

TERRORISM AND THE ETHICS OF WAR

Most people strongly condemn terrorism; yet they often fail to say how terrorist acts differ from other acts of violence such as the killing of civilians in war. Stephen Nathanson argues that we cannot have morally credible views about terrorism if we focus on terrorism alone and neglect broader issues about the ethics of war. His book challenges influential views on the ethics of war, including the realist view that morality does not apply to war, and Michael Walzer's defense of attacks on civilians in "supreme emergency" circumstances. It provides a clear definition of terrorism, an analysis of what makes terrorism morally wrong, and a rule-utilitarian defense of noncombatant immunity, as well as discussions of the Allied bombings of cities in World War II, collateral damage, and the clash between rights theories and utilitarianism. It will interest a wide range of readers in philosophy, political theory, international relations, and law.

STEPHEN NATHANSON is Professor of Philosophy at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts. He is the author of several books, including *Patriotism, Morality and Peace* (1993) and *Economic Justice* (1998), as well as numerous articles on issues in ethics and political philosophy.



TERRORISM AND THE ETHICS OF WAR

STEPHEN NATHANSON

Northeastern University





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

> > Cambridge University Press
> > The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521137164

© Stephen Nathanson 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-19995-7 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-13716-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> To my sister, Joan Spivak, and to the memory of our grandmother, Tillie Dender Levy



Contents

Acknowledgments	page ix
Introduction	I
PART I TERRORISM: WHAT'S IN A NAME?	9
I The problem of defining terrorism	II
2 Defining terrorism	24
3 What makes terrorism wrong?	30
4 Innocence and discrimination	40
5 "Who dun it" definitions of terrorism	59
Conclusion: taking stock	73
PART II WHY MORAL CONDEMNATIONS OF TERRORISM LACK CREDIBILITY Introduction: toward morally credible condemnations of terrorism	75
6 Why standard theories fail to condemn terrorism	79
7 Just war theory and the problem of collateral damage	94
Conclusion: categorical vs. conditional criticisms of terrorism	104
PART III DEFENDING NONCOMBATANT IMMUNITY Introduction: the ethics of war-fighting: a spectrum of possible vi	107 ews 109
8 The realist challenge to the ethics of war	II4

vii



viii	Contents	
9	An ethic of war for reasonable realists	125
IO	Walzer on noncombatant immunity as a human right	133
II	The supreme emergency exception	146
12	Rights theories, utilitarianism, and the killing of civilians	160
13	Immunity rights vs. the right of self-defense	175
14	A rule-utilitarian defense of noncombatant immunity	191
15	Why utilitarian criticisms of noncombatant immunity are mistaken	212
16	Is noncombatant immunity a "mere" convention?	229
PAR	T IV HOW MUCH IMMUNITY SHOULD NONCOMBATANTS	
	HAVE?	247
Intr	oduction: the problem of collateral damage	249
17	The problem of collateral damage killings	251
18	The ethics of collateral damage killings	269
	Conclusion: terrorism and the ethics of war	288
Bibl	liography	303
Inde	Index	



Acknowledgments

Some of the people who have influenced this book have done so by carrying out horrific attacks against other human beings. I acknowledge their influence but offer no thanks.

My thanks are due, however, to the many students in my courses on war-related issues, to my department colleagues, to conference organizers and others who have given me the chance to present my ideas in public. I also thank and apologize to the many people whose writings I have learned from. I have not been able to discuss most of them, and even when I discuss individuals, I have generally been unable to do full justice to their thinking in the text.

I thank everyone who has questioned, criticized, and encouraged me in this project. A few need special acknowledgment. Mike Meltsner sparked the idea of writing a book that focused on terrorism and provided moral support along the way. Igor Primoratz has been my long-distance colleague, supporter, and constructive critic since I started writing on these topics. I have benefited greatly from his writings and edited works on terrorism as well as his comments on a draft of this book. For comments on and encouragement of my work in this area, I am grateful to John Basl, David Benatar, Michael Burns, Bill Crotty, Bernard Gert, Bart Gruzalski, Ron Hirschbein, Marvin Kohl, Nelson Lande, Bruce Landesman, David Lyons, George Rainbolt, David Schmitt, James Sterba, Michael Tolley, and John Troyer.

I thank Northeastern University for a sabbatical during which I wrote drafts of large parts of the book, Susan Setta for a course reduction to help revisions along, the readers for Cambridge University Press for their extensive comments, and Hilary Gaskin for her interest in, and support of, the book.

I also thank my friends in Newton Dialogues on Peace and War for camaraderie in difficult times.



X

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-13716-4 - Terrorism and the Ethics of War Stephen Nathanson Frontmatter More information

Acknowledgments

My wife Linda remains my best friend and best supporter. I continue to be deeply grateful for her and to her.

The book incorporates ideas published in "Is the War on Terrorism a Defense of Civilization?" Concerned Philosophers for Peace Newsletter vol. 22 (Spring/Fall 2002); "Prerequisites for Morally Credible Condemnations of Terrorism," in William Crotty, ed., The Politics of Terror: The U.S. Response to 9/11 (Northeastern University Press, 2004); "Can Terrorism Be Morally Justified?," in James Sterba, ed., Morality in Practice, 7th edn (Wadsworth, 2004); "Is Terrorism Ever Morally Permissible? An Inquiry into the Right to Life," in M. Sellers and D. Reidy, eds., Universal Human Rights: Moral Order in a Divided World (Rowman and Littlefield, 2005); "Terrorism, Supreme Emergency, and Noncombatant Immunity: A Critique of Michael Walzer's Ethic of War," Iyyun: The Jerusalem Philosophical Quarterly 55 (January 2006); "Terrorism and the Ethics of War," in Steven Lee, ed., Intervention, Terrorism, and Torture: Challenges to Just War Theory in the 21st Century (Springer, 2006); and "War, Patriotism, and the Limits of Permissible Partiality," Journal of Ethics 13 (2009).