

Index

Note: page numbers in *italics* refer to figures and tables

- Academy of Experts,
 - hallmarks of good report, 6
- actuarial justice, 255, 256
- Adam Walsh Act (US, 2006), 193–194
- aggravating circumstances, 28, 29
- American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law (AAPL), xiii, 6, 16, 35, 267
 - Ethics Guidelines, 24, 57–58, 61, 128–129
 - Practice Guideline for Physician Impairment, 147
- American Board of Forensic Psychiatry (ABFP), 16
- American Law Institute, 15
- American Medical Association, 35, 267
 - expert testimony, 23
 - guides for disability assessment, 175–177, 176, 178–179
- American Psychiatric Association, 35, 267
 - Resource Document on Guidelines for Psychiatric Fitness for Duty evaluations of Physicians, 147
 - Task Force Report (patient violence), 236
- Americans with Disabilities Act (US, 1990), 172, 173
- antisocial personality disorder, malingering association, 241–242
- Antiterrorism and Death Penalty Act (1996), 197
- appendices, use of, 106
- applied scientific writing, 1
- Area under the ROC curve (AUC), 140
- aspirational ethics, 58
- attorney–client privilege, 44–45, 147
- attorneys
 - competence to proceed without, 103
 - employment issues, xv
 - initial contact, 22–26
 - interest in reports, xiv
 - interviewing procedure, 31
 - opposing, 17–18
 - pressure to change reports, xiv
 - retaining
 - bias, 90
 - function of report, 17, 18
- audience for report, 82
- audiotaping of interviews, 53
- Australia, 254, 256
- autonomy, 60
- beneficence, 60
- best interests of child, 158–159, 166
 - of evaluatee, 254
- bias, 90
 - civil litigation, 113
 - financial, xv
 - internal vs external, xiv
 - medical malpractice, 113
 - personal injury, 113
 - unconscious, xiv
- Board of Medicine
 - impairment evaluations, 154
 - physician impairment evaluation, 148
- capacity
 - diminished, 105
 - legal, 115–117
 - testamentary, 113, 116–117, 122, 123
 - see also* civil competence; criminal competence
- care
 - of insitutionalized persons, 196
 - standard of care violations, 150
- care-based approach to ethics, 61
- case
 - acceptance, 22–26
 - case study, 25–26
 - aggravating circumstances, 28–29
 - data obtaining/examination, 26–30
 - deposition testimony, 52
 - documents, 26
 - ethical view, 61–62
 - evidence marshaling, 31–32
 - interview procedure, 27
 - mitigating circumstances, 29, 70
 - opinion communication, 32–33
 - psycho-legal blueprint, 27
 - referral checklist, 25
 - resources, 26
 - role of psychiatrist, 24
 - SLED-OS acronym, 25
 - withdrawal from, 24
- casuistry, inductive methods, 60–61
- CHESS method of opinion formulation, 31, 32
- child abuse
 - custody, 168
 - parent evaluations, 168–169
 - reporting statutes, 38–42
- child custody, 158–171
 - access evaluation, 168
 - attorney role, 169–170
 - best interests of child, 158–159, 166
 - child's preference, 164–165
 - confidentiality, 161
 - cross-examination, 169–170
 - current difficulties, 162
 - evaluatory status/approach, 159–160

