

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

- Agriculture Agreements: *see also*
Canada – Dairy; export
 subsidies, economic rationale
 for limiting; SCM (Agreement
 on Subsidies and
 Countervailing Measures)
 conformity with agreement and
 commitments thereunder (AA
 8) 256–257
 direct subsidies
 (AA 9.1(a)) 257
 “contingent on export
 performance” 254
 financial contribution, need for
 (SCM 1.1(a)(1)) 255–256
 payment in kind, as
 benefit/gratuitous act
 255–255
 provided by government or
 agency 255; demonstrable link,
 need for 261–262
 to a firm, industry, producer,
 association or marketing board
 254
 as major achievement of Uruguay
 Round 236, 264–265
 absence of methodology for core
 concepts 265–266
 payment on export financed by
 government action (AA 9.1(c))
 257, 259–262
 “contingent on export
 performance” (AA 9.1(a)) and
 256
 financed by government 256; act
 of agency 264; act or omission
 as 264; “by virtue of” 264
 as national obligation 263–264;
 payment by private parties and
 264
 payment in kind and 256
 price benchmark: *see* price
 benchmark *below*
 price benchmark 259–261
 total cost of production 261,
 263–264, 265–266, 268–276;
 cross-subsidization and
 272–275; declining industry,
 problems related to 275;
 high-profit industry and 275;
 milk-tax-and-redistribution-
 programme 269–272; sunk
 costs, exclusion 275, 278–279
 world market prices 276–277, 279
 SCM as aid to interpretation 255
 subsidy in excess of commitments
 (AA 3.3) 256, 257
 subsidy not listed in AA 9.1 (AA
 10.1) 256–257, 262
 anti-dumping and countervailing
 duties, Anti-dumping
 Agreement
 “constructed value” (AD 2.2.2) 123
 developing countries, need to seek
 constructive remedies (AD 15)
 123–124
 disclosure of essential facts (AD 6.9)
 167–168
 economic rationale 115, 133–137,
 168–169: *see also* anti-dumping
 and countervailing duties,
 GATT VI, economic rationale;
 export subsidies, economic
 rationale for limiting

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

301

- predatory pricing and 115, 118, 135
- SG&A costs where there is only one exporter or producer (AD 2.2.2(ii)) 136
- zeroing 134–136
- export price/normal value, adjustments to enable fair comparison (AD 2.4) 166–167
- “facts available” (AD 6.8)/Annex II(6) 161–165; reasons for decision to rely on, need for 162–163
- impact of dumped imports, evaluation of all relevant economic factors (AD 3.4) 123–124, 141–147; restraint agreement, relevance (*Mexico – Corn Syrup*) 144–145; segment by segment approach, acceptability 142–143, 146–147, 154
- individual margins of dumping (AD 6.10), obligations regarding 165–166
- “like products” (AD 3.1), market segmentation and 146–147
- margin calculation methods (AD 2.4.2); 1997 Anti-dumping Code and 130; drafting history 130; selling and general administration costs where there is only one exporter or producer (AD 2.2.2(ii)) 124, 128–130, 136; zeroing, compatibility with AD 2.4.2
- EC – Bed linen* 123–128, 130–131, 135–136
- US Sheet Plate from Korea* 130–131 n. 28
- non-confidential summary (AD 6.5.1), role 163
- object and purpose, failure to state 157
- as political compromise 115, 157–159
- procedural nature 116, 122–123, 157–159; *see also* proceduralism
- questionnaires; documentation, notice of need for 163–165; non-compliance/late submission 163
- standard of review (AD 17.6): *see* standard of review (AD 17.6)
- threat of material injury, relevant factors (AD 3.7) 141–147; likelihood of substantially increased importation (AD 3.1(i)) 142; restraint agreement, relevance (*Mexico – Corn Syrup*) 145–146, 153–154
- anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI
- anti-dumping and countervailing duties distinguished 215 n. 12
- compensation to country of origin, absence of provision 118, 120–122, 214–215; *see also* compensation for nullification or impairment of market access concession
- anti-dumping investigation as 121 n. 15
- desirability of provision for 121–122, 137–138
- price undertaking as 122
- retaliatory nature of
 - anti-dumping actions 122
 - voluntary export restraints as 122
- compliance with SCM Agreement 172: *see also* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
- countervailing duty as response to subsidized exports 119 n. 9, 214–215
- discrimination, scope for 118, 119–120, 214
- economic rationale 118: *see also* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, economic rationale
- “condemnation,” absence of economic justification 118–120, 155–157

