

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11371-7 - The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator

Erkki Korpimäki and Harri Hakkarainen

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

The Boreal Owl

Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator

Widespread in North American forest regions including the Rocky Mountains, the boreal owl (*Aegolius funereus*) was once the most numerous predatory bird in Eurasian boreal forests. Synthesising the results of unique long-term studies of boreal owls, this book explores hunting modes, habitats and foods, prey interactions, mating and parental care, reproduction, dispersal, survival and mortality, population regulation and conservation in boreal forests.

Providing a detailed introduction to the species, the authors study the complex interactions of boreal owls with their prey species. They examine the intersexual tug-of-war over parental care, and the behavioural and demographic adaptations to environmental conditions that markedly and predictably fluctuate both seasonally and multiannually. They also question whether boreal owls are able to time their reproductive effort to maximise lifetime reproductive success. Discussing the effect of modern forestry practices on owl populations, the book also examines how boreal owls could be managed to sustain viable populations.

Erkki Korpimäki is Professor of Animal Ecology in the Department of Biology at the University of Turku, Finland. His long-term research questions have focused on how predators are adapted to the large spatio-temporal fluctuations in their main prey densities, the apparent impacts of predators on prey populations and the effects of human-induced changes in the environment on viability of populations.

Harri Hakkarainen is Adjunct Professor of Animal Ecology in the Department of Biology at the University of Turku, Finland. His main fields of research have included landscape ecology, environmental ecology, life-history and demography, habitat and diet selection, sexual selection and dispersal of individuals.

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-11371-7 - The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator
Erkki Korpimäki and Harri Hakkarainen
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11371-7 - The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator

Erkki Korpimäki and Harri Hakkarainen

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

The Boreal Owl

Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator

ERKKI KORPIMÄKI AND HARRI HAKKARAINEN

Section of Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Turku, Finland



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-11371-7 - The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator
Erkki Korpimäki and Harri Hakkarainen
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521113717

© E. Korpimäki and H. Hakkarainen 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Korpimäki, Erkki.
The boreal owl : ecology, behaviour, and conservation of a forest-dwelling predator / Erkki Korpimäki,
Harri Hakkarainen.

pages cm
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-0-521-11371-7

1. Aegolius funereus – Ecology. 2. Aegolius funereus – Behavior. 3. Aegolius funereus –
Conservation. I. Hakkarainen, Harri. II. Title.
QL696.S83K67 2012
333.95'897–dc23

2012004205

ISBN 978-0-521-11371-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i>	ix
The senior author		ix
The junior author		xii
Acknowledgements		xiii
1. Introduction		1
2. The boreal (or Tengmalm's) owl: in brief		5
2.1. Plumage and morphological characteristics		6
2.2. Moulting, ageing and sexing		7
2.3. Vocalisations		11
2.4. Distribution, variation and population estimates		15
3. Study areas and research methods		20
3.1. Main study area in the 'Wild West' of Finland		20
3.2. Habitats in the main study area		22
3.3. Foods in the main study area		29
3.4. Explorative studies, breeding density and performance		31
3.5. Individual-level population studies and radio-tracking		33
3.6. Prey number and diet composition		34
3.7. Estimation of the available food		38
3.8. Point stop counts of hooting owls		39
3.9. Long-term study areas of boreal owls in Finland		41
3.10. Study areas elsewhere in Europe and North America		45
4. Habitat use, roosts and nest-sites		52
4.1. Habitat use: foraging and breeding in safe patches		52
4.1.1. Habitat use in Europe		53
4.1.2. Habitat use in North America		57
4.1.3. Home range size, food abundance and predation risk		59
4.2. Roosting behaviour: avoidance of enemies		60
4.3. Nest-sites and nest-hole shift: the need for space, insulation and safety		62

