

Index

- abnormal crowding hypothesis, visual deficits and, 78
- academic achievement
 - intervention strategies based on, 142
 - IQ levels and, 19
 - risk identification for reading disability and, 135
 - working memory intervention and, 62–63
- academic skills
 - dyslexia diagnosis and, 21
 - working memory intervention and, 62–63
- ACID profile (arithmetic, coding, information, and digit span subtests), 20–21
- Adi, Y., 155–156
- advocacy groups, definitions of dyslexia and, 6–7
- age-based dynamics
 - dyslexia and, 6–7
 - genetics of dyslexia and, 111, 119–121
 - genetics of reading disability and, 111, 115
 - interventions in reading disability and, 137–138
 - rapid automatized naming and, 50
 - resistance to intervention and, 139–149
 - in risk identification for reading disability, 131–132
- Ahissar, M., 12–13, 64–65, 78–79, 83–85
- Albion, E., 155–156
- allophonic perception, reading disability and, 67–68
- Al Otaiba, S., 131–132, 135
- alphabetic principle, risk identification for reading disability, 133–134
- alphanumeric systems, rapid automatized naming and, 50–51
- alternative interventions for dyslexia, 152–160
- American Academy of Ophthalmology, 155–156
- American Academy of Pediatric
 - Ophthalmology and Strabismus, 155–156
- American Academy of Pediatrics, 155–156
 - on visual stress, 73–74
- American Association of Certified Orthoptists, 155–156
- American Psychiatric Association (APA), dyslexia classification and, 8
- American Psychological Association, resistance to reform in, 23
- analytical validity, genetics of dyslexia and, 111, 115, 119–121
- anchoring deficit hypothesis, dyslexia and, 78–79
- Andrist, C. G., 177
- Anglocentric focus in dyslexia research, 7
- angular gyrus
 - dyslexia linked to, 2–3
 - reading-brain model research and, 91–93
- assessment of dyslexia
 - overview of, 123–165
 - specialist skills for, 149–151
- assistive listening devices
 - auditory processing training and, 68
 - reading disability remediation, 151–152
- asymmetric tonic neck reflex, dyslexia linked to, 152–155
- “attentional blink” phenomenon, 74–81
- attentional factors
 - in dyslexia, 86–87
 - in reading disability, 74–81
- attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)
 - auditory processing and, 66
 - dyslexia and, 12–13
 - reading disability and, 160–164
- auditory cortex ectopias
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
 - reading-brain model research and, 91–93
 - voxel-based morphometry of, 95–96
- auditory interventions, for dyslexia management, 157–158
- auditory processing
 - causal relationships in, 66–67
 - current research issues in, 81

260 Index

- functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
- intervention programs for dyslexia and, 157–158
- phonological awareness and, 64–68
- visual processing influence on, 71–72
- automaticity deficits
 - dyslexia and, 81–83
 - interventions for improvement of, 136–139
- automatic word recognition, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 44
- Baddeley, A. D., 57–58
- balance/postural stability, dyslexia and, 81–83
- Barth, A. E., 134–135
- Beattie, R. L., 65–66
- behavioral difficulties
 - dyslexia and, 12, 36–38
 - genetics and, 118
 - reading disability and, 177–182
 - training studies in improvement of, 104–105, 107–110
- Ben-Yehuda, G., 12–13
- Berlin, Rudolf, 2
- Bertrand, D., 54
- biochemical factors in dyslexia, 170–171
 - magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies, 103–104
- biofeedback interventions, for dyslexia and reading disability, 158–159
- biological factors in dyslexia, 26–27, 36–38, 170–171
 - science and politics of, 175–177
- Bishop, D., 64–65
- Blomert, L., 51–52
- Bogliotti, C., 67–68
- bottom-up processing
 - attentional factors, 74–81
 - auditory processing and, 64–68
 - deficits in, 78–79
 - philosophical debate over, 124–129
- brain anatomy
 - current and future research on, 107–110, 172
 - diffusion tensor imaging of, 96–97
 - magnetic resonance imaging of, 94–95
 - reading-brain model of dyslexia, 93–97
 - voxel-based morphometry, 95–96
- brain-damaged students, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 47
- brain function
 - combined PET/fMRI brain imaging of, 101–102
 - cross-linguistic brain imaging studies, 105–106
 - current and future research issues on, 107–110
 - current and future research on, 172
 - dyslexia linked to, 3–4
 - electrophysiological studies, 102–103
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging, 99–101
 - magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies, 103–104
 - magnetoencephalography studies, 103
 - perceptual-motor training and, 152–155
 - positron emission tomography studies, 99
 - postmortem studies, 91–93
 - reading-brain model and, 89–91
 - studies of, 97–104
- brain plasticity, functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
- Breteler, S., 158–159
- British Dyslexia Association, 1–4, 32
- British Psychological Society, Working Party on dyslexia, 8–9
- Broadbent, William (Sir), 1–2
- Brooks, G., 5–6, 36
- Bruder, J., 67–68, 71
- Butterworth, B., 32
- Butterworth and Kovas, 32
- candidate genome regions
 - current and future research on, 119–121
 - gene identification, 115
 - molecular studies of reading disability, 112–114
- Catts, H. W., 133–134
- causal explanations of dyslexia, 26–27, 172–173
 - phonological deficit hypothesis and, 46
- central executive, working memory and, 57–58, 60–62
- cerebellar hypothesis
 - brain function studies and, 97–104
 - perceptual-motor training and, 152–155
- cerebellum
 - magnetic resonance imaging of, 94–95
 - psycho-motor processing and, 81–83
 - reading-brain model research and, 91–93
- cerebral asymmetry
 - dyslexia research on, 93–97
 - magnetic resonance imaging of, 94
- cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), voxel-based morphometry, 95–96
- Chiappe, P., 54
- Chinese readers
 - auditory processing in, 67–68
 - cross-linguistic brain imaging studies, 105–106

- gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence in, 33–34
- Churchill, Winston, 23–24
- classroom environment
 - intervention and role of, 149
 - risk identification for reading disability and, 135–136
- classroom intervention, reading competency and, 18
- clinical utility, genetics of dyslexia and, 119–121
- clinical validity, genetics of dyslexia and, 119–121
- cognitive process, dyslexia and, xiii–xiv, 36–38, 42–87
 - attentional factors, 74–81
 - current research in, 179–180
 - educational intervention and, 85–86
 - low-level sensory processing, 63–74
 - multifactorial factors in, 83–85
 - phonological deficit hypothesis, 42–49
 - psycho-motor processing, 81–83
 - rapid naming and double deficit research, 49–56
- cognitive testing
 - IQ discrepancy model, 17–24
 - response to intervention model and, 29–30
- coherent motion perception, visual processing and, 70–71
- colored lenses, visual stress management and, 155–156
- complementary interventions for dyslexia, 152–160
- Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing*, 133–134
- Compton, D. L., 30, 132–133, 135–136
- computer technology
 - auditory interventions using, 157–158
 - dyslexia intervention using, 151–152
- Congenital Word-Blindness* (Hinshelwood), 2
- “Congenital Word Blindness” (Morgan), 2
- Conlon, E. G., 72–73
- Connor, C. M., 128–129
- conserved non-coding elements (CNEs), molecular studies of reading disability and, 118
- contextual cues, teaching of reading and, 125–126
- Cooke, A., 9
- corpus callosum
 - diffusional tract imaging of, 96–97
 - magnetic resonance imaging of, 94
- correlation studies, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 46
- cortical surface area and thickness, reading brain studies, 93–97
- Covington, M. V., 23–24
- Crain, S., 47
- Crisfield, J., 32
- Crombie, M., 134–135
- cross-linguistic studies
 - genetics of reading disability and, 111
 - reading-brain model and, 105–106
- cross-sectional studies, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 46
- cueing effect
 - sluggish attention hypothesis, 76
 - teaching of reading and, 125–126
- cultural factors in dyslexia, science and politics of, 175–177
- Danish readers
 - molecular studies of reading disability and, 112–114
 - phonological awareness in, 52–53
- data-driven hypothesis testing, intervention assessment, 148
- DDAT (Dyslexia, Dyspraxia, Attention Treatment Program), 152–155
- decoding ability
 - dyslexia and, 6–7, 160–164
 - interventions for improvement of, 136–139
 - rapid naming and, 52
 - reading fluency and, 144–146
- Denckla, M., 49
- Denton, C. A., 140
- developmental disabilities, genome structural variations and, 117
- developmental dyslexia
 - definitions of, ix
 - genetics of reading disability and, 115–116
- developmental dysphasia, dyslexia and, 25–26
- Deweyian educational theory, 124–129
- diagnosis of dyslexia. *See also* assessment of dyslexia
 - academic skills and, 21
 - current debate concerning, 168–169, 180–181
 - end of dyslexia and, 177–182
 - IQ discrepancy model, xiii–xvii, 10
 - pedagogic value of, 160–164
 - political motivations in, 39–40
 - psychometric assessment, 20–21
 - response to intervention model, 23, 27–31
 - specificity hypothesis, 35
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* (DSM-5), 168–169, 177–182
 - dyslexia classification and, 8
 - learning disability defined in, 34–35

