Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law Kenneth S. Gallant Table of Contents <u>More information</u>

Contents

Explanatory Note on Spelling	page xix
Acknowledgments	xxi
Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	1
o.b. Plan of This Book	4
o.b.i. Outline of Chapters	4
o.b.ii. Principles and Rules: Two Key Definitions	6
o.c. The Arguments of This Book	8
o.c.i. The Argument: Non-retroactivity of Crimes	
and Punishments	8
o.c.ii. Some Sub-arguments	9
o.c.iii. The Meta-argument: Law as Created by	
International Criminal Courts and	
International Organizations in Light of	
Claims Made by Individuals	10
1 Legality in Criminal Law, Its Purposes, and Its Competitors	11
1.a. Legality in Criminal Law and the Rule of Law Generally	14
1.b. Purposes of Legality in Criminal Law	19
1.b.i. Human Rights Protective Purposes	20
1.b.ii. Legality and Legitimacy	23
1.b.iii. Separation of Powers, Democracy, and	
Legality in National and International Law	24
1.b.iv. Legality and the Purposes of Criminalization	26
1.b.iv.A. Accountability, Restorative Justice, and	
Reconciliation: Purposes of Criminal	
Law Applying Specifically, but Not	

х

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law Kenneth S. Gallant Table of Contents More information

Contents

			Exclusively, to International Criminal	
			Law and Post-conflict Societies	30
	1.C.	Compe	titors to Strict Legality as a Principle of Law	31
		1.c.i.	Indeterminacy of Language and	
			Impossibility of Pure Non-retroactivity	31
			1.c.i.A. Statutory Interpretation as Eroding Legality	33
			1.c.i.B. Common Law Development	
			of Criminal Law	35
		1.c.ii.	Crime Creation by Analogy in Civil Law	36
		1.c.iii.	Legality as an Optional Principle of Law or Justice	38
		1.c.iv.	Legality as a Principle with Limited or No	
			Binding Effect in International Criminal Law	38
		1.C.V.	Legality as Binding in Normal	
			Circumstances, with Exceptions in	
			Extraordinary or Transitional Times	39
		1.c.vi.	Defenses That Do Not Go to Whether an	
			Act Is Criminal	39
		1.c.vii.	Legality and Prior Establishment of Court Systems	40
		1.c.viii.	Change of Name, Character, or Jurisdiction	
			of Offenses	40
			1.c.viii.A. In International Courts	41
			1.c.viii.B. In National Courts: Domesticating	
			International Crimes and the Problem	
			of Representation Jurisdiction	42
		1.c.ix.	Collective Punishment or Punishment of Hostages	42
		1.c.x.	Authoritarianism and Anti-Legality	44
	4 D	. 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2		Overvie	story to World War II	46
	2.a.			47
			Civil Law and Common Law	47
			Islamic Law	51
	- 1.		A Note on Japan and China	54
		•	y and Accessibility of Criminal Law	55
	2.C.		n the Two World Wars	56
		2.c.i.	The Versailles Settlement and Criminal Law	- (
			Legality	56
		2.c.ii.	Fascist and Communist Attacks on Legality	_
			between the Wars	59
			2.c.ii.A. The End of Legality in the Weimar	
			Republic's Laws	59

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Crimit	nal Law
Kenneth S. Gallant	
Table of Contents	
More information	

Contents	xi
2.c.ii.B. Danzig's Abandonment of Legality and the Permanent Court of International	
Justice Response 2.c.ii.C. Other Dictatorships: The Soviet Union	62
and Italy	64
3 Nuremberg, Tokyo, and Other Postwar Cases	67
3.a. Violations of the Laws and Customs of War as Criminal	
before Nuremberg: Atrocities and Other Crimes	69
3.a.i. Beyond Traditional War-Crime Atrocities	72
3.b. Nuremberg: Searching for Consensus	73
3.b.i. Anti-legality: Churchill and Morgenthau	
Favor Summary Executions	73
3.b.ii. The London Conference: The French	
Oppose Ex Post Facto Crime Creation; the	
Americans, Soviets, and British Favor	76
Plenary Power to Define Crimes 3.b.ii.A. Negotiating the Ex Post Facto Issue	76
at London	87
3.b.iii. The Major Nuremberg Trial: Ex Post Facto in	87
the Indictment and Arguments	01
3.b.iii.A. The Indictment and Preliminary	91
Defense Motion	91
3.b.iii.B. Arguments of the Prosecutors	-
3.b.iii.C. The Defense Arguments	93 99
3.b.iii.C.I. Authority of the Charter versus	99 99
the Principle of Legality	100
3.b.iii.C.II. Crimes against Peace	100
(Aggressive War) and	
Conspiracy	102
3.b.iii.C.III. War Crimes and Crimes	10-
against Humanity	104
3.b.iv. The Nuremberg Judgment	110
3.b.iv.A. The Crime against Peace (Aggressive War	
and War in Breach of Treaties) and	
Conspiracy	115
3.b.iv.B. War Crimes	117
3.b.iv.C. Crimes against Humanity	119
3.b.iv.D. Summary of the Main Nuremberg	
Judgment	125

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law Kenneth S. Gallant Table of Contents More information

xii		Contents	
		3.b.iv.E. Divisions within the Nuremberg	
		Tribunal? Judges Nikitchenko and	
		Donnedieu de Vabres	126
	3.c.	Legality in Other Postwar Cases (Mostly from Europe)	129
		3.c.i Recognition That an Act Is Criminal under	
		Some Applicable Law When Committed as	
		the Key to Legality of Crimes	130
		3.c.ii. Against Legality: Retroactive	
		Criminalization of Moral Wrongs and	
		Non-criminal Legal Violations	134
		3.c.iii. Retrospective Expansion of Jurisdiction in	
		the Post–World War II National Courts	135
		3.c.iv. Members of Criminal Organizations in the	
		Nuremberg Charter and Control Council	
		Law No. 10: Retroactivity and Collective	
		Punishment	136
	3.d.	Legality in the IMTFE (Tokyo Tribunal): Dissensus Revealed	139
		3.d.i. The IMTFE Charter	139
		3.d.ii. The Majority IMTFE Judgment: Based in	
		Existing International Law?	142
		3.d.iii. The Separate IMTFE Opinions	143
		3.d.iii.A. Justice Jaranilla (Philippines):	
		Retroactivity Permissible in International	
		Criminal Law	143
		3.d.iii.B. President Webb (Australia): Retroactivity	
		May Be Permissible	144
		3.d.iii.C. Justice Röling (Netherlands):	
		Retroactivity Permissible in International	
		Criminal Law as Alternative to "Political"	
		Disposition; Nullum Crimen Not a	
		Principle of Justice	144
		3.d.iii.D. Justice Bernard (France): Natural Law Is	
		Not Retroactive Law	147
		3.d.iii.E. Justice Pal (India): Against Retroactive	
		Creation of Crimes; Admitting	
		Retrospective Creation of Tribunals	150
	3.e.	If the Nuremberg and Tokyo Judgments Violated the	
		Principle of Legality, Can They Nonetheless Form the	
		Basis of Legitimate Law? Justice Pal Answers	152
	3.f.	Conclusion	155

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal	Law
Kenneth S. Gallant	
Table of Contents	
More information	

	Contents	xiii
4	Modern Development of International Human Rights Law: Practice Involving Multilateral Treaties and the Universal	
	Declaration of Human Rights	156
	4.a. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights	158
	4.a.i. The Text	158
	4.a.ii. History and <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i> of the	-)-
	UDHR Non-retroactivity Provision (and	
	Beginnings of the ICCPR Provision)	160
	4.b. The ICCPR	175
	4.b.i. The Text	175
	4.b.ii. History and Travaux Préparatoires of the	
	ICCPR Non-Retroactivity Provision	178
	4.b.ii.A. Beginnings, through Spring 1950 (Sixth	
	Session of the Commission on Human	
	Rights)	178
	4.b.ii.B. 1952 and Beyond	188
	4.b.ii.C. General Principles of Law: No Exception	
	to Legality Intended	191
	4.c. The Convention on the Rights of the Child: Universality	
	of Nullum crimen and Prohibiting Even Accusations of	
	Retroactive Crime	201
	4.d. The Regional Human Rights Treaties: European,	
	American, African, Arab	202
	4.d.i. European Convention for the Protection of	
	Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	203
	4.d.ii. American Convention on Human Rights:	
	Broadening of Procedural Protections	203
	4.d.iii. African Charter of Human and Peoples'	
	Rights: Against Collective Punishment	205
	4.d.iv. Revised Arab Charter on Human Rights	205
	4.d.v. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the	
	European Union: Legality Controlling an	
	International Organization?	206
	4.e. Non-Derogability of Legality in the Treaties	206
	4.f. Legality in the International Humanitarian Law System:	
	Application to International and Internal Conflicts and	
	Occupation	207
	4.f.i. Non-retroactivity	207
	4.f.ii. Against Collective Punishments and Hostage	
	Taking to Ensure Good Behavior	211

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law Kenneth S. Gallant Table of Contents <u>More information</u>

xiv	Contents	
	4.g. Reservations to Provisions on Legality by Parties to the Worldwide Treaties	213
	4.g.i. Lack of Reservations in the Treaties for <i>Ta'azir</i> Crimes in Islamic Law and Lack of	
	Persistent Objection 4.h. Limits to Rule of Legality in Modern Treaties: Statutory Interpretation, Common Law Case Development, and	214
	Civil Law Analogy	217
	4.i. Patterns of Treaty Nonparticipation	224
	4.j. Individual, State, and International Organization Ability to Raise Legality in Criminal Proceedings as an	
	International Law Claim under the Treaties	225
	4.k. Status of Actions Discussed in This Chapter under	
	International Law	228
5	Modern Comparative Law Development: National Provisions	
	Concerning Legality	231
	5.a. Content and Limitations of the Material in This Chapter	
	and the Appendices on National Law	231
	5.b. Legality in Constitutions of the World in 1946–47	237
	5.c. Non-Retroactivity of Crimes and Punishments in	
	National Legal Systems Today: At Least a General	
	Principle of Law	241
	5.c.i. Sources of the Requirement of	
	Non-retroactivity of Crimes and	
	Punishments in National Law	243
	5.c.ii. Non-retroactivity in the Constitutional	
	Texts: Act Focus and Law Focus	251
	5.c.iii. Crimes According to International Law and	
	General Principles of Law in the	
	Constitutional Non-retroactivity Provisions	
	and in National Judicial Practice	261
	5.c.iv. Modern Adoption or Readoption of Legality	
	by Major States That Had Rejected It	265
	5.c.v. Other Legality Issues in the Constitutional Texts	271
	5.c.v.A. <i>Lex mitior</i> , and the Issue of Mixing <i>Nullum crimen</i> , <i>Nulla poena</i> and	
	Lex mitior	271
	5.c.v.B. Legality and Freedom in the Modern	-
	Constitutions	274
	5.c.v.C. Lex certa in the Constitutions	274

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law	
Kenneth S. Gallant	
Table of Contents	
More information	

Contents	XV
5.c.v.D. Narrow Interpretation and Analogy in the Constitutions	275
5.c.v.E. Retroactive Expansion of National Jurisdiction: Domestic Incorporation Crimes under the Law of Another Stat or Crimes under International Law – A Current Version of Retroactive	of
Re-characterization? 5.c.vi. Exceptions and Possible Exceptions to	276
Non-retroactivity of Crimes and Punishments 5.c.vii. Representation (or Vicarious) Jurisdiction: A	278
Challenge for Legality 5.c.viii. What Is Punishment for Purposes of Invoking the Non-retroactivity Rules of	282
Criminal Law? A Brief Note 5.d. Non-retroactivity of Court Creation and Prohibitions	287 of
Special Courts 5.e. Personal Punishment and Rejection of Collective	290
Punishment: Also General Principles of Law 5.f. The Status of National Constitutions and Other Intern Legal Acts of States as State Practice for Constituting	293 aal
Customary International Human Rights Law 5.g. The Transformation of Legality in National Law since	299
World War II6 Legality in the Modern International and Internationalized	301
Criminal Courts and in the UN Trust Territories 6.a. Legality in the ICTY, ICTR, and SCSL (and the Nascen	303 tt
Lebanon Tribunal) 6.a.i. Non-retroactivity (<i>Nullum crimen, nulla</i>	304
<i>poena sine lege</i>) in These Courts Generally 6.a.i.A. The Special Case of Contempt in the I 6.a.ii. Legality as a Jurisdictional and Substantive Issue, Right of the Individual to Complain, and the International Legal Personality of	305 CTY 309
the Individual 6.a.ii.A. Claim of Violation of Non-retroactivit as Issue Individuals May Raise and Co	•
Must Consider 6.a.ii.B. Argument That Court Created by an International Organization Should No	312 ot

xvi

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law Kenneth S. Gallant Table of Contents More information

Contents

			Examine Whether a Prosecution Is	
			Prohibited by Rule of Nullum crimen, so	
			Long as the Crime Is Named in the	
			Organic Documents of the Court	314
		6.a.iii.	Legality of Courts	316
		0141111	6.a.iii.A. Claims That These Courts Are Not	510
			Established by Law: Powers of	
			International Organizations, the	
			International Legal Personality of	
			Individuals, and the Issue of Special Courts	316
			6.a.iii.B. Creating New Jurisdictions Retrospectively	318
		6.a.iv.	Retroactive Re-characterization of Crime in	5
			These Tribunals?	320
		6.a.v.		324
	6.b.		ty in the Internationalized Tribunals and a Note on	5 1
		0	ty in Internationally Supervised Trust Territories	325
		-	The Internationalized Tribunals	325
			A Note on Legality in UN Trust Territories as	5 5
			Another Example of International	
			Organization and National Practice	330
	6.c.	The IC	CC and the Principle of Legality	331
		6.c.i.	Situations Involving Only States Parties to	00
			the ICC Statute at All Relevant Times	333
		6.c.ii.	Security Council Referrals of Situations	
			Involving Nonparty States and Retroactive	
			Acceptances of Jurisdiction by States	337
		6.c.iii.	Legality as a Jurisdictional Issue in the ICC	343
	6.d.		of Actions of the Security Council, Other UN	
		Bodies	s, and the Recent International Criminal Courts and	
		Tribur	nals under International Law	344
		6.d.i.	Practice and Opinio juris of International	
			Organizations, Including International	
			Tribunals, as Contributing to Customary	
			International Law and General Principles of Law	347
7	Lega	ality in	Customary International Law Today	352
	7.a.	The C	ore Rules of Legality in Customary International Law	352
	7.b.		ration of the Core Rules: Nullum crimen	357
		7.b.i.	Foreseeability	359
			7.b.i.A. Indeterminacy of Language and the	
			Necessity of a Foreseeability Doctrine	359