- information sources, 161–162
- interpretive sessions, 167
- interviews, 164–165
- legal test, 158–159
- nature of case, 159
- parent–child interaction
 - observation, 165
- parents, 162–164
 - evaluation in prior neglect/abuse, 168–169
- post-divorce evaluation, 167–168
- recommendations, 166–167
- sexual abuse, 168
- visitation, 168
- written reports, 160–169
- citing articles, 82
- civil commitment, 128, 193
 - conclusion for reports, 137–138
- conduct of evaluation, 128–131
- criteria, 134
- cross-examination, 138–140
- current events/circumstances
 - for report, 136
- decision-making, 134
- ethics and, 254
- evidence level, 218
- examination findings for
 - report, 136–137
- introduction to report, 135–136
- mental illness, 129, 134
- psychological testing for
 - reports, 137
- recommendations in reports, 137–138
- risk assessment, 137–138
- witness testament, 131–134
- written reports, 131–138
- civil competence, 112, 115–117, 123
 - testamentary capacity, 122
- civil litigation, 112–125
 - bias, 113
 - clinical practice guidelines, 124
 - conclusions, 122–123
 - cross-examination, 123–125
 - current events/
 - circumstances, 120–121
 - evaluation conduct, 112–113
 - examination findings, 121–122
 - Federal courts, 196–197
 - information sources for
 - medical malpractice, 117
 - institutionalised persons, 196
 - introductory material, 117–118
 - medical records, 114
 - evaluation, 115
 - prisoners, 196
 - psychic harm, 114–115, 120
 - recommendations, 122–123
 - report content, 114–116
 - retrospective evaluations, 125
 - testamentary capacity, 113, 116–117
 - see also* medical malpractice; personal injury
- Civil Rights Act (1964), 172, 173
- Clark case (People v. Clark 1990), 42
- Classification of Violence Risk (COVR), 130
- clinical practice guidelines, 114, 124
 - medical malpractice, 124
 - professional organizations, 267
- coercion, 266
- cognitive impairment, feigning, 203–204
- collateral sources, 42, 230
- command hallucination, 232
- commitment
 - conditional release, 194
 - criminal for defendants
 - posing danger, 191
 - involuntary, 193–195
 - preventative detention, 254, 266
 - see also* civil commitment; sex offender commitment
- common knowledge rule, 256, 258–259, 261
- communication function of
 - written report, 17–18
- compassionate professionals, 3
- compensation, 24
- competence
 - to practice, 145–156
 - conducting evaluation, 146–152
 - report writing, 146–152
 - see also* capacity; civil competence; criminal competence
- confession giving competency, 102
- confidentiality, 35–51
 - attorney–client privilege, 44–45
- collateral sources, 42
- copies of reports for
 - evaluatees, 53
- defense work, 37
- duty to third parties, 42–43
- ethics, 58
- evaluatee requests, 38
- group practice, 51
- Health Insurance and
 - Accountability Act, 37–38
- limits, 147
- principles, 37–38
- problems in practice, 47
- prosecution use of defense
 - experts, 43–46
- prosecution work, 36
- protected health
 - information, 37
- psychotherapist–patient
 - privilege, 43, 46–51
- release forms and notice of
 - privacy practices, 38
- reporting statutes, 38–42
- respect for, 65
- right to effective assistance of
 - counsel, 46
- secure facilities, 58
- sex offender evaluation, 130
- warning, 56, 118, 153
- within training programme, 51
- work product rule, 45
- consent
 - ethics, 56
 - malinger assessment, 243–244
 - respect and, 265
 - respect for, 65
- consultation question, 101
- consulting experts, 36
- contact, initial, 22–26
- context of forensic report, 2–7
- contingency fees, 24
- conversion disorder, 242
- core competency, 1–2, 68
- Council on Ethical and Judicial
 - Affairs (CEJA), 191

- countertherapeutic dimensions, 2–3
- courts
 expectations of experts, 5
 working for, 36
 see also Federal courts (US)
- credentialing of psychiatric practice, 19
- credentials (report author), 94
- criminal competence, 101–104
 to be executed, 104, 191–192
- confession giving, 102
- evaluation, 190
- to go *pro se*, 103
- restoration, 190–191
- to stand trial, 102–103, 190–192
- reasonable medical certainty, 220–221
- time of raising, 191
- Criminal Justice Act (UK, 2003), 255
- criminal litigation, 98–110
 additional material provision, 106
- alleged offense description, 108
- conclusion, 105
- conduct of evaluation, 101
- consultation question, 101
- content of report, 100–101
- criminal responsibility, 104–105
- diagnostic impression, 109
- distribution of report, 99
- extreme emotional
 disturbance defense, 105
- Federal courts, 189–193
- findings on examination, 108–109
- length of report, 99–100
- malingered, 109
 assessment, 104
- reasoning behind opinion, 109
- recommendations, 105
- reports, 106–109
- responses to legal questions, 109
- sentencing, 105–106, 193
- special considerations, 101–106
- structure of report, 106
- style of report, 100–101
- writing for the audience, 98–99
- criminal responsibility, 104–105
- diminished capacity, 105
- extreme emotional
 disturbance defense, 105
- mens rea*, 105
- not guilty by reason of
 insanity, 103–104, 192
- dangerousness evaluation after verdict, 105
- cross-examination, 13–14, 31
- child custody, 169–170
- civil commitment, 138–140
- civil litigation, 123–125
- employment issues, 183–184
- function of report, 18
- medical malpractice, 124
- culture, ethics, 57, 62
- dangerousness, 224
 evaluation after not guilty by reason of insanity verdict, 105
- preventative detention, 254–255
- data obtaining/examination, 26–30, 81–82
- aggravating circumstances, 28–29
- case example, 29
- cataloging, 27
- collateral, 42
- communication to attorney, 147
- documents, 26
- inconsistent, 84
- information gathering, 28
- integration, 266
- interview procedure, 27–29
- mitigating circumstances, 29
- PRAMS system, 29
- relevance, 85
- requested/not received, 27
- resources, 26
- supplemental information, 29
- data presentation in narrative, 70–71
- Daubert challenge, 123–124, 211–212
- criteria, 188, 259–260
- reasonable medical certainty, 218–219, 220–221, 222
- defense, psychiatric perspectives, 70
- defense experts
 prosecution precluded from use of defense information, 46
- prosecutorial use, 43–46
- defense work, 37
- prosecution precluded from use of defense expert information, 46
- defensive value of report, 18–19
- delusions, malingered, 246
- deposition testimony, 52
- depression, malingered, 247–248
- detention
 preventative, 254, 266
- see also* commitment
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 109
- Diagnostic Statistical Manual (DSM), 88, 109, 136, 139
- Diamond, Bernard, reasonable medical certainty interpretation, 217–218
- diminished capacity, 105
- diminished responsibility, 193
- disability
 AMA *Guides*, 175–177, 178–179
- discrimination, 172
- evaluation, 173–174
- ICF model, 175
- rating scales, 177
- disciplinary action, grounds for, 146
- disclosure, 36
- divorce, child custody, 158
 nature of case, 159
- draftsmanship, 81–91
 audience for report, 82
- brevity, 85–86
- clarity, 83–84
- draft reports, 90, 91
- editing, 91
- grammar errors, 91
- humanity, 86
- information sources, 82
- language use, 89–91
- opinion section, 86–88, 91
- organization of report, 82–83
- outlining, 83
- pitfalls, 89–91