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

302

INDEX

- anti-dumping and countervailing
 - duties, GATT VI (*cont.*)
 - fairness considerations 118–119, 214–215
 - panel reports, failure to implement 116
 - purpose 118–120
- arbitration (DSU 25) 284
 - advantages
 - avoidance of retaliatory measures 284
 - streamlined procedures 284
 - on basis of mutual agreement of parties (DSU 25.2) 283
 - expeditious arbitration (DSU 25.1)/right to seek information (DSU 13) balance 295–296
 - mandate
 - absence of DSU 25 provisions relating to 284
 - determination of measure of compensation, limitation to 284, 287, 296–297, 298–299
 - right to seek information (DSU 13) and 295–296
 - procedures, determination by parties 283, 284
- Argentina – Ceramic Tiles*
 - anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement
 - export price/normal value, adjustments to enable fair comparison (AD 2.4) 166–167
 - “facts available” (AD 6.8)/Annex II(6) 161–165
 - non-confidential summary (AD 6.5.1), role 163
 - disclosure of essential facts (AD 6.9) 167–168
 - individual margins of dumping (AD 6.10), obligations regarding 165–166
 - overview 8–9
 - standard of review (AD 17.6), failure to observe 161–169
- Argentina – Hides and Leather*, export restraint as subsidy 229–230
- Berne Convention (1971): *see* TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property)
- Canada – Dairy*: *see also* Agriculture Agreement
 - AB (compliance) (first) 254, 259–262, 265–266
 - AB (compliance) (second) 263–264, 266
 - AB findings 253, 255, 257, 258, 259–261
 - Canadian Dairy Program as export subsidy program under Agricultural Agreement 274 n. 68
 - Canadian decision-making bodies 252
 - Canadian Dairy Commission (CDC) 255
 - compliance panel (first) 253–254, 259–262
 - compliance panel (second) 263, 266
 - legal methodology, adequacy 279–280
 - overview 11
 - Panel findings 254–258
 - Special Milk Classes Scheme 252–253
 - Classes 5(d) and 5(e); as “another category” (AA 10.1) 256–257, 262; as direct subsidy (AA 9.1(a)) 254–257; as subsidy in excess of commitments (AA 3.3) 256–257
 - payment on export financed by government action (AA 9.1(c)) 256, 257, 259–261; Class 4(m) (commercial export milk), AG 9.1(c) 259–269
 - state responsibility 261–262, 266–268
 - tariff rate quota, compatibility with GATT II:1(b) 257
- causality, economic methods for determining 92–100: *see also* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies

- and Countervailing Measures), causality (SCM 15.5); SGA (Agreement on Safeguards), Art. 4.2(b) (causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat thereof)
- AB guidelines, need for 102, 112–113
- attribution of injury 97–100
 - econometric attribution analysis (Grossman (1986)) 98–99
 - injury accounting (Kelly/Irwin) 99–100
- developing countries and 112–113
- expert witnesses, need for 113
- interaction of import and injury variables 93–95
- relative merits 112
- changing circumstances, adaptation to contractual provision
 - renegotiation in case of unforeseeable changes 74–75
 - specified response to foreseeable changes 74–75
 - state contingent contract 74–75
- GATT provision for *ex post* adjustment of tariff bindings: *see also* emergency action (GATT XIX)
- balance of payments safeguards (GATT XII) 75
- renegotiation (GATT XXVIII) 75
- compensation for emergency action (GATT XIX) 81
- compensation for failure to implement recommendations (DSU 22.1) calculation 288–289, 294–296
 - inadequacy of information 294–295
- legality of enforcement provisions, exclusion from arbitration mandate 284, 287, 296–297, 298–299
- measure
 - absence of DSU guidelines
 - arbitrators' right; to choose method other than those proposed by parties 288; to propose amount beyond that sought by parties 296–297
- economic value of lost rights 285
- failure to agree; arbitration to determine (DSU 25.2) 282–283; equivalent withdrawal of concessions 282
- legitimate expectations 285–286, 290–294, 297–298
- novelty of issues and 296–297
- transaction costs, offset 286
- punitive 285, 289, 296–297, 299
- retroactive 285, 289–290, 297–298, 299
 - Australia – Automotive Leather I* 297 n. 14
- as temporary voluntary measure 282
- compensation for nullification or impairment of market access concession: *see also* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI, compensation to country of origin, absence of provision
- additional market concessions as 121
- anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI, absence of provision 118, 120–122, 137–138
- in case of unfair action 120–121
 - efficient international policy outcomes and 120–121, 137–138
- policing function 122–123
- withdrawal of equivalent concessions by nullified party 121
- compliance panel (DSU 21.5) applicability of DSU “panel” provisions 48
- jurisdiction/task
 - consideration of consistency of compliance measure with GATT 51–52
 - limitation to claims based on articles of covered agreements listed in request 47–48; panel's

