The Mental Health Matrix

A Manual to Improve Services

There have been major changes to mental health services internationally in recent years, revolving around the concept of care in the community. Although speed of change and precise service mechanisms differ between countries, there is nevertheless increasingly widespread consensus on key components essential to adequate care provision. This in turn provides an opportunity to develop a widely acceptable framework to direct future developments. This book proposes a simple model that can be used as a guide to increased clinical effectiveness through focused evidence-based reform. Using a time/space framework, it is intended to act as a practical manual to assess strengths and weaknesses in services, and to be useful to care providers, trainees and planners.

PROFESSOR GRAHAM THORNICROFT is Professor of Community Psychiatry and Director of the Section of Community Psychiatry (PRiSM) at the Institute of Psychiatry in London and undertakes a range of research in the field of mental health service evaluation. He has published widely in this area, including editing Measuring Mental Health Needs (1992), Emergency Mental Health Services in the Community (1995), Mental Health Outcome Measures (1996), Mental Health Service Evaluation (1996), Commissioning Mental Health Services (1996), London's Mental Health (1997), Mental Health in our Future Cities (1998), and Managing Mental Health Services (1999).

PROFESSOR MICHELE TANSELLA is Professor of Psychiatry at the University of Verona and also Director of the WHO Collaborating Centre for Research and Training in Mental Health and Service Evaluation, Verona. He is currently vice-president of the International Federation of Psychiatric Epidemiology and an active member of many committees including the Executive Committee of the European Network for Mental Health Service Evaluation (ENMESH). Amongst a distinguished list of publications he is author of *Annotated Bibliography of Psychiatric Epidemiology* (1992) and editor of both *Mental Health Outcome Measures* (1996) and *Making Rational Mental Health Services* (1997).

The Mental Health Matrix

A Manual to Improve Services

GRAHAM THORNICROFT & MICHELE TANSELLA

Foreword by PROFESSOR SIR DAVID GOLDBERG



> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521621557

© Graham Thornicroft & Michele Tansella 1999

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999 This digitally printed first paperback version 2006

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Thornicroft, Graham.
The mental health matrix : a pragmatic guide to service improvement / Graham Thornicroft & Michele Tansella.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0 521 62155 0 (hb)
1. Mental health planning. I. Tansella, Michele. II. Title.
RA790.5.T49 1999
362.2-dc21 98-38431 CIP

ISBN-13 978-0-521-62155-7 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-62155-0 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-03425-8 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-03425-6 paperback

We dedicate this book to

AMALIA THORNICROFT CALUM THORNICROFT HEIDI LEMPP CAROLE TANSELLA CHRISTA ZIMMERMANN-TANSELLA

Contents

	Contributors	xi
	Foreword professor sir david goldberg	xiii
	Preface PROFESSOR LEON EISENBERG	xvii
	Acknowledgements	xxi
PART I	The context	1
	Aims, concepts and structure of the book	3
	The purpose of the book	3
1.2	A conceptual framework: the 'matrix model'	4
•	Examples of the use of the matrix model	5
1.4	The structure of the book	7
2	Community, mental health services and the public health	9
2.1	The meaning of 'community'	9
2.2	Re-appraising the value of 'common'	10
2.3	Defining 'community care' and 'community mental health'	12
2.4	The public health approach to mental health	14
2.5	The purpose of the service	22
3	The historical context	24
3.1	The matrix model and the development of mental health care	24
3.2	Period 1. The rise of the asylum (1880–1950)	28
3.3	Period 2. The decline of the asylum (1950–1980)	32
3.4	Period 3. Re-forming mental health services (1980–2000)	35
PART II	The matrix model: the geographical dimension	39
	The country / regional level	41
	Defining the country/regional level	41
4.2	Social and political domains	41
4.3	The economic domain	44
4.4	The professional domain	45
4.5	Conclusions	46
5	The local level	48
-	Defining the local level	48
5.2	Rationale for accentuation of the local level	48

