

The Dead and the Living in Paris and London, 1500–1670

This book is an innovative exploration in social history, showing how the practices surrounding death and burial can illuminate urban culture and experience. Vanessa Harding focuses on the crowded and turbulent worlds of early modern London and Paris, and makes use of rich contemporary documentation to compare and contrast their experience of dealing with the dead. The two cities shared many of the problems and pressures of urban life, including high mortality rates, and a tradition of Christian burial, and there are many similarities in their responses to death. The treatment of the dead reveals the communities' preoccupation with the use of space, control of the physical environment, and the ordering of society and social behaviour. But the impact of Reformation called into question many traditional attitudes, and although London was fairly successful in establishing a new consensus, burial of the dead became a serious point of conflict in Paris.

The Dead and the Living is as much about London and Paris as about death rituals, and Vanessa Harding emphasises the importance of the demographic, physical and social context within which burial and funerary practices evolve. She looks at actual churchyards, cemeteries and churches, and at the responses of specific communities to burial. Vividly illustrated, this work is a major contribution to the history of the early modern city, and to our understanding of social and cultural change in an urban environment.

VANESSA HARDING is Senior Lecturer in London History at Birkbeck, University of London, and a Fellow of the Royal Historical Society.



The Dead and the Living in Paris and London, 1500–1670

Vanessa Harding





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521811262

© Vanessa Harding 2002

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2002

This digitally printed first paperback version 2006

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-81126-2 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-81126-0 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-00974-4 paperback

ISBN-10 0-521-00974-X paperback



In memory of Jill Harding



Contents

List of illustrations		
$A\alpha$	cknowledgements	X
Note on spelling, sums of money, etc.		
Li	st of abbreviations	xvi
1	Introduction	1
2	London and Paris, the setting of life and death	14
3	'Lamentable pinfoulds of the deaths of men': parish churchyards and churchyard burial	46
4	Innocents and outcasts: civic and non-parochial churchyards	85
5	'Making churches charnel houses': the constraints of church burial	119
6	'A fine and private place': burial chapels, vaults, and tombs	147
7	'Meet and convenient for my estate and degree': funeral conventions and choices	176
8	'The whole profit of the funeralls': commercialisation and consumption	208
9	'The last love and ceremony': funerals, community, and civic identity	234

vii



viii	Cor	Contents				
	10	Conclusion	269			
		Appendix 1: Mortality in the London parishes, 1664	285			
		Appendix 2: Mortality in the Paris parishes, 1670	291			
		Appendix 3: Funeral provision of Joan Brytten, 1540	294			
		Appendix 4: Funeral provision of Jeanne Passavent, 1582	295			
		Appendix 5: A note on sources	297			
	Bib	liography	302			
	Index		331			



Illustrations

Plates

1	Sixteenth-century London, from Braun and Hogenberg,	
	Civitates orbis terrarum (1572).	page 28
2	Sixteenth-century Paris, from Braun and Hogenberg,	
	Civitates orbis terrarum (1572).	30
3	Churches and churchyards in seventeenth-century	
	London: detail from Ogilby and Morgan's map of 1676.	51
4	Churches and churchyards in eighteenth-century Paris:	
	detail from a contemporary plan.	71
5	St Paul's churchyard, London, 1616.	88
6	The cemetery and church of the Innocents, Paris, later	
	sixteenth century.	103
7	Lead coffin of Alderman Sir John Spencer (d. 1610).	144
8	The Lord Mayor of London in the funeral procession	
	of Elizabeth I, 1603.	255
9	The royal effigy and canopy in the funeral procession	
	of Henri IV, 1610.	260
10	Officers and soldiers of the city of Paris in the funeral	
	procession of Henri IV, 1610.	261
	Maps	
1	The parishes of London, c. 1664.	284
	The parishes of Paris, c. 1670.	290

ix



Acknowledgements

This study had a very precise and identifiable starting-point, recounted in Chapter 1. After that, it ramified into a number of different enquiries, some of which I have written up elsewhere, before returning to the first questions. The book, therefore, has taken longer to make than the human body allegedly takes to unmake: 'Faith, if he be not rotten before he die...he will last you some eight year, or nine year' (*Hamlet*, Act 5, Scene 1). Without taking an unsavoury metaphor too far, I can at least claim to have been involved in an equally lengthy process of transformation of material, and ultimately, I hope, the constitution of new meaning.