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

304

INDEX

- compliance panel (DSU 21.5) (*cont.*)
 - failure to consider compliance as error of law 47–48
 - misrepresentation of AB report (*US – Shrimp*) 48–50
 - procedural requirements (*Canada – Dairy*) 258 n. 36
- compliance, scope for indefinite
 - cosmetic change without obligation to compensate 68–69
- consultations (DSU 4): *see* panel, establishment, requirements (DSU 6.2)
- copyright: *see* TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property)
- countervailing duties: *see* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures); anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI
- customary international law, Anti-dumping and 132, 133 n. 37
- Decision on Review of Article 17.6 [AD], effect 176–179
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement [under AD or SCM]
 - interpretation
 - Decision on Review of Article 17.6 [AD] as aid 178–179
 - ordinary meaning in context (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Article 31) 177–178, 180 n. 6
 - legal effect 176–179
 - as evidence of parties' intentions 178
- developing countries
 - export subsidies in agriculture 246 n. 7
 - intellectual property protection 290–291 n. 10
 - proceduralism and 112–113, 158–159
- discretionary legislation, whether breach of WTO obligations 219–220
- precedent
 - GATT 225
 - US – Countervailing Measures on Certain EC Products* 226 n. 44
 - US – Section 301 Trade Act* 225–226
 - WTO 225–226
 - US countervailing duty law and practice and 224
- dispute settlement (DSU)
 - arbitration: *see* arbitration (DSU 25)
 - judgment as to whether action would be fruitful (DSU 3.7) 149–151
- EC – Asbestos*: *see also* national treatment on internal taxation and regulation (GATT III)
- AB findings 33–37; failure to understand market relationships 37–39
- burden of proof, significance 37
- French measures banning 15
 - carcinogenicity as comparator for determining “likeness” 27
- methodology
 - confusion over 34–37
 - effects test (“objective” approach) 32–33
- overview 5
- Panel findings 34
- reasons, quality 14–16
- EC – Bananas*, judgment as to whether DSU action would be fruitful (DSU 3.7) 150
- EC – Bed linen*
 - AB findings 124, 127–128, 129, 130–131, 132–133, 135–137
 - compliance review (DSU 21.5) 116–117 n. 4
 - overview 7–8
 - Panel findings 123–124, 127, 128–129, 132
 - SG&A costs where there is only one exporter or producer (AD 2.2.2(ii)) 124, 128–129, 136

