John Locke’s Politics of Moral Consensus

The aim of this highly original book is twofold: to explain the reconciliation of religion and politics in the work of John Locke and to explore the relevance of that reconciliation for politics in our own time.

Confronted with deep social divisions over ultimate beliefs, Locke sought to unite society in a single liberal community. Reason could identify divine moral laws that would be acceptable to members of all cultural groups, thereby justifying the authority of government. Greg Forster demonstrates that Locke’s theory is liberal and rational but also moral and religious, providing an alternative to the two extremes of religious fanaticism and moral relativism.

This fresh new account of Locke’s thought will appeal to specialists and advanced students across philosophy, political science, and religious studies.

Greg Forster is a senior research associate at the Manhattan Institute for Policy Research.
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This book is dedicated with love and admiration to

Elizabeth Ann Forster
Plato has no hesitation in asserting that to be a philosopher is to love God.

It immediately follows that the seeker after wisdom (which is the meaning of “philosopher”) will only attain to happiness when he has begun to enjoy God.

Augustine of Hippo
*The City of God*, Book VIII, Chapter 10

I want to know God’s thoughts. The rest are details.

Albert Einstein
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