vi	Contents	
	4.3.1. Natural cavities and nest-boxes	62
	4.3.2. Many users of cavities and nest-boxes	64
	4.3.3. Nest-box experiments	66
	4.3.4. Larger clutches in nest-boxes?	70
	4.3.5. Occupancy rate of boxes decreases with box age	72
5.	Interactions with prey animals	75
	5.1. Foraging behaviour: locating and capturing hidden prey at night	76
	5.2. Do enemies restrict hunting to the hours of darkness?	78
	5.3. Do food stores buffer against temporary food shortages?	80
	5.4. Dietary diversity in Europe and North America	87
	5.5. Regional trends in dietary diversity	102
	5.6. Wide between-year variation in diet composition	103
	5.7. Seasonal variation in diet composition	110
	5.8. Are prey selected by species, sex and body condition?	115
	5.9. Impact of owls on prey populations	122
	5.9.1. Numerical and functional responses	123
	5.9.2. Prey consumption and predation rates	126
6.	Life-history of the boreal owl	132
	6.1. Morphological characteristics under fluctuating food conditions	132
	6.1.1. Factors affecting body size of recruits	132
	6.1.2. Heritability of morphological characteristics	134
	6.2. A puzzle: why are female owls larger than males?	137
	6.2.1. Hypotheses for reversed sexual size dimorphism (RSD)	137
	6.2.2. The starvation, reproductive effort, and female dominance hypotheses	139
	6.2.3. Body size, fledgling production and provisioning rate of males	142
	6.3. Selection for small males and large females: a synthesis	146
7.	Mating and parental care	148
	7.1. Pair bonds and divorce rate	148
	7.2. Assortative mating	149
	7.3. Mating systems and parental care	151
	7.3.1. High paternal investment prevents cuckoldry?	152
	7.3.2. Existence of bachelors: lack of mating partners?	155
	7.3.3. Polygyny in good food conditions	159
	7.3.4. Polygyny by female choice or constraint	165
	7.3.5. Nest predation lowers the polygyny threshold?	169
	7.3.6. Cheating in mate alluring, and sexual conflict in parental care?	171
	7.3.7. Polyandry by brood desertion increases fitness of females	175

	Contents	vii
8. Reproduction		184
8.1. Wide variation in the start of egg-laying, clutch size and fledgling production		185
8.1.1. Food abundance as determinant of laying date, clutch size and fledgling production		187
8.1.2. Vole supply in late winter determines laying date		190
8.1.3. Spring vole supply determines clutch size independent of laying date		192
8.1.4. Seasonal trend in clutch size: food limitation or adaptation?		193
8.1.5. Prey abundance within territory is associated with breeding success		197
8.1.6. Geographical variation in clutch and brood sizes		199
8.2. Repeatability and heritability in clutch size and laying date		200
8.3. Incubation and hatching asynchrony		201
8.4. Parental age and breeding performance		205
8.5. Is reproduction costly?		210
8.5.1. Brood size manipulations		211
8.5.2. No obvious costs of reproduction		212
8.5.3. Habitat quality drives costs of reproduction?		212
8.6. Detrimental effects of parasites on reproductive effort and success		213
8.7. Fluctuating food abundance determines lifetime reproductive success		218
9. Dispersal and autumn movements		223
9.1. Sex-related and interregional variation in dispersal		223
9.2. Natal dispersal and food supply		226
9.3. Annual variation in food supply drives breeding dispersal		227
9.4. Predation risk induces breeding dispersal		231
9.5. Autumn movements		233
10. Survival and mortality under temporally varying food conditions		243
10.1. Survival: an important determinant of lifetime reproductive success		243
10.2. Vole supply governs survival		245
10.3. Fluctuating vole abundance controls recruitment rate		246
10.4. Mortality factors		248
11. Old forests increase survival and lifetime reproductive success		252
11.1. Forest age structure in the study area		252
11.2. Survival effects		254
11.3. Lifetime reproductive success		256

viii	Contents	
12.	Family planning under fluctuating food conditions	260
12.1.	Plastic responses in parental effort under fluctuating food conditions	261
12.2.	Variation in egg and clutch size	262
12.3.	Variation in nest defence intensity	262
12.4.	Variation in feeding rate	265
12.5.	How to anticipate future changes in food abundance	267
12.6.	High reproductive effort with improving survival prospects of offspring?	269
13.	Population dynamics	270
13.1.	Vole cycle induces wide variation in population dynamics	270
13.2.	Inter-areal temporal variability in population fluctuations	272
13.3.	Inter-areal spatial synchrony in population fluctuations	277
13.4.	Long-term trends in breeding populations	280
14.	Population regulation	283
14.1.	Site-dependent population regulation?	283
14.2.	The phenomenal importance of food limitation	285
14.3.	Food competition	287
14.4.	Natural enemies	294
14.4.1.	Intra-guild predators	294
14.4.2.	Nest predators	298
14.4.3.	Parasites	300
14.5.	Nest-sites	301
15.	Conservation of boreal owl populations	303
15.1.	Rapid decline of boreal owl populations	303
15.2.	Conservation of old coniferous forests and biodiversity	304
15.3.	Construction and setting up of nest-boxes	308
	<i>References</i>	313
	<i>Index</i>	354

The color plates will be found between pages 242 and 243.