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

305

- standard of review (AD 17.6) 116, 132–133
- zeroing, compatibility with AD 2.4.2 123–128, 130–131, 135–136
- economic methodology: *see* causality, economic methods for determining
- economic rationale for
 - anti-dumping/countervailing measures 115, 118–120, 133–137, 155–157, 168–169, 180–186, 197–198: *see also* export subsidies, economic rationale for limiting
- economic rationale for TRIPS provisions 290–294
- emergency action (GATT XIX), substantially equivalent compensation, right to 81, 122–123
- expert witness, use of
 - econometric/quantitative methods and 113
- export restraint: *see also* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures), “subsidy”
 - definition 217
 - as financial contribution/subsidy 216, 229–232, 234
- export subsidies, economic rationale
 - for limiting 204–205, 277–279: *see also* Agriculture Agreement; SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
- agricultural export subsidies, developed/developing world positions 246 n. 7
- flexibility, domestic and international requirement distinguished 247–248
- free trade/enhanced trade volumes and 204–205, 215, 233–234, 245–246, 251
- GATT XVI, Section B, para. 2 (harmful effect of export
 - subsidy on importing and exporting parties) 204, 238, 239–243
- global efficiency 243–245
- importers, benefit to 204, 239–243
 - freedom to adjust tariff, relevance 204, 242–243
 - transaction costs and 243
- international commitment as
 - government lever against special interests 246–248
- measure of payments 268–277
- oligopoly (sellers)/oligopsony (buyers), effect of negotiation on trade volume 248–250
- buyer/seller 247; GATT/WTO market access negotiations as 250
- GATT/WTO export subsidy negotiations as 250–251
- seller/seller 249–250
- tension between exporter/importer governments 243
- Agriculture Agreement as attempt to resolve 238–239, 243
- externality tax: *see* negative externalities, reduction of effects
- extraterritorial jurisdiction, trade restrictions based on environmental considerations 64–65
- “facts available” (AD 6.8): *see*
 - anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, “facts available” (AD 6.8)/Annex II(6)
- first best: *see* negative externalities, reduction of effects
- GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures) requirements
 - causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat of: *see* SGA (Agreement on Safeguards), Art. 4.2(b)

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

306

INDEX

- GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures) (*cont.*)
 (causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat thereof)
 “effect of obligations under this Agreement” 110–111; in absence of concessions in latest round 111; bound/unbound tariffs, relevance 111; “this Agreement” 110–111
 import surge 86–87
 necessity 108–110
 unforeseen development 84–91;
 burden of proof 84–85, 90–91;
 correct behavior in making forecast, need for 87; critical date 89; deliberate cause and 86–87, 96–97; due diligence standard of foreseeability 86–87, 88, 89;
 Japan – Trade in Semiconductors 87; *Korea – Dairy* 85; measure of foreseeability 88–89, 90–91; reckless behaviour by private sector and 87, 96–97; “unlikely”/“unforeseeable” distinguished 84;
 US – Lamb 89–90
 SGA and: *see also* SGA (Agreement on Safeguards)
 consistency of measures with both GATT and SGA, need for 83
 discrepancies 83; structural adjustment, reference to 84; “unforeseen developments”, absence from SGA 84
 unilateral nature 75
 GATT, XX (general exceptions)
 availability of less restrictive alternative measure 24
 justification for differential treatment, relevance in GATT III determinations, effect as determining factor and 19, 23, 25–26
 GATT, XX (general exceptions), chapeau: *see also* negative externalities, reduction of effects, adoption of particular policy as condition of trade (*US – Shrimp*)
 “comparable in effectiveness” requirement 53–54
 in absence of specified instrument or technology 68–69
 comparable results and comparable marginal effectiveness of investment distinguished 66–67
 information asymmetries and 67
 transparency, need for 69
 as emergency clause (*US – Shrimp*, DSU 21.5 panel) 50–51
 manner of application/design of measure, relationship 44–45, 69
 negotiation, nature of obligation 50–51, 52–53, 64
 unilateral measures conditioning market access on policies of exporting countries 43–44
 differing or conflicting measures as threat to multilateral nature of GATT 43–44, 67–68
 US – Shrimp (AB and AB 21.5) 44, 53–54; centrality of finding to decision 44, 53; as departure from precedent 44, 54
 US – Shrimp (compliance panel), “comparable in effectiveness” requirement 53
 US – Shrimp/Turtle (Panel) 43–44
 GATT, XX (b) (“necessary to protect . . . health”), evidence 32
 GATT, XX (g) (“relating to the conservation of exhaustible natural resources”) balance of environmental benefits and trade costs, failure of AB to address 44–45