262 Index

- Diehl, J. J., 48
 dietary supplementation, dyslexia
 management and, 156–157
 diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), brain anatomy,
 96–97
 DiGeorge syndrome, 117
 Digit Span Coding, 20–21
 working memory and, 57–58
 discrepancy model, reading competency and
 IQ levels, 17–24
 DNA markers
 genome structural variations and, 117
 molecular studies of reading disability,
 112–114
 DORE programme. *See* DDAT (Dyslexia,
 Dyspraxia, Attention Treatment Program)
 double deficit hypothesis
 dyslexia and, 51–52
 statistical analysis of, 54
 visual attention span, 77–78
 Duff, F. J., 43
 Dyck, M., 72–73
 dynamic assessment techniques, risk
 identification for reading disability and,
 135
 Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy
 Skills (DIBELS), risk identification for
 reading disability, 133–134
 dyslexia
 assessment and intervention, xiv–xv
 case studies in, 2
 causal definitions of, 26–27
 cognitive process and, xiii–xiv, 36–38,
 42–87
 complementary and alternative approaches
 to intervention in, 152–160
 conceptual ambiguities concerning,
 39–40
 criticism of research on, ix–x, 1–4
 current debate concerning, 166–175
 definitions of, 4–17
 diversity of theories concerning, 38–39
 early research on, 2
 exclusionary definitions of, 13
 genetic bases for, 110–122
 high intelligence levels linked to, 22–24,
 169
 inadequacy of terminology for, 177–182
 interdisciplinary approach to, x
 language disorders and, 25–26
 learning disabilities and, 16, 34–36
 origins of terminology, 2
 other reading disorders and, 7
 prevalence of, 31–34
 reading and IQ discrepancies and, 17–24
 resistant dyslexia, interventions for,
 139–149
 risk identification in children for, 129–139
 science and politics surrounding, xv
 self-protective concealment of, 23–24
 symptoms of, 13–14
 terminology involving, 40–41, 168,
 177–182
 Dyslexia Early Screening Test (DEST), 134
 Dyslexia Foundation of New Zealand, 32
 “dyslexia-friendly” schools movement,
 160–164
The Dyslexia Myth (television program),
 166–167
 dyslexia probands, genetics of reading
 disability and, 112
 early intervention in reading disability
 assessment of, 138–139
 importance of, 136–139
 early learning skills, reading performance and,
 44–45
 Edison, Thomas, 23–24
 educational services. *See also* special
 education services
 cognitive dysfunction in dyslexia and,
 85–86
 current assessment for dyslexics of, 171
 working memory and, 62–63
 Einstein, Albert, 23–24
 Elbro, C., 52–53
 electroencephalography (EEG)
 brain function studies, 102–103
 training studies using, 104–105
 electrophysiological studies, brain function,
 102–103
 Elliott, J., 1–4, 13–14, 62–63, 135, 160–164
 endophenotypes, phonological deficit
 hypothesis and, 48–49
 Enfield, M. L., 168
 English readers
 auditory processing in, 67–68
 combined PET/fMRI brain imaging studies
 of, 101–102
 cross-linguistic brain imaging studies,
 105–106
 phonological awareness in, 83–85
 rapid automatized naming correlation for,
 50
 reading fluency in, 144–146
 environmental experiences
 dyslexia linked to, 26–27
 genetics of reading disability and, 115–116
 intervention and role of, 149
 phonological deficit hypothesis and, 46

- risk identification for reading disability and, 135–136
- epigenetic genome regulation, reading disability genetics and, 119
- event-related potentials (ERPs), brain function studies, 102–103
- explicit phonological processing, 43
- Facoetti, A., 80
- false negative results, in risk identification for reading disability, 130–131
- false positive results
 - genetics of reading disability and, 116–117
 - in risk identification for reading disability, 130–131
- family sampling, genetics of reading disability and, 112
- Fast ForWord program, xvi
- Fast ForWord* system, 157–158
- fatty acid interventions, dyslexia management and, 156–157
- Fawcett, A. J., 81–83, 134
- Fernald, Grace, 3–4
- Finnish readers
 - computer and assistive technologies for, 151–152
 - phonological awareness in, 52–53
- Fletcher, J. M., 7, 29–30, 32, 52, 149–151
- “flexible scaffold” theory, molecular studies of reading disability and, 118
- floor effects, risk identification for reading disability, 133–134
- Foorman, B. R., 26–27
- fractional anisotropy analysis, brain anatomical studies using, 96–97
- Franceschini, S., 76–77
- French readers
 - cross-linguistic brain imaging studies, 105–106
 - dyslexia and memory deficit in, 61–62
- Friedmann, N., 74–81
- Frith, U., 36–38
- Fuchs, D., 30, 148
- Fuchs, L. S., 135
- functional imaging (generally)
 - brain function studies, 99–101
 - combined PET/MRI brain imaging, 101–102
 - current and future research issues using, 107–110
- functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)
 - brain function studies, 99–101
 - electrophysiologic studies combined with, 102–103
 - short term and working memory studies, 56
 - training studies using, 104–105
- Garside, S., 168
- gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence, 33–34
 - reading-brain model research and, 91–93
- generalist gene hypothesis, molecular studies of reading disability and, 114–115
- genetics and dyslexia
 - future research issues in, 115–116, 119–121, 170–171
 - molecular studies of reading disability and, 112–114
 - reading difficulty in high-IQ students and, 18
 - reading disability and, 110–122, 177–182
 - relative risk estimates, 112
- geniculate nuclei, reading-brain model research and, 91–93
- genome variability
 - genetics of reading disability and, 111
 - reading disability and, 88
 - structural variations, 117
- Germany, gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence in, 33–34
- Gerretsen, P., 51–52
- Geschwind, N., 91–93
- gifted dyslexics, media perceptions of, 23–24
- Gillingham, Anna, xvi, 149–151
- global processing, rapid automatized naming and, 55
- Goodman, K. S., 124
- Goswami, U., 65
- grapheme-phoneme mapping, visual deficits and, 68–74
- gray matter (brain), voxel-based morphometry, 95–96
- Greenspan, S., 159–160
- Gresham, F. M., 29–30
- Grigorenko, E. L., 160–164
- Hale, J. B., 29–30
- Hallahan, D. P., 3
- Hambly, H., 152–155
- Hari, R., 74–81
- Haskins lab, auditory interventions development at, 157–158
- Hayiou-Thomas, M., 43
- Heaton, P., 10
- heritability estimates
 - dyslexia and, 172
 - genetics of reading disability and, 111, 116–117
- Hinshelwood, James, 2, 31
- Hitch, G., 57–58