- planning, 81–83
 - practical issues, 88–89
 - punctuation errors, 91
 - simplicity, 84–85
 - writing the report, 83–91
 - dual agency, xv, 254, 261–262
 - dual agent, ethical, 260–261
 - dual role, 257
 - duty of psychiatrists, 147
 - duty to third parties, 42–43
 - dyscompetence, 155
 - physician, 145, 150
 - editing of reports, 91
 - effective assistance of counsel, 46
 - emotional distress, garden
 - variety, 50–51
 - empathy, 3
 - employment issues, xv, 172–184
 - background for report, 182
 - concluding material in reports, 183
 - confidentiality warning, 181
 - cross-examination, 183–184
 - current events/
 - circumstances, 182
 - disability evaluations, 173–174
 - examination findings, 182
 - fitness for duty evaluations, 177–180
 - information sources, 181–182
 - potential claims, 173
 - purpose of report, 180–181
 - recommendations, 183
 - report, 180–183
 - Workers Compensation
 - evaluation, 174–177
 - Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 173
 - errors of fact/judgement, 120
 - ethics, 2–4, 23, 56–65
 - aspirational, 58–59
 - care-based approach, 50
 - casuistry, 60–61
 - civil litigation, 112–113
 - confidentiality, 58
 - consent, 56
 - culture, 57, 62
 - forensic practice, 58–60
 - guidelines, xiii, 24, 35, 57–58, 257
 - civil commitment, 128–129
 - medical, 63, 254
 - narrative, 60, 261–262, 266–267
 - principle-based approach, 61
 - professional integrity, 63–64
 - professional practice, 57, 62–64
 - respect, 64–65
 - robust professionalism, 58, 62–64
 - safeguards, 266, 267
 - sentencing, 254–262
 - traditional medical, 267
 - UK sentencing changes, 256–257
 - response from
 - psychiatrists, 257–259
 - US sentencing, 259
 - virtue, 3–4
- European Convention on Human Rights, 258
- evaluation, 3
 - child custody, 159–160
 - communication function, 17–18
 - competence to practice, 146–152
 - criminal competence, 190
 - individuals in litigation, 17
 - insanity, 192–193
 - pre-sentencing, 193
 - in prisons, 195
 - of psychiatric practice, 19
 - psychological testing, 204
- evaluators
 - civil litigation, 196
 - Federal courts, 188, 190
 - identity disclosure, 197
 - psychological report
 - summary, 208–209
- evaluatees
 - background, 119–120
 - civil litigation, 113
 - confidentiality requests, 38
 - copies of reports, 53
 - effect of report, 2–3
 - empathy towards, 3
 - function of report, 18
 - harm to, 59–60
 - humanity, xvi
 - humanization, 73
 - narration of story, 17
 - notice of privacy practices, 38
- obtaining clinical services, 3
- pressure to change reports,
 - xiv–xv
- privacy protection, xvi–xvii, 38
- release forms, 38
- in secure facilities, 27–28
- special needs, 27–28
- voice, 4–5
- evidence
 - admissibility, 259
 - marshaling, 31–32
 - case example, 31–32
 - reporting incompetence, 147
- evidence-based medicine, 219–220, 222
- execution, criminal
 - competence, 104, 191–192
- expert witnesses
 - appointment, 15
 - common knowledge rule, 258–259
 - courts' expectations, 5
 - defense, 43–46
 - groups, 35
 - misuse, 15–16
 - prosecutorial use of defense, 43–46
 - testimony, 13
 - admissibility, 259–260
 - Federal courts, 188–189
- expository narratives, 71, 78
- extreme emotional disturbance
 - defense, 105
- factitious disorder, 242
- false testimony, 23
- Federal Bureau of Prisons, 195
- Federal courts (US), 187–198
 - admissibility of testimony, 188
 - civil cases, 196–197
 - commitment, 191
 - compensation for experts, 189
 - competence
 - to be executed, 191–192
 - to stand trial, 190–192
 - criminal cases, 189–193
 - diminished responsibility, 193
 - evaluators, 188, 190, 196
 - fees, 189
 - insanity evaluation, 192–193