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

307

- environmental effectiveness of
 - available measures, need to consider 65–66
 - unilateral nature of rights under 64
 - extraterritorial jurisdiction distinguished 64–65
- GATT XXVIII (renegotiation)
 - changing circumstances, adaptation to 75
 - compensation, right to 122–123
- GATT schedules, observance of
 - commitment (GATT II:1(b)), *Canada – Dairy* 257
- global commons/global public good 57–58
- export subsidies 243–245
- SCM and 180–186, 198
- India – Balance of Payments*,
 - jurisdiction of tribunal, reluctance to decline 150–151
- interpretation of WTO Agreement
 - in accordance with customary international law
 - AD 17.6(ii) 132, 133 n. 37
 - DSU 3.2 177
 - multiple interpretations, possibility of 133 n. 37
 - object and purpose 187–188
 - ordinary meaning in context 177–178, 180 n. 6
 - parties' intentions 178–179
 - tribunals' preference for literal
- Japan – Film*, state responsibility 267
- Japan – Semiconductor*, state responsibility 267
- judicial economy 257
- judicial restraint 224–229, 234–235
- jurisdiction of tribunal
 - absence of DSU provision for return to panel for new finding of fact 38–39
 - advisory opinion 227–228
 - consultations (DSU 4) and 147–149:
 - see also* panel, establishment, requirements (DSU 6.2)
 - precondition, whether 148–149
 - discretion/reluctance to decline 150–151
 - evaluation of substance of claim
 - undermined by fatal procedural considerations 224–229, 234–235
 - failure to challenge, effect 147–148
 - non ultra petita* 173 n. 1, 295–296, 297 n. 15
 - obligation to consider likely
 - fruitfulness of DSU action (DSU 3.7), relevance 149–151
 - parallel NAFTA proceedings 152–153
 - right to seek information (DSU 13) 295–296
 - tribunals' obligation to examine on its own motion 148, 149
 - tribunals' preference for literal interpretation of text and 29–30
- "like products": *see* national treatment
 - on internal taxation and regulation (GATT III), like products; anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, "like products" (AD 3.1)
- Ludema and Wooton model 56–58
- Mexico – Corn Syrup*
 - AB findings 151–152
 - compliance panel findings 140, 142–143
 - consultations (DSU 4) and 147–149
 - judgment as to whether DSU action would be fruitful (DSU 3.7) 149–151
 - overview 8
 - panel findings 140, 142–143
 - reasons, sufficiency 151
 - restraint agreement between soft drink bottlers and sugar producers to limit purchases of HFCS (1997) 141

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

308

INDEX

- Mexico – Corn Syrup (cont.)*
 analysis of injury 145–146,
 153–154
 impact on imports 144–145
 standard of review (AD 17.6) 153
 modeling approaches, inadequacy
 205, 214, 233, 246–248,
 277–278
 moral hazard, safeguards and 81–82,
 87, 96–97
- NAFTA proceedings in parallel,
 acceptability 152–153
 Nash equilibrium 58, 59, 71
 national treatment on internal taxation
 and regulation (GATT III)
 “directly competitive or
 substitutable products” (GATT
Ad III, paragraph 2), GATT
III:4 and 19–21
 GATT *III:2*, first and second
 sentences distinguished (“like
 product”/“manner contrary to
III:1”) 21–23
 “like products”
Border Tax Adjustment criteria as
 aid 33; *EC – Asbestos Panel’s*
 failure to use correctly 33
 burden of proof 34, 37
 comparators, choice 25–27;
 carcinogenicity 33; distinctions
 (*EC – Asbestos*) 35–36;
 ecological efficiency 35; need
 to justify 27
 “directly competitive” as
 determining factor (GATT
III:4) 19–21, 23, 24, 33, 36;
 consideration of full range of
 physical properties, need for
 33; difficulty of determining
 36; end-use and consumer
 tastes, relevance 34, 36–37;
 health risks, relevance 34
 GATT *III:2* and *III:4* compared
 19–21
 health risk and 33
 risk, degree of public knowledge,
 relevance 39
- methodology for interpreting
 alternative comparators approach
 25–27
Asbestos Panel’s confusion
 34–37
Bananas III 28
 burden of proof considerations
 29
Chile Pisco 28
 effect and purpose approach
 23–25; justification for
 differential treatment,
 relevance 24, 26
 effects test (“objective” approach)
 18–23; as aid to internalization
 of non discrimination
 norms 31–32; avoidance of
 value judgement 31;
 effectiveness as constraint 30;
 establishment of political and
 moral identity and 31;
 justification for differential
 treatment, relevance 23, 25–26;
 perceived relative freedom of
 policy choices 29; tribunals’
 preference for literal
 interpretation of texts
 and 29–30
 GATT XX, continuing relevance
 28–29
Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II 28,
 35–36
 normative framework, desirability
 30–31
 “so as” to afford protection (GATT
III:1)
 advantage to domestic market,
 need for 23
 less favorable treatment as
 conduct or content giving rise
 to (GATT *III:4*) 21
 result/intention distinguished
 18–19, 21–23; dual
 requirement 23–25
 “necessary” measure (GATT XX)
 justification for differential
 treatment, relevance in GATT
III determinations; intent as

