

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18408-3 - Jurisdiction and the Internet: A Study of Regulatory Competence over Online Activity

Uta Kohl

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

<i>Preface and acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i>	ix
<i>Table of cases</i>		xi
<i>Table of statutes, regulations, directives and treaties</i>		xviii
1 Jurisdiction and the Internet		1
1. The global net versus national laws		1
A. A story about eggs		1
B. Mapping the legal landscape		3
C. Who cares?		6
D. A conservative approach		11
2. The building blocks		13
A. Jurisdiction		13
B. Public law versus private law		19
C. The quest for the perfect link		20
3. Actual and possible solutions foreshadowed		24
A. Territoriality: country-of-origin and country-of-destination		24
B. The Achilles' Heel: limited enforcement jurisdiction		26
C. More global law or a less global internet: a simple choice		28
D. Code: a separate option?		30
2 Law: too lethargic for the online era?		33
1. National trademarks versus international domain names		33
2. The Internet's impact on law and regulation		35
A. The qualitatively new legal problems		35
B. The quantitatively new legal problems		37
C. The severity of the problems		39
3. Legal reasoning and legal change		41
A. Legal reasoning		41
B. Judicial reasoning: continuity and change		43
C. Legislative justification: change and continuity		45
4. The jurisdictional challenge		47
A. Is a website enough? Two schools of thought		47

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18408-3 - Jurisdiction and the Internet: A Study of Regulatory Competence over Online Activity

Uta Kohl

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

vi

CONTENTS

	B. Conservatism: a mere result of the judiciary's limitations?	52
	C. The best solution versus the least disruptive solution	56
5.	Law as an engine of, or brake on, change	58
	A. The floodgates argument	59
	B. The futility argument	62
	C. The cautious way forward	64
3	The tipping point in law	66
	1. Contract law: unaffected by online transnationality?	66
	2. The tipping point	69
	A. Evolution of law versus the tipping point	69
	B. Substantive justice versus formal justice	71
	3. The evolution of jurisdictional rules in private cases	74
	A. Adjudicative jurisdiction in consumer contracts: no gain without pain	74
	B. Pre-Internet refinements	79
	C. Internet refinements	82
	4. The evolution of jurisdictional rules in public cases	87
	A. Criminal jurisdiction	87
	B. Pre-Internet refinements	89
	The objective territoriality principle	89
	The 'reasonable' effects doctrine	91
	Return to a 'crude' effects doctrine	94
	C. Internet developments	96
	D. The common denominators	102
	The possibility of concurrent jurisdiction	102
	Insistence on enforcement jurisdiction	104
	Lack of international consensus: moral and cultural values	107
	5. The better path?	108
4	Many destinations but no map	111
	1. Notice of foreign legal obligations	111
	2. Foreseeability of foreign defamation law	115
	A. Foreseeability and the rule of law	115
	B. Absence of noticeable borders in cyberspace	117
	C. Actual access, even if minuscule	119
	D. Foreseeability of foreign law in respect of freely accessible sites	125
	Foreseeability of all destinations	127
	Foreseeability of foreign harm	129
	Foreseeability of specifically targeted destinations	134
	E. Two destination principles: their flaws and merits	138

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18408-3 - Jurisdiction and the Internet: A Study of Regulatory Competence over Online Activity

Uta Kohl

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

vii

3.	Foreseeability of foreign criminal law	141	
	A. Common rules but multiple interpretations	141	
	B. Foreseeability and the territoriality principle	143	
	C. Foreseeability of all destinations	145	
	D. 'Reasonable foreseeability': some conclusions	149	
4.	Actually foreseeing and knowing foreign law	153	
	A. Actual notice and the effectiveness of law	153	
	B. Traditional methods of publication of law	157	
	C. The failure of traditional methods in the online world	159	
5.	An afterthought	163	
5	The solution: only the country of origin?	164	
	1. The <i>exclusive</i> country-of-origin approach	164	
	2. Online gambling: foreign providers' local activities	167	
	A. The general rejection of the exclusive country-of-origin approach	167	
	Netherlands and Germany	167	
	European Union	168	
	United States	169	
	WTO and GATS	171	
	Australia	173	
	New Zealand	174	
	B. The exclusive country-of-origin approach and its flaws	175	
	The UK Gambling Act 2005	175	
	Loss of economic rewards	176	
	Forum-shopping and the race to the bottom	178	
	Shift of regulatory burden	181	
	No protection from harmful foreign content	182	
	Lowest common denominator	184	
	The special case of the Electronic Commerce Directive	184	
	3. Online gambling: local providers' foreign activities	190	
	A. Lack of cooperation in non-harmonised public law	190	
	B. The UK and Australia: good neighbours	193	
	4. An example to follow?	197	
6	The lack of enforcement power: a curse or a blessing?	199	
	1. Limited enforcement power: a blessing in disguise	199	
	2. Enforceability and legal compliance	203	
	A. Enforceability, not enforcement, matters	203	
	B. 'Voluntary' compliance without the threat of enforcement	206	
	C. Enforceability and why it really matters	207	
	3. Upholding local law despite foreign violations	210	

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-18408-3 - Jurisdiction and the Internet: A Study of Regulatory Competence over Online Activity

Uta Kohl

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

CONTENTS

A.	Cooperation in private law	210	
	Cooperation and regulatory restraint	212	
	Two interpretations of the 'public policy' exception	214	
B.	No cooperation in public law	218	
	The 'public law' taboo	218	
	Lack of power or lack of will?	221	
C.	Unilateral enforcement strategies	225	
	Symbolic prosecution without enforcement	225	
	Imposition of penalty on related local persons	226	
	Analogous prohibitions imposed on local intermediaries and end-users	227	
	Prohibition of supportive services by local actors	228	
	Blocking of foreign illegal content	229	
4.	The public-private law dichotomy and its lessons for cooperation	230	
	A. 'Public' and 'private' international law	231	
	B. The public-private law spectrum	233	
	C. Underlying concern: foreign State interest and involvement	238	
	Public versus private complainants	240	
	Public versus private cause of action	242	
	Public versus private remedy	245	
	The paradox	248	
5.	The future of cooperation	251	
7	A 'simple' choice: more global law or a less global Internet	253	
	1. The hidden choice	253	
	2. More global law	258	
	A. Harmonisation of competence rules?	259	
	B. Substantive harmonisation by design	262	
	Harmonisation through treaty	263	
	Harmonisation through deregulation	265	
	C. Substantive harmonisation by default	270	
	The country-of-destination approach	271	
	The country-of-origin approach	275	
	3. A less transnational Internet	278	
	A. Zoning in the country of origin	278	
	B. Zoning in the country of destination	283	
	4. Making the choice: a value judgment	287	
	<i>Bibliography</i>	291	
	<i>Index</i>	312	