

## CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	page xiii
<i>List of cases</i>	xv
<i>List of legislation</i>	xxii
<b>Introduction</b>	1
The scope of the discussion	3
The format for discussion	6
<b>1 Autonomy: variations on a principle</b>	10
Principle in practice: the elevation of autonomy in medical ethics	11
A shift in focus	12
Responses in the ethics literature	14
The role of autonomy in ethical guidance for professionals	15
Autonomy: the philosophical antecedents	16
A Kantian conception of autonomy	17
John Stuart Mill: the classic liberal view	19
The limitations of traditional autonomy	22
Autonomy, agency and the nature of the subject	23
Normative critiques of autonomy	33
The limitations of the traditional view: some conclusions	40
Autonomy as an 'achievement'	41
Autonomy and adequacy of choice	41
Agency, empowerment and autonomy-building	45
Conclusion	47
<b>2 Autonomy in the law</b>	49
Locating the legal right	50
	ix

A right in action: autonomy, consent and the right to refuse	52
A right to refuse treatment	53
Treatment refusal: behind the rhetoric	57
Dealing with concerns about agency	59
Limiting the legal right	65
Gaps in the law's approach	70
Autonomy and positive obligations	77
Autonomy as a positive right in the European Court of Human Rights	77
Information and communication: obligations in the law of tort	80
Conclusion	88
<b>3 Capacity: the gatekeeper for autonomy</b>	<b>90</b>
Capacity in the law: the normative choices made	91
A functional, decision-specific test	92
A presumption of capacity	93
The relevant abilities: understanding, reasoning and authenticity	94
The irrelevance of outcome	101
The role of risk	102
Limitations of the 'liberal account' of capacity	108
Capacity: a relational phenomenon	108
Outcome, risk and uncertainty	114
Atomised tasks and the functional approach	119
Authenticity and egosyntonicity	123
Alternatives to capacity	125
Vulnerability as an alternative to capacity	125
Significantly impaired decision-making	128
Conclusion	130
<b>4 Capacity assessment in practice</b>	<b>131</b>
Components of the capacity requirement	132
The ability to understand information	133
The ability to retain information	138
The ability to use and weigh information	139
The abilities in summary	147
Judicial determinations, process and the role of expert evidence	147

## CONTENTS

xi

The process for formal assessment	148
The role of expert evidence	152
Delegation of capacity assessment under the MCA	156
Who are the assessors?	157
Assessing capacity: what the MCA expects	158
Assessing the assessors	160
Dealing with assessors' limitations	169
Improving the quality of assessments	170
Conclusion	175
<b>5 Autonomy, rights and decision-making for patients lacking capacity</b>	<b>176</b>
The traditional models and their limits	177
Decision-making for people lacking capacity: historical antecedents	177
The best interests standard	179
The substituted judgment standard	185
The limits of the traditional approaches	192
Protecting autonomy in incapacity: frameworks for decision-making	192
Protecting precedent autonomy: advance decision-making	193
Assessing the contribution of the frameworks for advance decision-making	195
Recognising current views: participative decision-making	205
A rights framework beyond autonomy	211
Understanding dignity	212
Protecting dignity: the contribution of the ECHR	213
Practical application of ECHR rights	221
Conclusion	224
<b>6 Treatment for a mental disorder: a case apart</b>	<b>225</b>
Treatment for a mental disorder: the law in England and Wales	226
The legislative framework: Part 4 of the MHA 1983	227
The impact of the ECHR on Part 4 of the MHA 1983	234
The CRPD: a ban on compulsion?	240
A differential approach to autonomy: the normative questions	241

Differential treatment and the rights of others 242

Autonomy in action: a capacity-based approach to treatment 246

Assessing the autonomy-based model 252

Beyond traditional liberalism: a framework  
for decision-making 255

The realities of decision-making: the extent of formal compulsion 256

Autonomy as ‘achievement’ in mental health law 257

Delivering on autonomy as achievement in mental health law 259

Beyond autonomy: review and accountability 263

Conclusion 268

**Conclusion 269**

Autonomy as empowerment 269

Capacity: a flawed gatekeeper 272

The role of law 274

Human rights and patient rights 277

Empirical evaluation and the law in practice 278

Final observations 280

*Bibliography* 281

*Index* 310