- Federal courts (US) (*cont.*)
 involuntary commitment,
 193–195
 levels, 187
 opinions, 188, 197–198
 presentencing evaluations,
 193
 report
 production, 197–198
 requirement, 189
 Supreme Court decisions,
 188–189
 testimony, 188–189
 Federal law, 187
 Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure, 197
 Federal Rules of Evidence, 47,
 188
 Federation of State Medical
 Boards, 23, 145
 feigning, psychological tests,
 203–204
 firefighters, fitness for duty
 evaluations, 179–180,
 183
 fitness for duty evaluations,
 177–180, 183
 categories, 178
 cross-examination, 184
 physicians, 178
 forensic issues, psychological
 tests/testing, 204,
 211–212
 forensic psychiatric file, 53
 forensic psychiatrists, 36
 limitations, 63
 perspectives articulation, 70
 forensicist, 257
 formulation narrative, 71, 78
 function of reports, xiii, 17
 contemporary, 19
 garden variety emotional
 distress, 50–51
 General Medical Council, 260
 Germany, 254
 grammar errors
 draftsmanship, 91
 narratives, 72
 Group for the Advancement of
 Psychiatry, 95
 group practice, confidentiality,
 51
 guardianship, 113, 116
*A Guide to the Essentials of a
 Modern Medical and
 Osteopathic Practice
 Act*, 145, 146
 guidelines, 6–7
 American Board of Forensic
 Psychiatry, 16
 clinical practice, 114, 267
 ethical, xiii, 24, 35, 57–58,
 257
 civil commitment,
 128–129
 Practice Guideline for
 Physician Impairment,
 147
*Guides to the Evaluation of
 Permanent Impairment*,
 6th Edition, 174–175
 guilds, 16
 Guiteau, Charles, trial, 14
 habeus corpus, 197
 hallmarks of good report, 6
 hallucinations, malingered, 246
 Hare Psychopathy Checklist
 Revised (PCL-R), 133,
 140, 232–233, 241
 personality traits, 234
 Health Care Organizations
 (HCO), 147
 impairment evaluations,
 147–148, 154
 Health Insurance Portability
 and Accountability Act
 (HIPAA), 28, 36,
 37, 38
 hearsay rule, 101
 hedge words, 89–90
 Historical Clinical Risk
 Management–20
 (HCR-20), 130
 historical uses/abuses of
 reports, 13–14
 honesty, xiii–xiv
 commitment to, 65
 hospitalization
 conditional release, 194
 imprisoned persons,
 193–195
 human rights, 258
 humanization of client, 73, 86
 hypochondriasis, 243
 identifying information, 94
 impairment of physicians,
 147–148
 authorization for release of
 health information, 149
 background, 154–155
 conclusions, 155
 current events/
 circumstances, 155
 diversion, 148
 examination findings, 155
 license suspension, 148
 peer reviewers, 150
 recommendations, 155
 report, 152–155
 treating physician
 responsibility, 152
 treatment, 151–152
 imprisoned persons,
 hospitalization,
 193–195
 incompetence, reporting, 147
 independent view, 4
 indeterminate sentence,
 254–256
 inference, 226
 information
 background for violence risk
 assessment, 230–232
 communication, 17
 embarrassing, 75
 identifying, 94
 inclusion in report, 85–86
 omission from report,
 85–86
 perjorative, 75
 potentially harmful, 58
 preliminary, 94
 public record, 53
 information sources, 82, 95,
 118–119
 child custody, 161–162
 collateral, 42, 195
 malingering, 244–245
 violence risk assessment,
 230
 employment issues, 181–182
 impairment of physicians,
 153–154
 medical malpractice, 117
 informed consent, malingering
 assessment, 243–244
 insanity
 evaluation, 192–193
 judging, 12–13
 not guilty be reason of, 104
 risk assessment, 192–193
see also criminal
 responsibility
 insight of patient into behavior,
 232–234

