

Cambridge Series on Judgment and Decision Making

Conflict and Tradeoffs in Decision Making

What makes some decisions easy and others difficult? Current research in judgment and decision making indicates that conflict plays a crucial role in decision-making processes. The chapters in this book address questions about the causes of conflict and its effects on decision making and emotions, particularly (but not only) the emotion of regret. Several chapters address the role of attribute tradeoffs, such as that between money and risk, in the measurement of values for policy purposes. The chapters provide overviews of several current research programs and present new data. Methods involve answers to hypothetical scenarios, other questionnaires, interviews, and observations of behavior outside of the laboratory. Although most contributions are informed by psychology, some also take an economic or sociological approach.

Elke U. Weber is Professor of Management and Psychology at Columbia University. She is Associate Editor of *Organizational Behavior* and Human Decision Processes and coeditor of Risk, Decision, and Policy.

Jonathan Baron is a Professor of Psychology at the University of Pennsylvania. He is author of *Thinking and Deciding* and *Judgment Misguided* and coeditor of *Psychological Perspectives on Justice* (with Barbara Mellers).

Graham Loomes is a Professor of Economics at the University of Newcastle upon Tyne.



Cambridge Series on Judgment and Decision Making

Publications Board

Jonathan Baron, Chair University of Pennsylvania

Michael Birnbaum California State University

William M. Goldstein University of Chicago

Past Members

Hal R. Arkes, Chair Ohio State University

John S. Carroll Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Kenneth R. Hammond University of Colorado, Boulder

Don N. Kleinmuntz, Chair University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Lola Lopes University of Iowa

James Shanteau Kansas State University

The purpose of the series is to convey the general principles of and findings about judgment and decision making to the many academic and professional fields to which these apply. The contributions are written by authorities in the field and supervised by highly qualified editors and the Publications Board. The series will attract readers from many different disciplines, largely among academics, advanced undergraduates, graduate students, and practicing professionals.



Jane Beattie, 1960–1997.



Conflict and Tradeoffs in Decision Making

Edited by
Elke U. Weber
Columbia University

Jonathan Baron *University of Pennsylvania*

Graham Loomes *University of Newcastle upon Tyne*





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521176323

© Cambridge University Press 2001

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2001 First paperback edition 2011

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Conflict and tradeoffs in decision making / edited by Elke U. Weber, Jonathan Baron, Graham Loomes.

p. cm. - (Cambridge series on judgment and decision making)

Includes bibliographical references and indexes.

ISBN 0-521-77238-9 (hb)

Decision making.
 Conflict (Psychology)
 Weber, Elke U.
 Baron, Jonathan,
 Loomes, Graham.
 Series.

BF448.C655 2000 153.8'3 – dc21

99-087061

ISBN 978-0-521-77238-9 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-17632-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Cor	ıtributors	page ix
Pre	face and Dedication	xi
1	Introduction Jonathan Baron and Elke U. Weber	1
2	Predicting Perceived Differences in Tradeoff Difficulty Jane Beattie and Sema Barlas	25
3	The Enhancement of Feature Salience in Dichotomous Choice Dilemmas David A. Houston, Deborah Sherrill-Mittleman, and Matthew Weeks	65
4	The Impact of Emotional Tradeoff Difficulty on Decision Behavior Mary Frances Luce, John W. Payne, and James R. Bettman	86
5	Impulse Buying in Ordinary and "Compulsive" Consumer Helga Dittmar	s 110
6	What We Do When Decisions Go Awry: Behavioral Consequences of Experienced Regret Marcel Zeelenberg, J. Jeffrey Inman, and Rik G. M. Pieters	136
7	Decisions About Prenatal Screening Rosemary Murray and Jane Beattie	156
8	Talk About Tradeoffs: Judgments of Relative Importance and Contingent Decision Behavior William M. Goldstein, Sema Barlas, and Jane Beattie	175
9	Private Values and Public Policy Michael Jones-Lee and Graham Loomes	205
		vii



viii	Contents		
10	Measuring Value Tradeoffs: Problems and Some Solutions Jonathan Baron	231	
11	Decisions with Multiple Stakeholders and Conflicting Objectives Detlof von Winterfeldt	259	
12	Designing Websites to Empower Health Care Consumers <i>Mark D. Spranca</i>	300	
13	Interpreting Conflicts Between Intuition and Formal Models Deborah Frisch	323	
Ind	Index		