Preface

The senior author

It is early one sunny but chilly morning in late March 1966. The black woodpecker, *Dryocopus martius*, is drumming in the distance. It is easy to ski, because the surface snow layer is still hard enough to bear the weight of two teenage boys. The senior author of this book (EK, born in 1952 in Kauhava, South Ostrobothnia, western Finland) and Reijo Passinen, another enthusiastic young local birdwatcher, are checking some nest-boxes and natural cavities of boreal owls (*Aegolius funereus*) that they had set up or found during the previous autumn and winter. Pine-dominated coniferous forest gradually changes to denser old-growth spruce-dominated forest, where big aspen trees also grow sparsely. On the trunk of a tall aspen, eight metres above the ground, there is a natural cavity made by a black woodpecker. In the vicinity of this tree cavity, a male boreal owl has been hooting eagerly during calm evening nights from early February onwards, although the ambient temperature has often been below -15°C . EK lightly scrapes the aspen trunk, and the facial disc of a female boreal owl suddenly emerges from the entrance hole of the cavity. She has a surprised look, and only her yellow and black eyes can easily be seen looking out from the greyish-white facial disc against the background of the aspen trunk. It was their first visit to the nest of a boreal owl. When they went back, they found yet another boreal owl nest, this time in the new nest-box that they had set up the previous autumn.

This expedition remains vivid in EK's memory and engendered a lifelong fascination in predators, in particular in secretive nocturnal owls, which are really fascinating creatures. It was also the starting point of a period of explorative study, and later a professional research project, that he never imagined would still be going strong more than 40 years later.

When he was 13 years old, EK began some explorative studies on boreal owls in the natural-history clubs of the elementary and high schools at Kauhava and erected the first nest-boxes for these owls in autumn 1965, together with Reijo Passinen. The data collected during the explorative period from 1966 onwards in the Kauhava region included the number of nests, clutch size, breeding success and diet composition of boreal owls (Table 1).

During the three summers of the years in high school (1968–1970), EK worked as a research assistant on the northernmost tip of Finnish Lapland (Karigasniemi, Utsjoki) in a

Table 1. Number of available nest-boxes and nest-holes, total number of boreal owl nesting attempts (at least one egg laid; NE), number of clutches that were taken by pine martens (PM), number of clutches that failed for other reasons (mostly were deserted because of probable food scarcity; FA), percentage of boxes and holes with owl nests, number of female and male parents ringed or re-trapped at nests, and number of boxes with hooting bachelor males in the Kauhava region, western Finland during 1966–2010 (number of hooting males only in 1978–93). Data from Korpimäki (1987e), Korpimäki et al. (2008) and unpublished.

Year	Number of boxes	No. of nests			Percent nested	Number trapped		Number of bachelors ^b
		NE	PM	FA		Female ^a	Male	
1966	35	4	0	0	11.4			
1967	56	11	0	4	19.6			
1968	61	2	0	0	3.3			
1969	63	4	0	0	6.3			
1970	65	5	0	0	7.7			
1971	83	5	0	0	6.0			
1972	90	2	0	0	2.2			
1973	99	18	1	4	18.2			
1974	122	13	1	0	10.7			
1975	133	3	0	1	2.3			
1976	235	19	1	4	8.1	7	0	
1977	290	63	1	12	21.7	29	0	
1978	335	14	0	3	4.2	6	0	5
1979	355	37	2	8	10.4	22	11	6
1980	395	24	2	9	6.1	25	9	16
1981	395	10	0	0	2.5	7	6	18
1982	415	33	1	3	8.0	36	24	14
1983	450	26	1	13	5.8	25	19	30
1984	450	8	2	2	1.7	10	7	4
1985	450	50	4	8	11.1	35	36	11
1986	450	85	4	16	18.9	81	76	13
1987	450	11	0	4	2.4	12	10	8
1988	500	99	2	15	19.8	93	92	22
1989	500	147	1	43	29.4	133	129	40
1990	500	19	0	6	3.8	16	15	2
1991	500	115	1	35	23.0	95	91	12
1992	500	163	2	64	32.6	123	121	13
1993	500	13	0	5	2.6	14	12	4
1994	500	49	0	17	9.8	44	40	
1995	500	29	0	14	5.8	27	26	
1996	500	38	0	15	7.6	39	30	
1997	500	21	0	13	4.2	18	11	
1998	500	4	0	1	0.8	3	1	
1999	500	24	0	1	4.8	23	17	
2000	490	26	0	16	5.3	29	25	
2001	490	2	0	0	0.4	2	1	
2002	490	40	0	4	8.2	25	10	
2003	490	138	1	14	28.2	90	19	
2004	490	10	1	0	2.0	5	4	
2005	490	76	1	19	15.5	29	18	
2006	490	20	0	10	4.1	17	11	