- institutionalised persons, civil suits, 196
- insurance
- function of report, 18–19
 - inability to work, 172–173
 - value of report, 18–19
- International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (WHO), 175
- interview procedure, 27–29
- civil litigation, 113
 - information gathering, 28
 - record keeping, 51–52
 - in secure facilities, 27–28
 - structure, 28–29
 - supplemental information, 29
 - videotaping, 53
- introductory material, 95
- involuntary treatment, 194
- involuntary treatment in Federal prisons, 194
- Jaffee v. Redmond, 43
- jail *see* secure facilities
- Joint Commission (on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations), 146
- judge, initial contact, 22–26
- jurisdictions, barriers to serving as expert witness, 23
- justice
- actuarial, 255, 256
 - allegiance to, 59
 - Criminal Justice Act (UK, 2003), 255
- knowledge, objective/scientific, 57
- language
- in narratives, 72–77
 - in reports, 89–91
- legal questions
- clarification in violence risk assessment, 229–230, 235
 - consultation, 101
 - identification, 23
 - responses to in criminal litigation, 109
- legal system
- allegiance to, 59
 - expectations, 4–5
 - lesser restrictive alternative, 134
 - license suspension, 148
 - sexual misconduct by physicians, 151
- lie
- of emotional evasion, 74, 76–77
 - of narrative cogency, 74
 - of texture, 74, 75–76
- life imprisonment, 254
- literature citations, 82, 124
- litigation *see* civil litigation; criminal litigation
- live testimony, 12
- lying, inadvertent, 73–75
- lie of emotional evasion, 74, 76–77
 - lie of narrative cogency, 74
 - lie of texture, 74, 75–76
- maleficence, 60
- malinger, 109, 240–251
- antisocial personality disorder association, 241–242
 - assessment, 104, 109
 - informed consent, 243–244
 - methods, 244–245
 - specific disorders, 245–248
 - warnings to patient, 243–244
- collateral information
- sources, 244–245
- definition, 240
- depression, 247–248
- differential diagnosis, 242
- empirical research, 268
- evaluation, 241, 243–248
- forensic vignettes, 248–251
- incidence, 241
- mental illness, 243
- assessment, 104, 109
- posttraumatic stress disorder, 246–247, 247
- psychological tests/testing, 203–204, 245
- psychosis, 245–246, 246
- report writing, 248–251
- secondary gain, 240–241
- subcategories, 240
- symptom feigning, 243
- Massachusetts, 134
- medical ethics, 63
- medical malpractice, 112, 113–114, 122–123
- bias, 113
 - clinical practice guidelines, 114, 124
 - cross-examination, 124
 - information sources, 117
 - reasonable medical certainty, 221
- medical needs, indifference to, 196
- Medical Practice Acts, 145, 147
- medical records
- civil litigation, 114
 - evaluation, 115
- medication
- competence restoration, 191
 - involuntary commitment, 194
- medication, involuntary, criteria, 191
- memory deficit, feigning, 203–204
- mens rea, 105
- mental disorder/illness
- civil commitment, 129, 134
 - malinger, 243
 - assessment, 104, 109
 - risk assessment, 256
 - sexual misconduct by physicians, 151
 - Social Security listing of disorders, 174
 - violence risk assessment, 231
- mental health treatment, function of report, 18
- mental instability, 255
- mental state examination, violence risk assessment, 232–234, 233
- military law, psychotherapist–patient privilege, 47–48, 49
- Military Rules of Evidence (MRE), 47
- Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory (MCMI), 130
- Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI), 130
- Miranda rights, 102
- misconduct, intentional, 18–19
- misdeemeanor reports, 105–106
- mitigating circumstances, 29, 70