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

309

- determining factor and 23, 26; justification as comparator for determining "likeness" and 25–27
- negative externalities, intellectual protection and 290
- negative externalities, reduction of effects
 - adoption of particular policy as condition of trade (*US – Shrimp*) 55, 61–64
 - determination criteria 71
 - effectiveness; in case of imperfect competition 66–67; efficiency of abatement technology, relevance 65; exporting country's right to levy Pigouvian tax, in absence of 61–63; exporting country's right to levy Pigouvian tax, in case of 63–64, 65
 - information asymmetries and 67
 - external (Pigouvian) tax determined by importing country with benefits accruing to exporting country as alternative 59–60
 - Ludema and Wooton model 56–58
 - free trade/first best/unilateral tariff without abatement 58–61
 - derivations 70
 - free trade export supply/free trade intersection 70
 - international agreement, effectiveness 55, 67
 - where production takes place in country concerned, externality (Pigouvian) tax 55
- negotiation, duty
 - duty to conclude agreement distinguished 52–53
 - GATT XX and 50–51, 52–53, 64
- panel: *see also* jurisdiction of tribunal
 - DSU 21.5 panel as 48
 - establishment, requirements (DSU 6.2)
 - compliance; DSB's role 149; panel's obligation to consider 47–48
 - consultations (DSU 4) 147–149; jurisdictional issue, whether 147–149; obligation to state whether held 148–149; remedy for breach 149
 - critical date for enforcement 149
 - waiver, right of 48, 147–148, 149
- panel reports
 - reasons
 - need for (DSU 12.7), standard of review requirements (AD 17.6) and 151; rejection by AB, effect 15
- Pigouvian tax: *see* negative externalities, reduction of effects
- precedent, role 197
 - discretionary/mandatory question 225–226
 - inconsistency 235
- Prisoner's Dilemma 58
- proceduralism: *see also* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, procedural nature
 - avoidance of over-regulation, need for 158–159, 168
 - developing countries, burden on 112–113, 158–159
 - as tool to minimize effects of unjust system 158
- public good: *see* global commons/global public good
- Restrictions on Imports of Dessert Apples (Japan – Apples)*, state responsibility 267 n. 41
- safeguards as means of reducing adjustment costs 76–79: *see also* GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures); SGA (Agreement on Safeguards)

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

310

INDEX

safeguards (*cont.*)

adjustment costs

as cost of transition from one
equilibrium to another 76lack of information relating to 76,
79

alternatives to 78

appropriateness in case of

availability of alternative
employment at lower wage 76differing private sector/
government perceptions 77–78inaccurate perception of social
cost 77–78social cost of efficiency measure
76–77

temporary shock 78

incentive to liberalize as by-product
79–80

as insurance mechanism 80–82

observability of injury and 82

moral hazard and 81–82, 96–97

political costs and 79

potential for abuse 79

social welfare maximization as
criterion 76uncertainty of information,
relevance 78SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and
Countervailing Measures): *see*
also export subsidies, economic
rationale for limitingAgreement on Agriculture (AA) and
(SCM 3.1) 262 n. 49

“benefit” (SCM 1.1(b)) 180

change of ownership and 175,
186–188, 196–199; *US –*
Countervailing Measures
Concerning Certain Products
from the EU 199–200

payment in kind, whether 255

causality (SCM 15.5) 193–194

consistency of SCM Part III

(actionable subsidies) with
WTO right to maintain tariffs
at bound levels, new/existing
subsidies, need to distinguish
212–213