264 Index

- Hulme, C., 43
 Human Genome Project, 121
 Hyatt, K. J., 152–155
 Hyde, C., 155–156
 Hyperlexia, defined, 6–7
 hypo-activation mechanisms
 combined PET/fMRI brain imaging studies
 of, 101–102
 magnetoencephalography studies, 103
- implicit phonological processing, 43
 individualized interventions
 assessment of, 138–139, 146–147
 resistant reading disability and, 140–141
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
 (IDEA), 129–139
 Individuals with Disabilities Education
 Improvement Act (IDEIA) (U.S.),
 learning disability defined in, 34–35
 information and communications technology
 (ICT), dyslexia intervention using,
 151–152
 Information subtest, dyslexia and, 16
 intellectually disabled students, interventions
 for, 144
 International Dyslexia Association, 168–169,
 177–182
 causal explanations of dyslexia, 26–27
 prevalence statistics of, 32
 interpretivist research, teaching of reading and,
 126–127
 interventions in dyslexia and reading disability
 in auditory processing, 66–67, 157–158
 biofeedback interventions and, 158–159
 complementary and alternative approaches
 to, 152–160
 computer and assistive technologies for,
 151–152
 evidence-based theories on, 174–175
 fatty acid interventions, 156–157
 individualized vs. standardized approaches,
 138–139
 for older disabled readers, 142–144
 overview, xiii–xvii, 123–165
 pedagogic value of diagnosis, 160–164
 perceptual-motor training system, 152–155
 recommendations for, 166–175
 resistance to, strategies for reducing,
 139–149
 risk identification for dyslexia and, 129–139
 skills requirements for, 148–149
 teaching methods for, 149–151
 visual interventions, 155–156
 intransigent reading disability, interventions
 for, 139–149
- inventory-based screening, risk identification
 for reading disability, 133–134
 IQ discrepancy model
 demise of, 167–168
 dyslexia diagnosis and, xiii–xvii, 10
 reading competency and, 17–24
 IQ scores
 cognitive assessment and, 179–180
 learning disability linked to, 22
 professional resistance to limitations of, 23
 research selections based on, 22
 Italian readers
 computer and assistive technologies for,
 151–152
 cross-linguistic brain imaging studies,
 105–106
- Jackson, G., 158–159
 Jackson, S., 158–159
*Joint Statement – Learning Disabilities,
 Dyslexia, and Vision*, 73–74
 Juel, C., 128–129
 Juul, H., 52–53
 Jyväskylä Longitudinal study, phonological
 deficit hypothesis and, 46–47
- Kamhi, A., 181–182
 Katch, E. L., 128–129
 Kavale, K. A., 28–29, 152–155
 Kendell, R. E., 31–32
 Kerhel, N., 74–81
 Kersting, K., 23
 Khoury, M. J., 119–121
 kinesthetic interventions, perceptual-motor
 training and, 152–155
 Kirby, J. Georgiou et al., 51–53
 Kirk, S. A., 34–35
 Kovas, Y., 32
 Kussmaul, L. A., 1–2
- lack of asymmetry hypothesis, reading-brain
 model research, 91–93
 Lallier, M., 80
 Landerl, K., 17, 71–72, 78–79
 language-based deficits
 dyslexia and, xiii–xvii, 4
 multisensory interventions and, xvi
 language comprehension
 auditory processing and, 64–68
 cross-linguistic brain imaging studies and,
 105–106
 current and future research involving,
 107–110
 whole language approach to, 124–129
 word recognition and, 25–26

- language disorders
 - auditory interventions for, 157–158
 - auditory processing and, 64–68
 - dyslexia and, 25–26
 - phonological deficit hypothesis and, 47
 - reading-brain model research and, 91–93
- Language in the National Curriculum*, 126
- learning disability
 - ACID profile and, 20–21
 - definitions of, 34–35
 - diagnosis of, 27
 - dyslexia in relation to, 16, 34–36
 - IQ ranges linked to, 22
 - prevalence of, 35
 - response to intervention (RTI) model and, 27–31
 - risk identification for, 130–131
 - science and politics of, 175–177
- learning disorder, dyslexia and, 8
- Lee, R., 134
- left posterior brain system, functional
 - magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
- letter identification
 - abnormal crowding hypothesis, 78
 - attentional factors, 74–81
 - multisensory teaching techniques for, 149–151
- letter-sound integration, functional magnetic
 - resonance imaging studies, 99–101
- letter-to-sound decoding, phonological deficit
 - hypothesis and, 44
- Levitsky, W., 91–93
- Liddle, E., 158–159
- Linan-Thompson, S., 149–151
- Lipka, O., 36
- listening comprehension, reading and, xiii–xvii
- literacy
 - dyslexia and problems in, 5, 160–164
 - risk identification for reading disability and levels of, 131–132
 - working memory and, 57
- longitudinal studies
 - auditory processing, 66–67
 - of interventions in reading disability, 137–138
 - phonological deficit hypothesis and, 46–47
 - reading-brain model, 107–110
 - sluggish attention hypothesis, 76
- long-term memory (LTM), reading disability
 - and, 56
- low-level sensory processing
 - attentional factors, 74–81
 - auditory processing, 64–68
 - current research issues in, 81
 - dyslexia and, 63–74
 - visual processing, 68–74
- magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), brain
 - anatomical structure, 94–95
- magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS),
 - brain function studies, 103–104
- magnetoencephalography (MEG) studies
 - brain function, 103
 - training studies using, 104–105
- magnocellular dysfunction
 - attentional factors, 74–81
 - brain function studies and, 97–104
 - critique of theories on, 72–73
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
 - visual processing and, 69–73
 - visual stress and, 73–74
- maintenance of performance, interventions for
 - resistant dyslexia and, 139–149
- Manis, F. R., 65–66
- Massaoud-Galusi, S., 67–68
- mathematical difficulties, dyslexia and, 16–17
- Mathes, P. G., 138–139
- “Matthew effect”
 - reading fluency and, 144–146
 - underestimation of cognitive potential and, 19
 - visual stress and, 73–74
- Mattson, P. D., 152–155
- McArthur, G. M., 64–65
- McCardle, P., 121
- McLean, G. M. T., 72–73
- McPhillips, M., 152–155
- Meares-Irlen syndrome, 73–74
 - visual intervention techniques, 155–156
- Melby-Lervig, M., 45, 62
- Mendelian laws, genetics of reading disability
 - and, 116–117
- Menghini, D., 83–85
- Mercer, C., 3
- meta-analytic analysis, of auditory
 - interventions, 157–158
- metacognitive strategies, reading fluency and, 145–146
- Miller, B., 121
- “miscue analysis,” teaching of reading and, 125–126
- missing heritability, genetics of reading
 - disability and, 116–117
- molecular studies
 - conserved non-coding elements (CNEs), 118
 - genetics of reading disability and, 112–114
- Moll, K., 17