Table 1. (cont.)

Year	Number of boxes	No. of nests			Percent nested	Number trapped		Number of bachelors ^b
		NE	PM	FA		Female ^a	Male	
2007	490	14	0	1	2.9	13	12	
2008	490	60	0	11	12.2	50	41	
2009	490	50	2	10	10.2	41	33	
2010	490	3	0	1	0.6	2	2	

^a In some years, the number of ringed or re-trapped females was higher than the number of nests, because some females were also ringed in the areas surrounding the main owl study area in the Kauhava region.
^b Number of hooting males was monitored using the point stop method.

project on the behaviour, breeding density, breeding performance and success of peatland bog and tundra birds. This project was led by the late Olavi Hildén (Department of Zoology, University of Helsinki, Finland). Docent Hildén was one of the most celebrated field ornithologists in Finland in the 1950s to 1990s who, among other things, made long-term studies on archipelago birds (Hildén 1966, Hildén et al. 1995) and published a widely cited review on habitat selection in birds (Hildén 1965). Hildén led the search for the hidden nests of the secretive arctic wader and passerine species such as the broad-billed sandpiper *Limicola falcinellus*, the spotted redshank *Tringa erythropus*, the jack snipe *Lymnocyptes minimus*, the red-necked phalarope *Phalaropus lobatus*, the Lapland bunting *Calcarius lapponicus* and the red-throated pipit *Anthus cervinus*. Docent Hildén also researched specific topics in behavioural ecology from the 1960s onwards, including the mating and breeding systems of waders (e.g. Hildén 1975, 1978). EK learned how to study the behaviour and breeding performance of individuals in bird populations at Karigasniemi.

EK embarked on his studies in biology at the Department of Zoology, University of Oulu, Finland in September 1971. There he was privileged to benefit from the wide experience, enthusiastic teaching and deep knowledge of professors Lauri Siivonen and Seppo Sulkava. The late Professor Siivonen was internationally renowned for his studies and reviews on the population cycles of forest grouse and other game animals (Siivonen 1954, 1948). Professor Sulkava is a pioneer investigator of the diet composition and predator–prey interactions of north European birds of prey, including the goshawk *Accipiter gentilis*, the peregrine *Falco peregrinus*, the golden eagle *Aquila chrysaetos*, the white-tailed sea-eagle *Haliaeetus albicilla*, the eagle owl *Bubo bubo*, and the great grey owl (see, e.g., Sulkava S. 1966, 1968, Sulkava S. and Huhtala 1997, Sulkava S. et al. 1984, 1997, 1998, 2008). His tuition was of particular importance to EK, because he and his brother, Phil. Lic. Pertti Sulkava, had performed the first study on regional and between-year variations in the diet composition of boreal owls in Finland (Sulkava P. and Sulkava S. 1971). Seppo Sulkava’s wide knowledge of ecology and nature in general – and of birds and mammals in particular – greatly benefited EK in planning the study designs and data collection for his Ph.D. thesis on breeding performance and predator–prey interactions in boreal owls. Under his supervision, EK also

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11371-7 - The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator

Erkki Korpimäki and Harri Hakkarainen

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

began to collect data on between-year variations in breeding density and breeding performance in relation to fluctuations in main food abundance, diet composition and prey selection of boreal owls, as well as on the daily activity patterns of parents and growth of nestlings. These data were analysed and summarised in his Ph.D. thesis (Korpimäki 1981).