CVD

duration and review (SCM

21.1) 172; administrative
review of non-recurring
subsidy 189–198; compliance
with SCM (SCM 19.1) 172;
continuing injury, need
for 194–196, 199economic rationale 180–186,
197–198imposition and collection (SCM
19), limitation on amount
(SCM 19.4) 172financial contribution, need for
(SCM 1.1(a)(1)) 255

export constraint 216

GATT VI and (SCM 10) 172

object and purpose

Brazil – Aircraft 203global efficiency 180–186, 198,
215interpretation in accordance with
187–188

Part III (actionable subsidies)

consistency with WTO right to
maintain tariffs at bound levels
202–207; challenge to; existing
subsidy 211–212; new
subsidy 210–211economic rationale; inefficiency
of international agreements
and 213, 233–234; modeling
inadequacies 214; nullification
or impairment of benefit
provisions (GATT XXIII.1(b)),
preferability 212–213Part V (countervailing measures)
214–215standard of review (AD 17.6/DSU
11) 175–179

subsidies contingent on export

performance, as clarification of
GATT XVI, Section B, para. 2
204subsidies for use of domestic goods
(SCM 3.1(b)), as production
subsidy 205–207, 229–231,
234

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

311

- “subsidy” (SCM 1 and 2) 172–173
 - Annex I(d) 257 n. 31
 - export restraint 216, 229–232, 234
 - financial contribution by
 - government or any public body which “entrusts or directs a private body” (SCM 1.1(a)(1)(iv)) 218–219;
 - action/effects test distinguished 218–219; express act, need for 218; negotiating history 219; “private body” 217 n. 15; “to carry out” a listed function 218
 - non-recurring subsidy; injury determination (SCM 15) 194; marketing effects 191–193
 - predatory subsidy 184 n. 9, 209
 - recurring subsidy, marketing effects 189–191
 - specificity (SCM 2) 203, 219, 231, 232, 234
- sea turtles, US measures for protection of (Public Law 101-102, Section 609) 41–43
- certifications in respect of incidental taking of sea turtles (1996 guidelines) 42–43
- request for delay in implementing world-wide 42
- turtle exclusion device, relevance 42–43
- consistency with GATT provisions
 - AB (DUS 21.5 ruling) 51–55
 - AB findings 44–46
 - “comparable in effectiveness” requirement 53–54
 - Compliance Panel findings 47
 - Panel findings 43–44
 - Section 609 and implementation measures distinguished 51–52
 - limitation to Caribbean area, legality 42–43
- SGA (Agreement on Safeguards)
 - Art. 4.2(b) (causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat thereof) 91–108
 - domestic/foreign source of shock as justification for safeguard 95–97; combined effect approach (USITC) 104–107; differing approaches 103–107; non-discrimination between (PB) 105–107; sufficiency/necessity of foreign shock (*US – Lamb* Panel) 105–107; textual clarification, need for 111–112
 - economic methods for determining 92–100: *see also* causality, economic methods for determining. AB guidelines, need for 102, 112–113
 - multiple causes, attribution; *US – Lamb* 100–102; need to distinguish between causes 101–102; *US – Wheat Gluten* 101
 - “serious injury” 91–92; *US – Lamb* 100–101
 - “threat of” 107–108; burden of proof 108
- GATT and: *see* GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures), SGA and
- Preamble, legal significance 84
- structural adjustment, relevance 84
- Sheet Plate from Korea*, zeroing 130–131 n. 28
- US – Shrimp*: *see also* GATT, XX (general exceptions), chapeau; negative externalities, reduction of effects
- overview 5
- standard of review (AD 17.6): *see also* standard of review (DSU 11)
- drafting history 131–132
- EC – Bed linen* 116, 132–133
- DSU 11 provisions distinguished 175–176
- Decision on Review of Article 17.6 [AD], effect 176–179
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement [under AD or SCM], effect 176–179