- Model Code of Evidence (US), 15
 Uniform Rules of Evidence, 15–16
 moral relationships, 62
 morality, personal, 59
 Munchausen syndrome, 242
- narcissism, 24
 narration of story
 of evaluatee, 17
 individuals in litigation, 17
 narratives, 60–62, 264–268
 comprehensive evaluation, 77–78
 construction, 69–72
 creation, 60
 data presentation, 70–71
 ethics, 60, 261–262, 266–267
 expository, 71, 78
 formulation, 71, 78
 grammar errors, 72
 humanization of client, 73
 inadvertent lying, 73–75
 contextual lies, 74
 lie of emotional evasion, 74, 76–77
 lie of narrative cogency, 74
 lie of texture, 74, 75–76
 integration, 266
 language, 72–77
 for legal audience, 69
 limitations acceptance, 78
 punctuation errors, 72
 respect for persons, 265
 safeguards in construction, 77–78, 78
 separation, 266
 storytelling, 73
 writing, 78
 voice, 71
 negligence claims, 18–19
 neuroimaging, mild traumatic
 brain injury, 221
 New Penology, 255
 not guilty by reason of insanity, 105
 notice of privacy practices, 38
- objectivity, xiii–xiv
 barriers to, 24
 maintenance, 24
 narcissism, 24
 striving for, 61, 65
 obsessional thoughts, 232
 Old Penology, 255
- opinion
 communication, 32–33
 Federal courts, 188
 formulation, 31, 32
 section of report, 86–88, 91, 95–96
 sentencing outcome, 254
 violence risk assessment, 233–235
 oral testimony, function of
 report, 18
 original jurisdiction, 187
 origins of forensic reports, 11–13
- pain, voluntary/involuntary
 production, 243
 pain disorder, 242
 parent–child interactions, 165
 parents
 child custody cases, 162–164
 evaluation in prior child
 neglect/abuse, 168–169
 Parish Will case (US, 1856), 11–13, 14
 payment of experts (federal), 189
 peer review, xvi, 23, 261, 267
 peer reviewers
 dyscompetence, 155
 physician impairment, 150
 Penile Tumescence Testing (PTT), 130
 performative writing, 1, 72–73
 personal injury
 bias, 113
 evaluation, 123
 examination findings, 121–122
 personality traits, violence risk
 assessment, 234
 persons, respect for, 64–65
 perspectives
 articulations for forensic
 psychiatrist, 70
 defense, 70
 prosecution, 70
 phallometry, 130
 physical abuse, child custody, 168
 physicians
 dyscompetence, 145, 150
 fitness for duty evaluations, 178
 see also impairment of
 physicians
 planning of reports, 81–83
- police officers, fitness for duty
 evaluations, 179–180, 183
 Pollack, Seymour, reasonable
 medical certainty
 interpretation, 215–216
 post-modernism, 57
 post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), malingered, 246–247, 247
 practice standards, 6–7
 PRAMS system for data
 organization, 29
 preliminary information, 94
 preparation of report, 22–33
 case study, 25–26, 29
 complexity levels, 22
 data obtaining/examination, 26–30
 evidence marshaling, 31–32
 initial contact, 22–26
 opinion communication, 32–33
 opinion formulation, 31
 professional issues, 22
 presentencing evaluation, 193
 preventative detention, 254–256, 266
 primum non nocere, 224
 principles of forensic report
 writing, 2–7
 Prison Litigation Reform Act (US, 1995), 196–197
 prisoners, civil suits, 196
 prisons
 evaluation in, 195
 hospitalization of subject, 193–195
 privacy
 privacy rule, 37
 protection of evaluatees, xvi–xvii, 38
 respect for, 65
 see also confidentiality
 privacy practices, notice of, 38
 Private and Confidential, 90, 94
 privilege
 attorney–client, 44–45
 psychotherapist–patient, 42, 43, 49
 waiver of, 49
 pro se, 103
 professional governance, 260
 professional identity, 3–4
 professional integrity, 63–64
 professional organizations, 267

- professional organizations,
 clinical practice
 guidelines, 267
- professional practice
 ethical forensic practice,
 58–60
- ethics, 57, 62–64
- evolution, 2
- integrated approach, 63
- limitations, 63
- robust professionalism, 3, 58,
 62–64
- scope of practice, 23
- vulnerable individual
 protection, 64
- professional self-regulation, 16
- professionalism
 process, 60
- robust, 3, 58, 62–64
- prosecution
 perspectives, 70
- use of defense experts, 43–46
- prosecution work, 36
- protected health information
 (PHI), 37
- protection of public, 3
- psychiatric practice
 credentialing, 19
- duties, 258
- evaluation, 19
- professional governance, 260
- UK sentencing changes
 response, 257–259
- wider duties limits, 258–259
- psychiatrists
 duty of, 147
- forensic, 35–36
- limitations, 63
- perspectives articulation,
 70
- psychological test
 administration,
 210–211
- response to ethics of UK
 sentencing changes,
 257–259
- role of in case, 24
- treating, 35
- psychic harm, 114–115, 120
- psycho-legal blueprint of case,
 27
- psychological tests/testing,
 201–212
- advantages, 202–204
- conclusions, 207–208
- conditions, 207
- conflicting results, 209–210
- Daubert* standard, 211–212
- diagnostic clarification, 203
- dysfunction assessment, 202
- evaluation, 204
- exclusion of psychological
 content, 209
- feigning identification,
 203–204
- forensic cases, 211–212
- forensic issues, 204
- incorporating into report,
 206–209
- malingered, 245
- identification, 203–204
- measurement, 202
- psychiatrist administered,
 210–211
- psychological report
 summary by evaluator,
 208–209
- psychologist summary, 208
- purpose, 207
- questions not answered by,
 204–205
- referral for, 205–206
- results, 95
- selection, 201
- standardization, 202–203
- violence risk assessment,
 232–233
- Psychopathy checklist, *see* Hare
 Psychopathy Checklist
- psychosis, malingered,
 245–246, 246
- psychotherapist–patient
 privilege, 42, 43
- confidentiality, 46–51
- exceptions to, 49
- holder of privilege, 48–49
- military law, 47–48, 49
- waiver in Federal courts,
 49–51
- public health, 258
- punctuation errors
 draftsmanship, 91
- narratives, 72
- questions *see* legal questions
- Rapid Risk Assessment for Sex
 Offender Recidivism,
 133
- Rappeport, Jonas, reasonable
 medical certainty
 interpretation, 216–217
- re-offending
 future potential for, 255–256
- violence risk assessment, 226
- reasonable medical certainty,
 214–222, 268
- competence to stand trial,
 220–221
- contemporary views, 222
- Daubert* challenge, 218–219,
 220–221, 222
- definition, 214, 216
- empirical research, 268
- evidence-based medicine,
 219–220, 222
- interpretations, 215–218,
 222
- issues, 220–221
- level of confidence for
 psychiatric opinion,
 215, 216
- medical malpractice, 221
- mild traumatic brain injury,
 221
- origins, 214–215
- rethinking, 218–220
- shared professional
 experience, 215–216
- reasonable probability, 216
- receptive empathy, 3
- record keeping, 51–53
- final report, 52–53
- interview procedure, 51–52
- references, use of, 106
- reflections on reports, 1
- reflective empathy, 3
- release forms, 38
- remorse, lack of, 232
- report writers
 competence to practice,
 146–152
- self-identities, 3–4
- reporting statutes, 38–42
- reports *see* written reports
- research, empirical, 268
- respect
 ethical, 64–65
- for persons, xvi, 64, 265
- responsibility, diminished, 193
- risk assessment
 civil commitment, 137–138
- insanity, 192–193
- mental disorder, 256
- sentencing, 256
- sex offender evaluation, 131
- violence, 224–236
- risk management, 256