viii Contents

5.3	Limitations of an emphasis upon the local level	50
5.4	Key stakeholders at the local level	53
5.5	Conclusions	54
6	The patient level	56
	Defining the patient level	56
	The significance of the patient level	56
6.3	The limitations of attending to the patient level	57
6.4	Seeing the patient as a partner in treatment	58
6.5	Using the patient's family as a resource	59
6.6	Recognising the whole range of patient needs	61
6.7	Adopting a longitudinal approach	62
6.8	Offering and withdrawing care in prompt measure	63
6.9	Conclusions	64
PART III	The matrix model: the temporal dimension	65
7	The input phase	67
7.1	Defining the input phase	67
7.2	Inputs at the country / regional level	70
7.3	Inputs at the local level	72
7.4	Inputs at the patient level	75
8	The process phase	78
8.1	Defining the process phase	78
8.2	Process at the country / regional level	80
8.3	Process at the local level	82
8.4	Process at the patient level	88
9	The outcome phase	90
9.1	Defining the outcome phase	90
9.2	Outcome at the country /regional level	92
9.3	Outcome at the local level	95
9.4	Outcome at the patient level	96
9.5	Psychometric properties of outcome measures	99
9.6	Methodologies for assessing outcomes	101
PART IV	Re-forming community-based mental health services	107
10	The evidence base for mental health services	109
10.1	Epidemiologically based measures or estimates of local needs	111
10.2	Actual service provision data as information for planning	114
10.3	Actual service utilisation data as information for planning	122
10.4	The relationships between service provision and use	124
11	The ethical base for mental health services: 'the three ACEs'	125
	Guiding principles at the international level	125
11.2	Guiding principles at the local level	128

		Contents ix
11.3	Clinical values as complementary to cost-effectiveness	140
12	Key resources: training and morale of staff	142
12.1	The central role of human resources	142
12.2	The renewable resources of individual staff	144
12.3	The clinical team as a therapeutic agent	147
12.4	Staff morale and burnout	151
12.5	The clinical team as a lens	154
13	Planning based on evidence and on ethical principles	158
13.1	Defining the planning process	158
13.2	'Service component' or 'system' planning	159
13.3	Service components in a service system: the hydraulic model	163
13.4	Interfaces between components of the service system	165
13.5	Planning the transition to a community-based system of care	167
13.6	Seven steps from planning to practice	168
PART V	International perspectives on re-forming mental	
	health services	175
14	Australia Alan Rosen	177
15	Canada Alain D. Lesage	201
16	Central and Eastern European countries Toma Tomov	216
17	Nordic European countries Povl Munk-Jørgensen	228
18	United States Richard Warner	243
PART VI	A working synthesis	261
19	The matrix model as a pragmatic guide to improve services	263
19.1	The purpose of the matrix model	263
19.2	The applicability of the matrix model	264
19.3	Mental health services in the future	266
	References	267
	Glossary	279
	Index	281

Contributors

Alain D. Lesage, MD, M. Phil., FRCP(C) Centre de recherche Fernand-Seguin and Hôpital Louis-H. Lafontaine 7331 Hochelaga Montréal (Québec) CANADA H1N3V2

Povl Munk-Jørgensen, MD, DrMS Head of Department, Associate Professor Department of Psychiatric Demography Institute for Basic Psychiatric Research Psychiatric Hospital in Aarhus Aarhus University Hospital Skovagervej 2 DK-8240 Risskov DENMARK

Alan Rosen Director, Royal North Shore Hospital & Community Mental Health Services St Leonards New South Wales 2065 AUSTRALIA Associate Professor & Clinical Senior Lecturer Universities of Sydney and Wollongong AUSTRALIA

Michele Tansella Professor of Psychiatry Instituto di Psichiatria Universita di Verona Ospedale Policlinico 37134 Verona ITALY Graham Thornicroft Professor of Community Psychiatry Institute of Psychiatry Kings College London De Crespigny Park London sE5 8AF UK

Toma Tomov Professor of Psychiatry Head, Department of Psychiatry Medical University Sofia BULGARIA Director Bulgarian Institute for Human Relations New Bulgarian University Sofia BULGARIA

Richard Warner, MB, BS, DPM Medical Director Mental Health Center of Boulder County 1333 Iris Avenue Boulder Colorado 80304–2296 USA Clinical Professor of Psychiatry and Adjunct Professor of Anthropology University of Colorado USA

Foreword

PROFESSOR SIR DAVID GOLDBERG Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London

This volume represents a watershed in writing about the mental illness services, in that the authors are proposing a model that brings together a public health concern with the health of populations, with an insistence on remembering that services must also be judged by their effectiveness in dealing with disorders at a patient level. It was all there before they wrote it, but no-one has previously put it together so elegantly. Space and time are fairly obvious dimensions to choose for a model; what they have achieved is to produce a simple model that neatly serves as a framework for comparisons between different services, and illuminates the way in which planning at different levels of the model relates to events at other levels. It is especially ingenious to use the 'time' dimension to indicate time with respect to the treatment of illnesses, rather than merely indicating the historical passage of time. This gives the whole model greater power, which the authors have exploited brilliantly. Nothing will ever be quite the same again; it is a book certain to be widely read and quoted.