Later on, EK's research projects were extended to include population dynamics, reproductive success and behavioural ecology of many other birds of prey including, for example, the short-eared owl *Asio flammeus*, the long-eared owl *A. otus*, the hawk owl *Surnia ulula*, the Ural owl *Strix uralensis*, the eagle owl *Bubo bubo*, the pygmy owl *Glaucidium passerinum*, the Eurasian kestrel *Falco tinnunculus*, the hen harrier *Circus cyaneus*, the common buzzard *Buteo buteo*, and the goshawk *Accipiter gentilis* (see Korpimäki 1984, 1986c, 1987c, 1992a, Korpimäki and Huhtala 1986, Huhtala et al. 1987, Korpimäki et al. 1990, Korpimäki and Norrdahl 1991a, 1991b, Reif et al. 2004, Tornberg et al. 2006, Suhonen et al. 2007). The research team headed by EK has also studied the responses of mammalian predators (the least weasel *Mustela nivalis*, the stoat *M. erminea*, the American mink *M. vison*, and the red fox *Vulpes vulpes*) to the population cycles of small mammals (e.g. Korpimäki et al. 1991, Klemola et al. 1999, Dell'Arte et al. 2007). Finally, the impacts of avian and mammalian predators on the fluctuating small mammal and game animal populations have also been studied (e.g. Norrdahl and Korpimäki 1995b, 1996, Korpimäki and Norrdahl 1998, Korpimäki et al. 2002, 2005a; and reviews in Korpimäki and Krebs 1996, Korpimäki et al. 2004, Salo et al. 2010). These two main long-term research topics have thus dealt with predator–prey interactions from both sides of the fence. First, how predators are adapted to the large spatio-temporal fluctuations in their main prey densities and, second, what are the apparent impacts of predators on prey populations? A third, more applied, research question is: how have human-induced changes in the environment, such as modern forestry practices, changes in the intensity of agriculture, and invasion of alien predators, altered the composition of predator assemblages, individual-level performance and survival of predators and their impact on prey populations (e.g. Nordström et al. 2002, 2003, Nordström and Korpimäki 2004, Salo et al. 2007, 2008).

The junior author

The junior author, HH, was born in Jyväskylä in central Finland in late March 1966, perhaps on the very same sunny but chilly morning that EK met his first boreal owl at Kauhava. HH started his studies at the Department of Biology, University of Jyväskylä, central Finland in 1987. Two years later, he joined EK's boreal owl research team, and was the first student on the project to complete a master's thesis on boreal owls. At that time, HH had a keen interest in solving the puzzle of reversed sexual size dimorphism in boreal owls and other birds of prey, and subsequently worked in the same area of study for 10 years. He first collected the data for his Ph.D. thesis on reproductive effort, body size and their fitness consequences in boreal owls (Hakkarainen 1994), which consists of six chapters (Hakkarainen and Korpimäki 1991, Hakkarainen and Korpimäki 1991,

1994a, 1994b, 1994c, 1995), and then performed postdoctoral research, mostly funded by the Academy of Finland.

HH's main fields of interest have included a wide spectrum of topics in ecology, such as landscape ecology, environmental stress, environmental ecology, life-history ecology, reproductive success, survival, habitat and diet selection, sexual selection, and dispersal of individuals. Today his main aim is to study whether changes in forest structure owing to modern forestry techniques have had effects on individuals' reproductive success, survival, physiological stress, food resources and morphological characteristics. In addition, intra- and interspecific interactions, predation and competition have been examined in differently fragmented forest landscapes. He has approached the problem of forest fragmentation by collecting long-term data on forest-dwelling species, such as the Eurasian treecreeper (*Certhia familiaris*), wood ants (*Formica* spp.) and the three bird of prey species: the boreal owl, the goshawk and the common buzzard.

During the boreal owl studies, HH has been taught by EK how to perform very efficient field studies in population ecology. He soon noticed that owl studies, as such, are energetically demanding; in the 1991 field season, with 120 owl nests to monitor, HH lost 7 kilos in weight, due to hundreds of climbs to the nest-boxes and natural cavities, and staying awake for long periods at night. But perhaps the most memorable experience occurred during the 1992 field season (a record year – with 163 nests altogether), when our new Ph.D. student, Vesa Koivunen, introduced 'the ladder technique' to the project. Previously the nest-box trees had been climbed without any instruments. 'The ladder technique' increased our daily record for checking nest-boxes considerably, from 80 to 120. This new technique, unfortunately, also turned out to increase HH's body mass, and these days, in fact, ladders are obligatory when checking nest-boxes.