- Risk Matrix 2000, 133
 robust professionalism, 3, 58, 62–64
 role of psychiatrist in case, 24
 Royal College of Psychiatrists, 257–258, 260

 safeguards, ethical, 266, 267
 scope of practice, 23
 secure facilities
 confidentiality, 58
 interviewing in, 27–28
 self-identities of report writers, 3–4
 self-regulation, professional, 16
 Sell guidelines, 194
 sentencing, 105–106
 common knowledge rule, 258–259
 Criminal Justice Act (UK, 2003), 255
 ethics, 254–262
 future potential for
 re-offending, 255–256
 human rights, 258
 indeterminate, 254, 258
 sexually violent predators, 266
 opinion influence, 254
 preventative detention, 254
 professional governance, 260
 risk assessment, 256
 UK changes, 254–257
 USA, 259
 sex offender commitment
 background for report, 136
 conclusions for report, 138
 confidentiality, 130
 current events/
 circumstances, 136
 evaluation, 130–131
 landmark cases, 129
 laws, 128
 recommendations for report, 138
 report writing, 134–135
 risk assessment, 131
 sentencing, 254
 sexually violent predator, 266
 tests, 130–131, 133
 Sex Offender Needs
 Assessment Rating
 (SONAR), 133
 Sex Offender Risk Assessment
 Guide (SORAG), 133

 sexual abuse, child custody, 168
 sexual misconduct by
 physicians, 145–146
 assessment, 150–151
 sexual preference testing, 130
 Sexual Violence Risk-20
 (SVR-20), 133
 sexually dangerous persons, 193–194
 sexually violent predator, 266
 skill development, 1–2
 SLED-SOS referral checklist, 25
 Social Security Act, 173
 Social Security Disability, 173
 adjudication, 174
 benefits, 174
 evaluations, xv
 Social Security listing of mental disorders, 174
 somatoform disorders, 242
 sovereign immunity doctrine, 196
 special arrangements, 24–25
 standard of care violations, 150
 standing trial, competence, 102–103, 190–192
 reasonable medical certainty, 220–221
 State Medical Boards, 145
 state medical society, 148
 Static-2002, 133
 Static-99, 133
 statutory regulation of reports, 14–16
 storytelling, 73
 substance use, violence risk
 assessment, 231–232
 supplemental information, 29
 Supplemental Security Income, 174
 adjudication, 174
 Supreme Court (US)
 decisions, 188–189
 reasonable medical certainty
 concept, 214

 Tarasoff question, 42–43
 test results, 95
 testamentary capacity, 113, 116–117, 122
 evaluation, 123
 testimony
 false, 23
 Federal courts, 188–189
 testimony of expert witnesses
 admissability, 259–260
 Federal courts, 188–189
 historical views, 11–15
 Texas, 139
 therapeutic role, xv
 third parties, protection
 of, 42
 thought content, violence risk
 assessment, 232
 tort claims, 173
 training programme,
 confidentiality, 51
 traumatic brain injury (TBI),
 mild, 221
 treating psychiatrists, 35
 treatment, involuntary
 commitment, 194
 trial, competence to stand,
 102–103, 190–192
 reasonable medical certainty,
 220–221
 truth seeking, 59
 truth telling, objective/
 subjective, xiv, xv
 truthfulness, resistance to
 pressures, xvi

