee Ann Banaszak in particular for her support during this project.

Last but not least, we thank Ed Parsons (formerly) of Cambridge University Press. Ed not only showed interest in this book when it was little more than an outline, but recruited three exceptional anonymous manuscript reviewers. Each of the reviewers was helpful and pushed us to improve the manuscript; however, we want to single out Reviewer #2, whose 12-page, single-spaced report went well beyond the call of duty. Any errors remaining after that review and the many suggestions we have received are truly our own. xν