266 Index

- Moore, E., 78
 Morgan, P. L., 12
 Morgan, W. Pringle, 2
 Morris, R. D., 145–146
 Morrison, F. J., 128–129
 motivation, reading and, 5–6
 motor deficits
 dyslexia and, 81–83
 risk identification for reading disability and, 134–135
 multifactorial factors in dyslexia, 83–85
 current research on, 173–174
 multisensory attentional deficits, reading disability and, 80
 multisensory reading interventions, research on, xvi
 multisensory teaching methods, dyslexia assessment and intervention, 149–151
 multistage assessment strategies, risk identification for reading disability and, 131–132
 mythology of dyslexia, 166–167
 naming speed. *See* rapid automatized naming (RAN)
 National Early Literacy Panel, 62
 National Reading Panel, 127
 assessments of dyslexia teaching, 149–151
 nature vs. nurture debate, dyslexia and, 5–6
 Netherlands
 phonological deficit hypothesis and dyslexia studies in, 46–47
 prevalence of dyslexia in, 32
 neurobiology and dyslexia
 auditory processing and, 68
 biofeedback interventions and, 158–159
 brain anatomical structure studies, 93–97
 current and future research involving, 107–110, 170–171
 future promise of, 122
 genetic bases of reading disability, 110–122
 low-level sensory processing, 63–74
 magnocellular system, visual processing and, 69–73
 postmortem studies of, 91–93
 reading brain model and, 88–110
 reading disability and, xiv, 88–122, 177–182
 scotopic sensitivity, visual stress and, 73–74
 neuronal network
 reading-brain model and, 89–91
 reading-brain model research and, 91–93
 New Zealand
 gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence in, 33–34
 prevalence of dyslexia in, 32
 Nicolson, R. I., 81–83, 134, 152–155
No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), 129–139
 non-curriculum-based interventions for dyslexia, 152–160
 nonword testing, working memory and, 57–58
 Northern Ireland, dyslexic readers in, 152–155
 objects, rapid automatized naming and, 50–51
 occipital lobe structure, reading-brain model research and, 91–93
 oculomotor problems, visual processing and, 69
 Oganian, Y., 78–79
 older students
 intervention strategies for, 142–144, 147–148
 pedagogic value of dyslexia diagnosis in, 160–164
 reading fluency interventions in, 144–146
 one-to-one tutoring programs, interventions based on, 136–139
 opaque languages
 double deficit hypothesis and, 51–52
 reading instruction in, 128–129
 optical reversibility theory, challenges to, xvi
 oral language comprehension, reading disability and, 25–26
 oral reading fluency, risk identification for reading disability, 133–134
 orthography studies
 cross-linguistic brain imaging studies, 105–106
 gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence in, 33–34
 phonological decoding, 43–44
 rapid automatized naming and, 50–51, 53
 Orton, Samuel, xvi, 3–4, 31, 91–93, 149–151
 outcome assessment, genetics of dyslexia and, 119–121
 output deficit, phonological awareness and, 47
 overlearning technique, dyslexia assessment and intervention, 149–151
 “Pamela Phelps (P.P.)” case, 123, 160–164
 Pammer, K., 72–73
 parental education, 177
 genetics of reading disability and, 115–116
 parietal lobe structure, reading-brain model research and, 91–93
 parvocellular pathway, visual processing and, 69–73
 Paulses, E., 105–106
 Pearson, P. D., 126–127
 peer interactions, intervention strategies for older disabled readers and, 142–144