One point needs further clarification. Models can be predictive, they can be explanatory, they can be heuristic, or they can be descriptive. In Chapter 17 misgivings are expressed from the Nordic countries that the model is not specific, which implies that no predictions follow from it. First and foremost, this is a descriptive model, which helps to ensure that like is compared with like, by carefully disentangling the nine divisions in the proposed matrix. However, as the authors point out, the model can also be used as a tool to improve services in a particular place, so that features of a mental health system become better understood, or lead to priorities for improving services. However, the model is here being used as a tool: it makes no predictions or suggestions itself. It may even be that the present vogue for community care will pass, and that we will return to a more institutional approach in the future: even if that were to happen, the present model would serve as a framework for considering changes in the system.

xiv Foreword

Older readers of the book may be faintly troubled by the implication (in Chapter 3, the historical context) of unbroken progress towards more desirable services, with the achievements of the 'middle period' being seen as mere preludes to the great symphony now occurring. The rehabilitation services that were set up in that period should not be seen as a transitional step – merely a way of emptying the asylums. The principles that were set up then are good now, even if time has moved on, and they now need to be adapted to a changed world. The widespread closures that have occurred in rehabilitation workshops, in day centres and facilities for those with longterm disability in England, were carried out to save money and to balance budgets – not because they were no longer needed.

Towards the end of the book the authors leave their metaphor of the 3×3 matrix, and use another from the card table. The three ACEs are highly desirable aspects of each level of their model (see Table 11.4). However, three ACEs disturbed me somewhat, and I fell to brooding about what the fourth ACE might be. It was clearly something that the authors preferred not to think about.

With diffidence – as befits someone whose function in the deck is to be a mere joker (surplus to requirements, or simply replacing a missing card?) – let me suggest that the fourth ACE is Austerity, Criticism and Enmity. It is worth saying a few words about each.

Austerity is the main force opposing change and improvements to the mental health services. Unlike the old asylums, the budgets of communityorientated services are fatally easy to prune; and it is difficult to be innovative when your service is facing progressive reductions to its budget with each succeeding year. Criticism stands for the criticism that is made of junior staff when things go wrong: in England blame is now habitually devolved downwards, so that planners at both national and local levels are rarely or never held to be responsible for individual disasters, even though their decisions have often resulted in situations that cannot be handled well at patient level, given the level of resources available. This devolution of blame makes life easy at the top; but it is at the expense of a demoralised, burnt out work force, which in deprived inner city areas cannot attract staff in sufficient numbers. Judicial enquiries into homicides by patients consider only the 'patient level' of the present model – never the decisions made at national or local levels that have made work at the patient level so difficult. Enmity exists, in suitably muted forms, between workers working for different masters, or between different disciplines. Another kind of enmity is represented by sometimes ill-informed criticisms of the service in the media. In England, there are often tensions between health and social ser-

Foreword xv

vices; between managers and the work force, and between different professional groups. The British government asks for partnerships, but it is doubtful whether real common ground can be found between those working for different organisations with separate budgets. The best examples of well-functioning mental health services are to be found in those American cities where there is a common purchaser of both health and social care – able to commission services from either, as well as from NGOs and user groups.

However, these are minor points. This book fizzles with excitement, as familiar concepts and findings are shown in a new light, by being measured against the framework now described so clearly. Readers will find Figure 13.3, 'Well targeted services', a good example of this facility that the authors have to throw new light on old problems.

The model is timely, as broadly similar events are occurring in many countries. The new model provides a language for comparisons between regions of the world – and these are demonstrated by the ease with which it has been applied in the five chapters that bring the book to a close.

Preface

LEON EISENBERG, MD Department of Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School

Graham Thornicroft and Michele Tansella have written an altogether remarkable monograph. *The Mental Health Matrix* is lucid, written in such simple and spare language as to make its concepts transparent, free of cant and of special pleading. For all these reasons, it should have a profound impact on the provision of mental health services; that is, *if* it is read by the practitioners, the policy makers and the politicians who need to understand its basic principles. Thornicroft and Tansella present no new data; what they provide are new ways to determine what data are needed across domains and to assess available data to permit evidence-based, integrated conclusions.