Contributors

- Sema Barlas 1153 Oak Ridge Dr., Streamwood, IL 60107
- Jonathan Baron Department of Psychology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104
- James R. Bettman Fuqua School of Business, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708
- Helga Dittmar Social Psychology, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9SN, UK
- Deborah Frisch Department of Psychology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1227
- William M. Goldstein Department of Psychology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 61820
- David A. Houston Department of Psychology, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152
- J. Jeffrey Inman Department of Marketing, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706
- Michael Jones-Lee Centre for the Analysis of Safety Policy and Attitudes to Risk (CASPAR), Department of Economics, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK
- Graham Loomes Department of Economics, University of Newscastle upon Tyne, Claremont Tower, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK
- Mary Frances Luce Marketing Department, Wharton School, Philadelphia, PA 19104
- Rosemary Murray 75 Havelock Rd., Brighton BN1 6GL, UK
- John W. Payne Fuqua School of Business, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708



x Contributors

Rik G. M. Pieters Department of Marketing, Tilburg University, 5000-LE Tilburg, The Netherlands

Deborah Sherrill-Mittleman Department of Psychology, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152

Mark D. Spranca Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, CA 90407

Detlof von Winterfeldt Decision Insights, Inc., Irvine, CA 92612

Elke U. Weber Department of Psychology and Graduate School of Business, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

Matthew Weeks Department of Psychology, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152

Marcel Zeelenberg Department of Business Administration, Tilburg University, 5000-LE Tilburg, The Netherlands



Preface and Dedication

On March 25, 1997, Jane Beattie died of cancer after a year-long illness. She is survived by her husband and three children. Her students and colleagues will also miss her deeply. She was a wonderful person; her positive attitude and her intellectual contributions inspired us all.

When she died, her former colleagues resolved to do something in her memory. After much discussion by electronic mail, we settled on a book and a memorial fund. The fund, which supports foreign scholars' travel to the United States, is now administered by Joshua Klayman of the University of Chicago for the Society for Judgment and Decision Making. (Contributions are welcome.)

The book evolved in the course of discussions with the Society and with Cambridge University Press. We decided to focus on the major theme of Jane's work (putting aside her interest in hypothesis testing), conflict and tradeoffs, and to attempt to represent the work of the major scholars in this field, some of whom never had the chance to collaborate with Jane, so that the book would also serve as a coherent presentation of the state of the field. The book also includes chapters in which Jane herself played a major role, sometimes as an author and sometimes as an author of collaborative work that is reviewed. We hope that this book will serve as a fitting memorial.

What follows are (slightly edited) memories of Jane, written soon after her death, for the newsletter of the European Association for Decision Making. The first was written by Jon Baron, with help from Elke Weber and Graham Loomes, and is intended as an overview. Two other recollections follow.



xii Preface and Dedication

Overview

Jane was my advisee in graduate school and my closest collaborator from the time she received her Ph.D. until her illness. Before I met her, she was an undergraduate at Sussex University and had worked for 2 years. She came to the psychology department at the University of Pennsylvania in 1983 at the recommendation of Dan Osherson: He recommended us to her and her to us, we all listened, and we were all grateful. Jane had another reason. Her boyfriend, David Weir, was also considering the University of Pennsylvania for graduate work in computer science. When she and David decided to marry, her spirits soared and her productivity increased.

Early in her graduate career she was interested in hypothesis testing, and she retained this as a secondary interest. Her first-year research project was a variation of the four-card problem, and the results were published in the *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*. She also worked with me and Jack Hershey on my own main interest at the time, biases in seeking information. Her office mate was Deb Frisch, with whom she formed a lasting friendship.

Jane soon got interested in what was to be the main theme of her work from that time on: tradeoffs in decision making. She wanted to know why some tradeoffs were difficult and why people did not like to make them. She hoped to find measures that would be sensitive to the difficulty, and she and I were still working on follow-ups when she became ill.

After she taught at Swarthmore for a year while David was finishing his Ph.D., they both got jobs in Chicago. David was in the computer science department at Northwestern University. Jane joined one of the best groups in her field, the Center for Decision Research, within the Graduate School of Business at the University of Chicago. There she worked with Bill Goldstein and Sema Barlas, following up her thesis on tradeoff difficulty. She also began a project with Mark Spranca, Jack Hershey, and me on what we called *decision aversion*, the desire to avoid making certain kinds of decisions. We collaborated entirely by e-mail. This was a new idea, and we all got somewhat carried away. We generated several megabytes of correspondence. Jane was always among the most computer literate people I knew, and I picked up many habits from her, such as that of using LATEX (a computer program for formatting text).

During their years at Chicago, Jane and David's first son, Sam, was born, and David developed lung cancer, of which he has now been free for a decade.



Preface and Dedication

xiii

Several factors led them to move back to England, including a desire to be near their parents and the greater availability and higher quality of child care. This decision involved tradeoffs, though, mainly in the form of a large salary cut for Jane. Jane was a (nonprobationary) lecturer in psychology and then in experimental psychology (1991) at the University of Sussex. Their second son, Gavin, was born, and Jane pursued several interrelated lines of research. With Helga Dittmar, she studied impulse buying in women and men. With me, she worked on in-kind versus out-of-kind compensation. With Rosemary Murray, she worked on decisions concerning fetal testing, yet another example of decision difficulty. With Marcel Zeelenberg, she worked on the psychology of regret.