- Pennington, B. F., 83–85
 perception, auditory processing and, 65
 perceptual-motor training, dyslexia
 intervention with, 152–155
 PHAB (Phonological Analysis and Blending)
 system, reading fluency and, 145–146
 PHAST (phonological and strategy-based)
 system, reading fluency and, 145–146
 phonemes, auditory processing and, 67–68
 phonics
 interventions based on, 136–139
 philosophical debate over, 124–129
 phonological awareness
 auditory processing and, 64–68
 brain function studies and, 97–104
 corpus callosum anatomy and, 94
 debate over teaching of reading and,
 124–129
 dyslexia predictability and, 62
 gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence
 in, 33–34
 interventions for development of, 136–139
 longitudinal studies and, 46–47
 multifactorial factors in dyslexia and, 83–85
 rapid automatized naming and, 52–53
 reading competency and, 42–49
 risk identification for reading disability and,
 133–134, 135
 training studies in improvement of,
 104–105
 visual attention span hypothesis and, 77–78
 working memory and, 59
Phonological Awareness Test, 133–134
 phonological deficit hypothesis
 bidirectional consequences and relationship
 in, 46
 cognitive process and dyslexia, 42–49
 contradictory studies to, 47
 dominance of, 86–87, 173
 double deficit hypothesis and, 51–52
 functional magnetic resonance imaging
 studies and, 99–101
 non-dyslexia reading disabilities and, 48
 short term and working memory and,
 56–57
 visual attention span, 77–78
 phonological loop
 verbal short-term memory and, 58–59
 working memory and, 57–58
 Pinker, Steven, 125
 planum temporal
 magnetic resonance imaging of, 94
 reading-brain model research, 91–93
 politics of dyslexia
 inadequacy of terminology and, 177–182
 learning disability diagnosis, 35
 scientific debate and, 175–177
 in special education policy, 27, 28–29
 teaching of reading and role of, 126–127
 poor cerebral dominance hypothesis, 91–93
 positron emission tomography (PET)
 brain function studies, 99
 combined PET/fMRI brain imaging,
 101–102
 postmortem brain function studies, dyslexia
 research and, 91–93
 Poulsen, M., 52–53
 Powell, D., 54
 pre-literacy studies, visual deficits, 76–77
Pre-School Screening Test (U.K.), 134
 Pressley, M., 125–126
 prevalence of dyslexia, 31–34, 169
 resistant dyslexia, statistics on, 140
 preventive intervention in dyslexia, risk
 identification in children and, 129–139
 primary intervention strategies
 assessment of, 140
 risk identification for reading disability and,
 130
Primary Movement Programme, 152–155
 primary visual cortex, reading-brain model
 research and, 91–93
 processing limitation hypothesis, 47
 processing speed
 rapid automatized naming and, 54
 reading competency and, 42–43
 proprioceptive signal perception, dyslexia and,
 81–83
 prosodic cues, auditory processing and, 65
 pseudoword reading
 early intervention in reading disability and,
 136–139
 rapid automatized naming and, 53
 resistant reading disability and, 140–141
 psycholinguistics, teaching of reading and,
 124–129
 psychometric assessment
 limitations, in dyslexia diagnosis, 20–21
 response to intervention model vs., 27–31,
 40
 psycho-motor processing
 brain function studies and, 97–104
 dyslexia and, 81–83
 quantitative genetics, reading disability and,
 116–117
 quantitative intervention strategies, 148
 Ramus, F., 47, 48, 83–85
 hypothesis, phonological awareness and, 47

268 Index

- rapid automatized naming (RAN)
 - challenges to, 54
 - critical reception of, 86–87
 - double deficit hypothesis and, 51–52
 - dyslexia and, 49–56
 - predictability of dyslexia and, 62
 - risk identification for reading disability and, 135
- rapid processing hypothesis, auditory processing, 64–68
- RAVE-O (Retrieval, Automaticity, Vocabulary, Engagement with language, and Orthography) system, 145–146
- reading aloud, dyslexia management using, 149–151
- reading-brain model, 88
 - anatomical structure studies, 93–97
 - brain function studies and, 97–104
 - cross-linguistic imaging research, 105–106
 - current and future research issues, 107–110
 - genetic bases of reading disability and, 110
 - postmortem studies, 91–93
 - research overview, 88–110
 - training studies, 104–105
- reading competency
 - auditory processing skills and, 67
 - developmental context for, 118
 - dyslexia goals and, 6–7
 - IQ scores and, 17–23, 24
 - philosophical debate over teaching of, 124–129
 - phonological components of, 42–49
 - rapid automatized naming and, 49–56
 - reading-brain model and, 89–91
 - written expression vs., 8
- reading comprehension
 - gene isolation for deficits in, 117
 - terminology for, 177–182
 - working memory and, 57
- reading difficulty
 - magnocellular deficits and, 72–73
 - multisensory attentional deficits and, 80
 - rapid automatized naming correlation with, 50
 - terminology for, 40–41
- reading disability
 - anchoring deficit hypothesis, 78–79
 - auditory processing and, 65–66
 - brain anatomical studies and, 93–97
 - brain function studies and, 97–104
 - complementary and alternative approaches to intervention in, 152–160
 - cross-linguistic brain imaging studies and, 105–106
 - dyslexia vs., xvi–xvii, 5
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
 - genetic bases of, 110–122
 - international dimensions of, ix
 - interventions for, 136–139
 - key factors in, 10
 - neurobiological basis for, xiv, 88–122
 - resistant disability, interventions for, 139–149
 - risk identification in children for, 129–139
 - short-term and working memory and, 57–58
 - terminology for, 40–41, 177–182
 - training studies in remediation of, 104–105
 - visual processing deficits and, 68–74
- reading disorders, dyslexia vs. other forms of, 7
- Reading First* program (Florida), 133–134
- reading fluency
 - dyslexia and, 7, 15–16
 - interventions for developing, 144–146
 - terminology for, 177–182
- Read Naturally* program, 140–141
- Ready to Learn* screening system, 134
- region-of-interest analyses, brain anatomical studies using, 96–97
- Reid, G., 134–135
- relative risk estimates, genetics of reading disability and, 112
- Renvall, A., 74–81
- repetition testing
 - auditory processing, 64–68
 - dyslexia assessment and intervention and, 149–151
- resistant reading disability
 - interventions for, 139–141, 149, 169–170
 - prevalence of, 140
 - secondary intervention strategies, 140
- response to intervention (RTI) model
 - dyslexia diagnosis and, 23, 27–31
 - efficacy of, 40
 - limitations of, 28–29
 - resistant reading disability and, 140–141
 - risk identification for reading disability and, 129–139
 - third tier interventions and, 142
- Responsive Reading Instruction*, 140–141
- Reynolds, C. R., 29–30, 152–155
- Rice, M., 5–6, 36
- rime awareness, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 45
- rise time sensitivity
 - auditory processing and, 65
 - reading disability and, 65–66
- risk identification techniques, reading disability assessment