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

312

INDEX

- standard of review (AD 17.6) (*cont.*)
 - interpretation in accordance
 - with customary international law (AD 17.6(ii)) 132, 133 n. 37
 - Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, relevance 133 n. 37
- minimalist approach 159–169
 - assessment of reasonableness of action taken, limitation to (AD 17.6(ii)) 151–152, 160–161
 - failure of panels/AB to
 - observe 160–161; *Argentina – Ceramic Tiles* 161–169
 - Mexico – Corn Syrup* 152–153
 - parties' permissible interpretation of Anti-dumping Agreement provisions, acceptance by tribunal (AD 17.6 (ii)) 159–161, 166; multiple interpretations 132–133, 175–176
 - parties' reasonable assumptions, acceptance by tribunal (AD 17.6(i)) 147, 153–154
- national practices, need to respect 116, 132–133
- SCM dispute settlement,
 - applicability to 175–179
 - Thailand – H Beams* 162–163
 - US – Hot Rolled Steel* 133 n. 37
- standard of review (DSU 11): *see also* standard of review (AD 17.6)
- multiple interpretations,
 - acceptability 175–176
- SCM disputes, applicability 175–179
- state responsibility
 - Canada – Dairy* 261–262, 266–268
- for
 - action which might lead to breach of treaty obligation 69
 - acts of private parties 261–262, 266–268
 - ensuring cooperation with tribunal 296 n. 12
 - failure to ensure administrative rationality and fairness 160–161
- Japan – Film* 267
- Japan – Semiconductor* 267
- Restrictions on Imports of Dessert Apples (Japan – Apples)* 267 n. 63
- subsidies: *see* Agriculture Agreement; export subsidies, economic rationale for limiting; SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
- Subsidies Code (1979), failure 201–202
- tariff/non-tariff (production subsidy)
 - barrier relationship 207–208, 209–210
 - new subsidy and 210–211
 - new/old subsidies, need to distinguish 212–213
 - nullification or impairment of benefit provisions (GATT XXIII.1(b)), preferability 212–213
- Thailand – H Beams*, standard of review (AD 17.6) 162–163
- threat of injury (SGA 4.2) 107–108
- TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property)
 - Berne Convention (1971), compliance with (TRIPS 9.1) 281
 - economic rationale 290–294
 - developing countries 290–291 n. 10
 - freeriding 291
 - marginal cost/marginal benefit balance 290, 292–294, 298
 - negative externalities; discrimination 290; inadequacy of national treatment and 290
- Turkey – Textiles*, jurisdiction of tribunal, reluctance to decline 150–151
- United States, sea turtles, measures for the protection of: *see* sea turtles, US measures for protection of

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18881-4 - The WTO Case Law of 2001: The American Law Institute Reporters' Studies

Edited by Henrik Horn and Petros C. Mavroidis

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

313

- (Public Law 101–102, Section 609)
- US – Section 110(5) Copyright Act: see also* compensation for failure to implement recommendations (DSU 22.1); TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property) overview 11–12
- US – Softwood Lumber IV*, export restraint as subsidy 229–230, 232
- US – Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain EC Products*, “benefit” (SCM 1.1(b)) 199–200
- US – Export Restraints: see also* export restraint; SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
- legal methodology, adequacy 279–280
- overview 10
- US measures 216
- US – Hot Rolled Steel*, standard of review (AD 17.6) 133 n. 37
- US – Lamb*
- AB findings 73, 90, 101–102, 105–108
- domestic/foreign source of shock as justification 103–107
- overview 7
- Panel findings 72–73, 90, 100–101, 105–107
- “serious injury” 100–101
- multiple causes, attribution 100–102
- “unforeseen development”, failure to establish 84–85, 90–91
- US – Lead and Bismuth II*
- AB findings 174–175, 177–179, 186–188, 198–199
- administrative review of non-recurring subsidy 189–198
- “benefit” and change of ownership 175, 186–188, 196–197, 198–199
- Decision on Review of Article 17.6 [AD], effect 176–179
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement [under AD or SCM], legal effect 176–179
- overview 9–10
- panel findings 173–174
- US – Section 301 Trade Act*, discretionary/mandatory question 225–226
- US – Wheat Gluten*, causation 101
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
- Anti-dumping Agreement and 132, 133 n. 37
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement [under AD or SCM] and 177–178, 180 n. 6
- DSU and, Art. 31(4) (special meaning) 48
- GATT and, Art. 31 (object and purpose), in absence of defined objects 157
- multiple interpretations, possibility of 133 n. 37
- zeroing: *see* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement