Defeating Authoritarian Leaders in Postcommunist Countries

From 1998 to 2005, six elections took place in postcommunist Europe and Eurasia that had the surprising outcome of empowering the opposition and defeating authoritarian incumbents or their designated successors. Valerie J. Bunce and Sharon L. Wolchik compare these unexpected electoral breakthroughs— to one another and to elections that had the more typical result of maintaining authoritarian rule. They draw three conclusions. First, the opposition was victorious because of the hard and creative work of a transnational network composed of local opposition and civil society groups, members of the international democracy assistance community, and graduates of successful electoral challenges to authoritarian rule in other countries. Second, the remarkable run of these upset elections reflected the ability of this network to diffuse an ensemble of innovative electoral strategies across state boundaries. Finally, elections can serve as a powerful mechanism for democratic change. This is especially the case when civil society is strong, the transfer of political power is through constitutional means, and opposition leaders win with small mandates.
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Advance Praise for *Defeating Authoritarian Leaders in Postcommunist Countries*

“*Defeating Authoritarian Leaders in Postcommunist Countries* is a major contribution to debates on regime transition. Bunce and Wolchik make the paradigmatic case that opposition creativity, innovation, and ambition are central to successful democratization. The book also offers a refreshing take on democratic diffusion. Contrary to the ‘high altitude’ approach taken by so many studies, this book, based on primary research in at least eleven countries, shows us exactly how diffusion takes place and the array of domestic and external actors who play a critical role in its success or failure. This book will be of enormous interest to scholars of democratization and essential reading for those concerned with strengthening democratic institutions in the world today.”

– Lucan A. Way, University of Toronto
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The idea for this book originated in a casual conversation between the two of us in early 2004. Val had been working on a project comparing variations in bargaining dynamics between central and regionally based political leaders in Georgia and Serbia. In the process of exploring why some regions were cooperative, others wanted more autonomy, and still others demanded independence, she noticed that both countries had experienced a surprising and similar event: elections that generated large-scale popular protests in response to fraud and that replaced long-serving authoritarian leaders with leaders of the opposition. When she mentioned this to Sharon, Sharon said that actually, the same thing (minus the protests) had happened earlier in Slovakia. Being “graduates” of 1989, we thought, “Here we go again.” We decided it would be a great idea to put our heads together and analyze this dynamic. Of course, several more cases were added to the study – Ukraine in 2004 and Kyrgyzstan in 2005. As our study progressed, we came to see the connection between our original cases and developments in Croatia in 2000 and, as a prelude to the Slovak breakthrough, Bulgaria in 1990 and 1997 and Romania in 1996. We also decided that we did not want to fall into the trap of confining our attention to successful cases of electoral turnover – that is, elections where oppositions succeeded in their quest to replace authoritarian leaders or their anointed successors. Looking only at such cases would have been a problem because they are the clear exception to the rule in mixed regimes that straddle democracy and dictatorship. Variation in electoral results would also help us tease out important causal influences. Thus, we added elections in Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Belarus to the group. These elections look a lot like the successful ones, save for their outcomes.

Our enthusiasm for this study was boundless. However, we confess that this has not been an easy book to research and write. Among other things, tracking down key local and international participants in so many elections taking place in so many different countries and then interviewing them was not easy, especially because many of them have moved or taken new positions since the events we analyzed took place. Thus, this book took a great deal longer to
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research and to write than we expected. The writing was also difficult because
we had a great deal of data, and we analyzed these elections in three ways.
We carried out case studies of each one; we compared them to one another;
and we treated them as events that were interconnected through a diffusion
dynamic. Of course, how many academic books would get written if authors
were better at predicting how much work they would actually require?

This book has also been a labor of love. In part, this was because after
more than thirty years of friendship (beginning as graduate students at the
University of Michigan), we decided that it was finally time to work together.
Miraculously, the friendship survived – even when we did fieldwork together
in Armenia and Azerbaijan! Indeed, it deepened. This book was also a trip
down memory lane in another respect. We returned to an issue that had long
preoccupied us before the collapse of communism led us to take up the new
topic of democracy – that is, struggles against authoritarian rule. This project
returned us both to our intellectual roots and, in those countries where dem-
ocratic breakthroughs did not occur, to our early experiences in conducting
fieldwork in nondemocratic settings. It also raised issues about authoritarian-
ism that we had not considered during the communist era. A final rewarding
aspect of this study was the opportunity to interview so many fascinating
people. In both those countries where efforts to use elections to unseat semi-
authoritarian leaders succeeded and those where they did not, we met amaz-
ing people who, in their dedication, courage, and willingness to persist in
struggling against difficult odds, reminded us of the dissidents and indepen-
dent intellectuals we had come to know under communism. It did not hurt,
moreover, that the participants in these elections were in many cases very
willing to share what was the most exciting political experience of their lives.
They were eager to share their experiences, and we were privileged to listen.
We want to thank them again for their generosity in sharing their time and
insights with us.

Our “struggle,” like that of the activists we interviewed, was not just long; it
also owed its success to the contributions of a wide range of people. Thus, we
have a long list of thank-yous. We can begin with our mentors at the University
of Michigan, William Zimmerman and Zvi Gitelman. Our common intel-
lectual grounding clearly made our collaboration easier, as our perspectives
on the information we gathered and, more importantly, our standards for
evaluating it were generally very similar. More recently, we are thankful to
the Smith-Richardson Foundation, the International Center for Non-Violent
Conflict, the Einaudi Center for International Studies and the Institute for the
Social Sciences at Cornell University, and the Institute for European, Eurasian,
and Russian Studies at the George Washington University for providing finan-
cial support and to the U.S. Department of State for inviting us to give lectures
in Armenia. Residential fellowships at the Rockefeller Foundation’s Bellagio
Center and at the National Endowment for Democracy gave us much-needed
time to carry out collaborative writing and also introduced us, particularly in
the latter case, to numerous actors involved in our cases. In addition, we are
grateful to Keti Nozadze, Aida Badalova, and Aaron Presnall at the Jefferson Institute, as well as to Oleksander Horin for helping set up interviews in Georgia, Azerbaijan, Serbia, and Ukraine, respectively; to Michael Varnum, Igor Logvinenko, and Sara Rzayeva for conducting interviews on our behalf in Croatia, Kyrgyzstan, and Azerbaijan, respectively; and to Melissa Aten, Cristine Cannata, Kallie Knutson, Nancy Meyers, Nawal Mustafa, David Szakonyi, Tsveta Petrova, and Sara Rzayeva for research and editorial assistance. We also received valuable feedback from presentations of our work at conferences organized by the Jefferson Institute (Charlottesville), the University of California at Irvine, Stanford University, Cornell University, the University of Florida, the University of Florence, and Dartmouth College. In addition, our study was enriched by reactions to our work at presentations we made in Baku, Yerevan, Moscow, Tbilisi, and Belgrade, and at Bergen, Berkeley, Cornell, Columbia, Duke, the Foreign Service Institute of the U.S. Department of State, The George Washington University, Georgetown, Harvard, Miami University of Ohio, the University of Michigan, the National Endowment for Democracy, Notre Dame, NYU in Prague, Johns Hopkins–SAIS, the University of British Columbia, the University of North Carolina, the College of William and Mary, and several panels at the annual meetings of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies and the American Political Science Association. Special thanks for reading the first draft of the manuscript (which was a good deal longer than this one) go to Mark Beissinger, Anna Grzymala-Busse, and Sidney Tarrow. We are especially grateful to Sidney Tarrow for his many contributions to this project from its inception to its completion, and in Val’s case, for his intellectual and personal support since she joined the Cornell faculty two decades ago.

Our warmest thanks go to our families who supported us in this as in all of our endeavors. Ronald Herring, Nicholas Bunce-Herring, Leon and Olga Wolchik, Sharlene Wolchik, and John, Michael, Andrew, and Annie Varnum all played their roles in encouraging us and keeping us grounded, and it is to them that we dedicate this book.