- interventions based on, 136–139
- overview of, 129–139
- RNA, molecular studies of reading disability and, 118
- Romani, C., 77–78
- Rose Report (U.K.) on dyslexia, 8–9, 14–15, 160–164, 167
- Saine, N. L., 151–152
- sampling techniques
 - auditory processing research and, 66–67
 - magnocellular system research, 72–73
- Santi, K., 133–134
- Savage, R. S., 59, 61, 81–83
- Scammacca, N., 142–144, 145
- Schmidt, John, 1–2
- school attendance, dyslexia and, 11
- Schulte-Körne, G., 71
- science of dyslexia, political debate over, 175–177
- scotopic sensitivity
 - visual intervention techniques, 155–156
 - visual processing and, 73–74
- screening techniques
 - risk identification for reading disability, 133–134
 - risk identification for reading disability and, 131–132
- secondary intervention strategies
 - overview of, 136–139
 - for resistant disability, 140
 - risk identification for reading disability and, 130
- second-language readers, interventions for, 144
- self-protective behaviors
 - intervention strategies for older disabled readers and, 142–144
 - in parents of dyslexic children, 160–164
 - science and politics of dyslexia and, 175–177
 - underachievement linked to, 160–164
- self-protective behaviours, concealment of dyslexia and, 23–24
- Semiclaes, W., 67–68
- sex-linked prevalence of dyslexia, 33–34
- Shankweiler, D., 47
- Shaywitz, B. A., 2, 16, 31–32, 33–34, 146
- Shaywitz, S., 29–30, 146
- short-term memory (STM)
 - dyslexia and, 56–63, 86–87
 - phonological deficit hypothesis and, 45
 - reading competency and, 42–43
- Shvimer, L., 74–81
- Siegel, L. S., 36, 53, 175–177
- silent reading, dyslexia management using, 149–151
- Singleton, C. H., 149–151, 155–156
- Skottun, B. C., 69–73
- Skoyles, J., 69–73
- Slavin, R. E., 151–152
- sluggish attentional shifting (SAS), dyslexia and, 74–81
- Smith, S. D., 112–114
- Snow, C. E., 128–129
- Snowling, M. J., 32, 42, 48–49, 159–160
- socio-economic disadvantage
 - dyslexia and, 10–11, 172
 - science and politics of dyslexia and, 175–177
- Soler, J., 175–177
- Spain, gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence in, 33–34
- special education services. *See also* educational services
 - current assessment for dyslexics of, 171
 - dyslexia assessment and teaching, 27, 149–151
 - IQ scores and eligibility for, 23
 - labeling problems in, 180–181
 - risk identification and early intervention, 129–139
 - science and politics of dyslexia and, 175–177
- specificity hypothesis, learning disability diagnosis and, 35
- specific language impairments (SLI), dyslexia and, 25–26
- specific learning disorder
 - current terminology involving, 168–169
 - defined, 8
 - terminology for, 177–182
- speech difficulties, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 47
- speech sound disorder (SSD)
 - auditory processing and, 67–68
 - dyslexia and, 25–26
- speech sounds, phonological deficit hypothesis and, 44–45
- spelling, terminology for, 177–182
- Sprenger-Charolles, L., 67–68
- Stainthorp, R., 55, 69
- standardized interventions, assessment of, 138–139, 146–147
- Stanovich, K. E., 6–7, 20–21, 23, 42–43, 182
- statistical analysis
 - multifactorial factors in dyslexia and, 83–85
 - prevalence of dyslexia and, 32–33
- Stein, J., 69–73
- Steinberg, E., 177