 UK sentencing changes,
 254–257
 ethics, 256–257
 response from
 psychiatrists, 257–259
 ultimate issue, 98
 ultimate-issue rule, 214–215
 interpretations, 215–218
 Uniform Testimony Act (US), 15
 US Department of Defense
 Sanity Board, 100
 USA
 Federal courts, 187–198
 origins of forensic reports,
 11–13
 sentencing ethics, 259

 videotaping
 Federal evaluation process,
 194–195
 of interviews, 53
 violence, transactional aspects, 224
 violence risk assessment,
 224–236
 actuarial, 131, 134
 anamnestic, 225–226
 approaches, 225–226

- background information, 230–232, 231
- causation-based, 226–227, 228–229, 230–231
- clinical, 131, 228
- correlation-based, 226, 228–229
- evaluation
 - conceiving, 225–229
 - conduct, 229–235
- history of patient, 230
- insight of patient into behavior, 232–234
- instruments, 227, 232–233
- integration of methods, 228–229
- mental disorder, 231
- mental state examination, 232–234, 233
- opinion, 233–235
- personality traits, 234
- predictive validity, 227–228
- psychological testing, 232–233
- qualitative aspects, 229–230
- question clarification, 229–230, 235
- rating scales, 227, 228, 233–234
- recidivism, 226
- reference group comparison, 234
- report request context, 225
- social circumstances, 231
- structured approach, 131, 227–228
- substance use, 231–232
- thought content, 232
 - see also* risk management
- virtue ethics, 3–4
- Visual Reaction Time Testing (RT)
- voire dire* (federal), 188
- vulnerable individuals, protection, 64
- withdrawal from case, 24
- witness role, xiii
 - civil commitment, 131–134
- Woolf reforms, 4, 5
- work product rule, 45
- Workers' Compensation
 - cases, 180–181
 - cross-examination, 183–184
 - evaluation, 174–177
- World Health Organization (WHO) International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), 175
- written performance, 68
- written reports
 - appendices, 106
 - audience, 82
 - background
 - child custody, 162
 - civil commitment, 136
 - for civil litigation, 119–120
 - for criminal litigation, 107–108
 - employment issues, 182
 - impairment of physician, 154–155
 - body of report, 95
 - brevity, 85–86
 - child custody, 160–169
 - data section, 163
 - civil commitment, 131–138
 - background, 136
 - conclusions, 137–138
 - current events/circumstances, 136
 - examination findings, 136–137
 - introduction, 135–136
 - psychological testing, 137
 - recommendations, 137–138
 - civil litigation, 112–125
 - confidentiality warning, 118
 - content, 114–116
 - clarity, 83–84
 - communication function, 17–18
 - concluding material, 96
 - child custody, 165–166
 - employment issues, 183
 - impairment of physician, 155
 - conclusions in civil litigation, 122–123
 - confidentiality warning, 153
 - child custody, 161
 - employment, 181
 - content, 69, 100–101
 - criminal competence, 101–104
 - criminal litigation, 98–110
- current events/circumstances
 - civil litigation, 120–121
 - employment issues, 182
 - impairment of physician, 155
- data section, 163
- distribution, 99
- draft reports, 52–53, 90, 91
- draftsmanship, 81–91
- editing, 91
- employment, 180–183
- examination findings
 - child custody, 162–165
 - civil litigation, 121–122
 - employment issues, 182
 - impairment of physician, 155
- Federal courts
 - civil suits, 197–198
 - criminal suits, 189
- forms of writing, 72–73
- function, 17, 19
- grammar errors, 91
- historical arguments for, 11
- history, 107–108
- humanization of client, 73, 86
- identifying information, 94
- impairment of physicians, 152–155
- information sources, 82
 - civil litigation, 118–119
- interpretive sessions for child custody, 167
- introductory material, 95
 - child custody, 160–161
 - civil commitment, 135–136
 - civil litigation, 117–118
 - criminal litigation, 107
 - impairment of physicians, 152–153
- language, 89–91
- length, 96, 99–100
- literature citation, 124
- malingering, 248–249
- opinion section, 86–88, 91, 95–96
 - reasoning behind, 109
- organization, 82–83
- outlining, 83
- pitfalls, 89–91
- planning, 81–83
- preliminary information, 94
- psychological test
 - incorporation, 206–209

280	Index	
written reports (<i>cont.</i>) psychologist summary, 208 punctuation errors, 91 purpose for employment issues, 180–181 recommendations child custody, 166–167 civil litigation, 122–123 employment issues, 183 impairment of physician, 155 role of, 17–19 sex offender commitment background for report, 136	conclusions for report, 138 confidentiality, 130 current events/ circumstances, 136 evaluation, 130–131, 134–135 laws, 128 recommendations for report, 138 report writing, 134–135 risk assessment, 131 tests, 130–131, 133 sharing with opposing counsel, 16	simplicity, 84–85 special considerations, 101–106 structure, 93–96, 106, 119, 153, 161, 181 style, 100–101 understandable to law/ public, 68–69 writing, 83–91 for the audience, 98–99 <i>see also</i> information sources; narratives Yale University, release forms and notice of privacy practices, 38