During the last 25 years, our research on boreal owls and other birds of prey has also included an experimental approach along with the observational approach. In the experiments, we have attempted to test the predictions of hypotheses that have been derived on the basis of observational results. We hope that these studies will continue in the future, as the value of long-term data in animal population ecology is always increasing.

In conclusion, it has been our pleasure and privilege to perform long-term studies of the boreal owl – perhaps the most fascinating bird in the coniferous forests of the world.

Acknowledgements

This almost lifelong field project has been fortunate to enjoy the field assistance of many voluntary local bird-watchers and field technicians. We mention just a few, who have assisted during more than one field season, including Mikko Hast, Ossi Hemminki, Timo Hyrsky, Mikko Hänninen, the late Sakari Ikola, Reijo Passinen, Jorma Nurmi, Stefan Siivonen and Rauno Varjonen. Members of the Ornithological Society of Suomenselkä, in particular Jussi Ryssy, Erkki Rautiainen, Mauri Korpi, Jaakko Härkönen, Risto Saarinen, Tarmo Myntti, Kari Myntti, Pertti Sulkava and Risto Sulkava, have played a crucial role in collecting the data on breeding densities and reproductive success of boreal

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11371-7 - The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator

Erkki Korpimäki and Harri Hakkäräinen

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

owls in the Seinäjoki, Ähtäri and Keuruu regions. They all deserve our special thanks for their heroic field efforts and long-lasting friendship.

Many Ph.D. students and postdoctoral researchers have worked on our boreal owl population studies and collected data for their theses and publications. We thank Petteri Ilmonen, Vesa Koivunen, Toni K. Laaksonen, Juan A. Fargallo, Michael Griesser, Robert L. Thomson and Markéta Zárybnická for their contributions to the long-term data files and publications that have been written on the basis of these data. We acknowledge Serge Sorbi (Belgium), Jochen Wiesner (Germany), Wilhelm Meyer (Germany), Markéta Zárybnická (Czech Republic), Pierre-Alain Ravussin (Switzerland), Pierre Henrioux (Switzerland), Ted Swem (Alaska, USA) and Jackson S. Whitman (Alaska, USA), who willingly sent us their unpublished data from boreal owl populations, unpublished manuscripts, reprints of publications, and pictures for the book.

Our studies on the population dynamics and breeding success of boreal owls and other birds of prey were supported financially by the Emil Aaltonen Foundation, the Otro Seppä Memorial Foundation of the Finnish Cultural Foundation, the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation, and the Academy of Finland. Three grants from the South Ostrobothnia Foundation of the Finnish Cultural Foundation in the last three years, to compile the old data in the files, to analyse these data, and to write this book were crucial for the completion of this long-term book project. Rauno Varjonen helped in putting old data in the files and carefully assisted in drawing figures and creating tables for the book. We also are very grateful to nature photographers Benjam Pöntinen (Lapua, Finland; <http://www.pontinen.fi/>) and Pertti Malinen (Vaasa, Finland) for the many magnificent photographs that they kindly provided for this book.

Dr. Jari Valkama, the head of the Ringing Centre, Natural History Museum (Helsinki, Finland) was very helpful in rapidly responding to our many queries on nationwide ring recoveries of boreal owls, and also made valuable comments on many chapters of the book. The manuscript also benefited from the indispensable comments of Dr. Toni K. Laaksonen and Dr. Alexandre Villers. The Section of Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Turku (Finland) provided great working facilities and an inspiring working atmosphere. The final chapters of the book were written during the study visit of EK to Estacion Biologica de Donana (CSIC), Seville, Spain. EK would like to thank Dr. Fabrizio Sergio and Dr. Juan Jose Negro for good working facilities.

Finally, we would like to thank our families for their endless patience and encouragement during this – perhaps too long-lasting – book project. EK would like to warmly thank his son Teemu and his daughters Heli and Hanna, and his life companion Marke, for their support and love. HH would like to thank his family: wife Terhi, daughter Riina and son Roni for their support during the long writing process.