270 Index

- Sternberg, R. J., 160–164
 Steubing, K. K., 18
 stimulus sequences, discrimination, visual processing and, 70–71
 strephosymbolia, terminology of, 3–4
 String, Graham, 1–4
 Strong, G. K., 157–158
 subtest profile analysis, dyslexia diagnosis and, 20–21
 superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), diffusional tract imaging of, 96–97
 Swanson, H. L., 58–59, 60, 61
 symbol matching tasks, visual attention span theory and, 77–78
 Symbol Search subtest, 20–21
 Szenkovits, G., 47
- tactile difficulties, dyslexia and, 81–83
 Tainturier, M., 80
 Talcott, J. B., 71–72
 Tallal, P., xvi, 64, 157–158
 teacher identity, whole language approach to reading and, 126
 teaching skills
 for dyslexia assessment and teaching, 149–151
 intervention and importance of, 148–149
 temporal acuity, visual processing and, 70–71
 temporal contrast sensitivity, visual processing, 70–71
 temporal cortex, functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
 Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), risk identification for reading disability and, 133–134
 text presentation, attentional deficits and, 75–76
 thalamic structure, reading-brain model research and, 91–93
 Thomson, M., 20–21
 Tønnessen, F. E., 27
 top down processing
 attentional factors, 74–81
 auditory processing and, 64–68
 philosophical debate over, 124–129
 Torgesen, J. K., 26–27, 160–164
 Torppa, M., 52–53
 Townsend, J., 149–151
 tractography, brain anatomical studies using, 96–97
 training studies, reading disability, 104–105
 transparent languages
 double deficit hypothesis and, 51–52
 phonological deficit hypothesis and, 45
 rapid automatized naming and, 50–51
 reading fluency in, 144–146
 reading instruction in, 128–129
 Tunmer, W., 43
 “two-group” hypothesis, 17–24
 two-step gated procedure, risk identification for reading disability and, 132–133
- U.K. House of Commons Science and Technology Committee, 160–164
 United Kingdom
 dyslexia terminology in, 181–182
 gender discrepancies in dyslexia prevalence in, 33–34
 pedagogic value of dyslexia diagnosis in, 160–164
 prevalence of dyslexia in, 32
 United States
 politics of reading teaching in, 127
 risk identification for reading disability in, 129–139
 U.S. National Institutes of Child Health and Human Development
 causal explanations of dyslexia, 26–27
 prevalence of dyslexia, 32
 U.S. National Institutes of Health, 32
 U.S. National Research Council, reading disability definitions and, 5–6
- Vaessen, A., 51–52
 Van Bergen, E., 22–24, 32
 Vaughan, A. E., 29–30
 Vaughan, S., 149–151
 Vellutino, Frank, xiii–xvii, 21, 23, 69, 136–139, 160–164
 verbal short-term memory
 phonological deficit hypothesis and, 45
 phonological loop and, 58–59
 reading disability and, 59–60
 Vidyasagar, T. R., 72–73
 visual attention span hypothesis, 77–78
 computer and assistive technologies and, 151–152
 Visual-Auditory-Kinesthetic-Tactile (VAKT) procedure, dyslexia assessment and intervention, 149–151
 visual deficits
 dyslexia and, xiii–xvii
 multisensory interventions and, xvi
 pre-literacy studies, 76–77
 rapid automatized naming and, 55
 visual intervention techniques, dyslexia management and, 155–156
 visual memory, dyslexia linked to, 2–3, 60–62
 visual persistence, visual processing and, 70–71

Cambridge University Press
 978-0-521-11986-3 - The Dyslexia Debate
 Julian G. Elliott and Elena L. Grigorenko
 Index

[More information](#)

Index

271

- visual processing
 - attentional factors, 74–81
 - auditory processing influence on, 71–72
 - brain function studies and, 97–104
 - current research issues in, 81
 - dyslexia and, 68–74, 172–173
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging studies, 99–101
 - magnocellular system role in, 69–73
 - visual attention span hypothesis and, 77–78
 - visual stress/scotopic sensitivity, 73–74
- visual-spatial domain
 - verbal short-term memory and, 60–62
 - working memory and, 56–58
- visual stress
 - visual intervention techniques, 155–156
 - visual processing and, 73–74
- vocabulary skills, dyslexia and, 16
- voxel-based morphometry (VBM), brain anatomical structure, 95–96
- Vukovic, R. K., 53
- Wagner, R. K., 15–16, 26–27, 59
- “wait to fail” models, risk identification for reading disability and, 130
- Wanzek, J., 142–144
- Watkins, M. W., 20–21
- Wechsler Intelligence Scales
 - ACID profile and, 20–21
 - dyslexia and, 16
- What Works Clearinghouse*, 140
- White, S., 10
- whole-genome linkage scan, molecular studies of reading disability, 112–114
- whole language teaching approach, debate concerning, 124–129
- whole word sight reading, debate concerning, 125–126
- Why Johnny Can't Read* (Flesh), 125–126
- Wilkins, A. J., 155–156, 168
- Willburger, E., 71–72, 78–79
- Willcutt, E. G., 83–85
- Winterton, P., 10
- WISC-IV subtest, dyslexia profiling and, 20–21
- Wolf, M., 51–53, 145–146
- Woodcock Reading Mastery Test (WRMT-R), 136–139
- “word-blindness,” early definition of dyslexia as, 1–2
- word identification fluency (WIF), risk identification for reading disability and, 132
- word reading accuracy, improvement techniques for, 144–146
- word recognition
 - intervention strategies based on, 142
 - language comprehension and, 25–26
 - reading instruction and, 128–129
- working memory
 - dyslexia and, 56–63, 86–87
 - educational intervention and, 62–63
- Wright, C. M., 72–73
- written expression, reading competency vs., 8
- Yule, W., 181–182
- Ziegler, J. C., 50–51, 54, 77–78
- Zorzi, M., 78
- Zumeta, R. O., 133–134