ambridge University Press	
78-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law	
fenneth S. Gallant	
able of Contents	
Iore information	

			Contents	xvii
		7.b.i.B.	Foreseeability and the Development of	
			Criminal Law by Judicial Decision,	
			Statutory Interpretation, and Analogy	360
		7.b.i.C.	Foreseeability, Lex certa, and the	
			Void-for-Vagueness Doctrine	362
		7.b.i.D.	Foreseeability and Accessibility	363
		7.b.i.E.	Dangers of the Foreseeability Doctrine	
			and Limitations on the Doctrine	364
	7.b.ii.	Re-chara	acterization in International and	
		National	l Courts	367
		7.b.ii.A.	Retroactive Re-characterization of a	
			Crime as International, or as a Different	
			Type of International Crime, in National	
			or International Courts	367
		7.b.ii.B.	Legality and the Debate over Direct	
			Application of International Criminal	
			Law in National Courts	369
		7.b.ii.C.	Universal Jurisdiction over International	
			Law Crimes	370
		7.b.ii.D.	Representation (Vicarious) Jurisdiction	
			and Legality	371
		7.b.ii.E.	Purely National Re-characterization	372
	7.b.iii.	General	Principles of Law as a Source of	
			ble Criminal Law	373
	7.b.iv.	Criticism of International Criminal Law as		
		Generall	y Violating Principles of Legality	374
	7.b.v.	Defenses	5	375
	7.b.vi.	The Rigl	nt Not to Be Prosecuted	376
7.c.			d Discussion of the Core Rules: Nulla poena	378
	7.c.i.	c.i. Nulla poena as Applicable to Crimes under		
			ional Law	379
	7.c.ii.	.ii. Criticism of Nulla poena as Inapplicable to		
		International Criminal Law		383
	7.c.iii.	Nulla po	ena and Re-characterization	389
		7.c.iii.A.	Nulla poena Where There Has Been a	
			Retroactive Re-Characterization of a	
			National Crime into an International Crime	389
		7.c.iii.B.	Nulla poena and Re-characterization of	- /
			Crimes in National Courts: Universal	
				390
			Crimes in National Courts: Universal Jurisdiction over International Law Crimes	390

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-18760-2 - The Principle of Legality in International and Comparative Criminal Law Kenneth S. Gallant Table of Contents <u>More information</u>

xviii	Contents					
	7.c.iv. Nulla poena and Representation (Vicarious)					
	Jurisdiction	391				
	7.c.v. <i>Nulla poena</i> and General Principles of Law7.c.vi. <i>Nulla poena</i> as Applying to Punishments	391				
7.d.	Only, and Not to Other Sanctions Nullum crimen, Nulla poena as Binding International	392				
Organizations and International Courts						
7.e.	Legality and Jurisdiction of Courts	394				
	7.e.i. Creation of New Courts or Expansion of					
	Jurisdiction of Existing Courts	394				
7.f.	7.e.ii. Requirement that Court Be Established by Law Personality of Punishment and Prohibition of Collective	395				
	Punishments	395				
7.g.	g. Right of the Individual to Raise Claim of Violation of Legality as a Matter of International Law, and the					
	International Legal Personality of Individuals	397				
7.h.	International Law Legality, National Law Legality, and the Prosecution of Core International Law Crimes in					
	National Courts	398				
	Jus cogens Status for Non-retroactivity of Crimes and Punishments?	399				
7.j.	International Organizations as Participants					
	in the Process of Creating Customary and Other Binding International Law	402				
Conclusion: The Endurance of Legality in National and						
International Criminal Law						
	x A: Chart of Non-retroactivity Provisions in Criminal Law					
by Nations						
Appendix B: Legality and Non-retroactivity Provisions as of 1946–47						
	x C: Constitutional and Other National Provisions nting the Principle of Legality Today	438				
Bibliography						
Table of Authorities						
Index						
Afterword and Update						