In 1994 she also began a project with a group of economists – Graham Loomes, Robert Sugden, Chris Starmer, and Robin Cubitt – studying the consistency or inconsistency of preferences under risk and over time. While this work was in progress, she and Loomes joined with Michael Jones-Lee and Nick Pidgeon to investigate the value(s) people place on health and safety benefits in a variety of contexts. Amid all this activity, David and Jane's third child – a daughter, Hayley – was born.

In the spring of 1996, the team working on the health and safety project came to Pittsburgh to meet with several Americans consulting on this project, including me. Jane was to come too, and then Jane and I were going to spend some time working on range effects. I hadn't seen her for years, although we had been corresponding by e-mail almost daily. She had to cancel the trip at the advice of her doctor. At first, everyone thought it was Hodgkin's disease, and we hoped that she would recover soon. It turned out to be much worse: cancer with an unknown primary tumor. Jane and her doctors did everything possible – and there were some optimistic periods – but to no avail.

As is clear from this history, Jane was a wonderful collaborator. It is very encouraging that she has been recognized for her accomplishments, which were essentially all collaborative. She was good at everything and could fill in wherever her collaborators were weak, whether it was writing, statistics, or creative ideas. She was responsible and directed, yet warm and understanding. I also think she had extrasensory perception. For one of our papers, the reviewers asked us to check the interrater reliability of some coding that we had done on subjects' justifications. So I selected 20 cases at random, coded them, and sent them to her by e-mail. I was hoping for 70% agreement, as I felt that I had very little confidence in my own coding. A day later, her codes came back, and agreement was 100%.



xiv Preface and Dedication

Her death, just before her 37th birthday, brought great sadness to everybody who had the pleasure to know her. It has left a big gap in our lives. Things like this aren't supposed to happen, but they do. We were looking forward to many years of collaboration and friendship, but that is not to be. Her family, of course, feels the greatest loss.

Other Tributes from the Newsletter of the European Association for Decision Making

Jane Beattie became a member of the Executive Board of the European Association for Decision Making (EADM) at the Subjective Probability, Utility, and Decision Meeting (SPUDM) conference in Jerusalem in 1995. Her first task as a member of the executive board was to serve on the editorial committee of the selected proceedings, which were published in Acta Psychologica. Because of her illness, this was unfortunately the only time EADM was able to profit from her expertise and critical mind. I first met her in 1993 at the SPUDM conference in Aix-en-Provence, where she impressed us all with her presentation about decision aversion in relation to prenatal testing. A year later, she visited the Netherlands and also gave a talk at our Medical Decision Making Unit in Leiden. With the multidisciplinary audience that attended her talk, she had a lively discussion about the relevance of decision aversion and anticipated regret for medical treatment decisions now that patient involvement is becoming increasingly important. We on the board were very much looking forward to working with her. She had a clear mind, but above all she was a very pleasant person. Although we knew she was very ill, her death came as a shock. In her EADM has lost a valuable member, and we will miss her. We wish her family the strength to carry this loss.

> Danielle Timmermans Secretary/Treasurer EADM

Jane Beattie participated in a European network on decision making, and I was one of the lucky Ph.D. candidates who made several visits to Sussex to discuss research with her. Working with Jane was a superb experience. I first met her when she came to the University of Amsterdam to give a colloquium about her work on decisions about prenatal testing. At that time, Jane and I talked for half an hour about our common research interests. Around Christmas 1994 I visited Jane in Sussex for



PREFACE AND DEDICATION

xv

the first time when she was awaiting the birth of her youngest child, Hayley. Despite her busy professional and personal life, Jane found time (or better, made time) to discuss our ideas in detail. I recall our meetings as hard work and great fun. Hard work because Jane was a good sparring partner, asking the right questions at the right time (and providing the right answers as well). Great fun because Jane was generally optimistic, good-humored, and very supportive. We had written a research proposal that would enable me to move to Sussex and work more closely with her after I had finished my Ph.D. Tragically, this never happened. We did get the proposal funded, but Jane was already ill and had to stop working. We kept in touch during her illness by phone and e-mail. On these occasions, Jane was always cheerful and interested in other people. It was difficult to perceive her as an extremely ill person. I realized how serious her illness was after I visited her in early February 1997, a few weeks before she died. It still was a big shock when I learned about her death on 25 March. Jane was a truly good person. Losing her, both as a friend and as a colleague, is hard. I will miss her deeply.

Marcel Zeelenberg