Amidst the clamour of cost-containment, they have managed to do the unique. They highlight the importance of a *population-based* approach to mental illness, because of its health benefits, at the same time that they make the care of the *individual patient* the focus for clinical planning. In the United States, at least (and I suspect, this is not solely an American disease), 'population medicine' is a slogan often used to rationalise cost control by limiting services that might have benefited individual patients. Such rhetoric is absent from this volume. Better care can be less expensive care when ineffective high cost procedures and episodic interventions are replaced by integrated services, but the goal must be the provision of care that benefits patients rather than investors or managers (or mental health workers!).

Indeed, so profound is the proposed way of looking at services and so different is it from what each of us is accustomed to, whether we be clinicians, public health workers or designers of social policy, that I urge its use as a study guide for interdisciplinary working groups. The subtitle of the book is *A Manual to Improve Services*; that is precisely what it provides. Its concluding sections set forth illuminating case studies that apply the matrix model to areas as different as Australia, Canada, Eastern Europe, the Nordic countries and the United States.

xviii Preface

The authors suggest the model can help in 'understanding the possible causes and effects of episodes of severe violence committed by psychiatric patients'. They contrast a narrow focus on the clinician, on the one hand, with a more inclusive analysis of case load, staff training and national investment in services, on the other. Let me pursue this typology through a specific illustration of misplaced blame and its social consequences.

The tragedy in question took place in July 1986 on a ferryboat between Staten Island and New York City. A homeless 43-year-old Cuban refugee, responding to command hallucinations, slew two passengers and wounded nine others. The tragedy was transmuted into public outrage when the newspapers discovered that Gonzalez had been seen four days earlier in the emergency room of a teaching hospital. Although the psychiatric house officer who saw him recognised that he was wildly psychotic, he was unable to find a bed for the patient at his own university hospital or any of the seven other in-patient units he telephoned. The patient was uninsured and without funds. The news report of an inability 'to find a bed' was an inability to find a *free* bed. The state agency piously concluded that the house officers performance 'did not meet professional standards' and that there had been 'inadequate supervision'. The diagnosis of clinical failure obfuscated the real problem: pathology in national and state policy.

The public consequences were enormous; within two weeks, the number of patients brought to psychiatric emergency rooms in New York City increased by 50%; the number admitted led to such overcrowding that patients had to be transferred by bus and van to remote backup state mental hospitals. Despite that manoeuvre, psychiatric emergency admissions spilled over into unused medical and surgical beds in municipal hospitals. The crisis did not abate until almost a year later when public services settled back into their ante-bellum status.

Were the ferry murders a sentinel event in an epidemic of homicidal psychoses? Clearly not. The 'epidemic' was panic among the gate keepers. The police brought verbally abusive homeless persons to emergency rooms, no longer willing to gamble on their harmlessness. House officers on emergency duty would no longer sign out potentially violent patients without evaluation by a senior physician, resulting in long queues in the emergency room. Staff psychiatrists opted to be on the 'safe side' by hospitalising patients they would have sent back to the streets before the ferry murders.

The fundamental problem did not lie with the resident's clinical judgement, but with the failures at the national, state and local levels. Because the United States is the only country in the world without universal health insurance, lack of coverage precluded private hospitalisation and placed

Preface xix

the patient in a queue for public care. State/federal fiscal relationships had made it advantageous to states to downsize public hospital capacity in order to shift payments for the mentally ill from state to federal budgets. At the local level, the pathology included the homelessness of thousands of mentally ill patients because public housing is inadequate and because aftercare and rehabilitation services are insufficient. Preventing avoidable hazards to ordinary citizens demands an address to these issues.

If the principles of *The Mental Health Matrix* are widely understood, the groundwork will have been laid for comprehensive public mental health services. However, understanding will not in itself suffice. To actualise what is possible 'in principle' requires a social strategy and the political will to make change happen.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge the valuable contributions towards this book by Julie Grove, David Goldberg and Mike Slade at the Institute of Psychiatry in London, Eric Byers at the Maudsley Hospital, and Graziella Nicolis at the Department of Medicine and Public Health, Section of Psychiatry in Verona. In addition we have enjoyed a productive and enjoyable relationship with our commissioning editors at Cambridge University Press, Richard Barling and Jocelyn Foster.