Over that period I have incurred many debts which I now have pleasure in acknowledging. The book could not have been written without the two periods of study leave granted by Birkbeck College, the first of which was spent in archives in London and Paris and the second, some years later, in writing up in New York. In between came a stint of administration, demanding but not thankless, which certainly delayed progress, but it is fair to add that the book would not have been written without the stimulus of working in such a lively and intellectually productive department, with colleagues and students whose enthusiasm for the study of history never flags. I thank Birkbeck also for the research grants that supported my periods of study leave, and for conference grants to give papers on death and burial to new audiences. I thank the Maison Suger, of the Maison des Sciences de l'Homme in Paris, for offering me accommodation and facilities during my first study leave, and the Center for Medieval Studies at Fordham University, New York, and especially its director, Maryanne Kowaleski, for giving me an Honorary Visiting Fellowship and muchvalued privileges and facilities during the second. A grant from the British Council (Canada) enabled me to give papers in Toronto and Montreal in 1997, where Sandy Johnston and Bob and Anne Tittler were my kind hosts and sponsors.

I gratefully acknowledge the assistance and resources of the Manuscripts, Print Room, and Printed Books sections of the Guildhall

X



Acknowledgements

xi

Library, the Corporation of London Records Office, the British Library, the Public Record Office, the Family Records Centre, and Lambeth Palace Library in London; Birkbeck College Library, the Warburg Institute Library, and the University of London Library; the Bodleian Library, Oxford; the Archives Nationales, the Bibliothèque Nationale, the Bibliothèque de l'Arsenal, and the Bibliothèque Historique de la Ville de Paris, in Paris; and the New York Public Library and Fordham University Libraries in New York. For me, as for many historians, the Institute of Historical Research in London has been an invaluable resource, bibliographically, intellectually, and socially. For assistance with the illustrations, I particularly wish to thank François-Joseph Ruggiu; John Fisher of the Guildhall Library Print Room; Bernard Nurse of the Society of Antiquaries of London; and Jon Wilson and Christina Panagi of Birkbeck's Photographic Unit, as well as the copyright holders of the images themselves.

Along the way to completion I have benefited greatly from opportunities to present my ideas to seminars and conferences in London, Birmingham, Bournemouth, Cambridge, Leicester, St Andrews, Sussex, Budapest, Strasbourg, and Tours, and at the universities of Brown, Columbia, Concordia (Montreal), Dartmouth, Eugene (Oregon), Fordham, McGill, Oxford (Mississippi), and Toronto. At all of these I received useful comments, criticisms, and encouragement.

Declaring that I was working on death was sometimes a conversation stopper, but more often it evoked an interested and thoughtful response. Many generous offers of information and insight contributed to my understanding and argument. I hope I have acknowledged specific references in the footnotes, but I also take this opportunity of thanking James Amelang, David Andrews, Caroline Barron, Judith Bennett, Clive Burgess, Martha Carlin, Margaret Cox, Peter Earle, Mary Erler, Loreen Giese, Matthew Groom, Charlotte Harding, John Henderson, Cynthia Herrup, Ralph Houlbrooke, Derek Keene, Jenny Kermode, Jim Masschaele, Julia Merritt, Adrian Miles, David Mitchell, Karen Newman, Scott Newstrom, Margaret Pelling, François-Joseph Ruggiu, Barney Sloane, Anne Sutton, Danae Tankard, Andrew Thrush, Bob Tittler, Joe Ward, Bill White, and Christopher Wilson. I would like to thank John Schofield who took me and my students to see the New Churchyard excavation where my interest in death began, and Ann Johnston for a memorable visit to Père Lachaise, where I realised that there is actually only one famous person buried in Paris. I am grateful to the anonymous readers for Cambridge University Press for their comments and constructive criticisms, and to Richard Fisher for his long patience and support in seeing this through. Above all, though, I wish to



xii Acknowledgements

thank Paul Strohm, who read the whole text at a late stage and through his insightful and incisive remarks helped me to see what it was I was really trying to say, and to say it better; and Jen Guttenplan, who, as well as encouraging me over a long period, also offered assistance in the last painful stages of editing and proofing.

Family, friends, and colleagues have amiably, if sometimes with puzzlement, put up with my preoccupation with this book for several years. They must all have learned more than they ever wished to know about death and burial. Their continuing interest, and their good company, warm hospitality, intellectual generosity, willingness to listen, readiness to argue, and refusal to accept an inadequate answer have been an invaluable stimulus and reward to my endeavours, vividly illustrating what is important in life, as well as showing that there is a lot of life in death. So my warmest thanks to them all and especially to Caroline Barron, Judith Bennett, Barry Coward, Peter Earle, Sam Guttenplan, Jen Guttenplan, David Hebb, Cynthia Herrup, Ann Johnston, Maryanne Kowaleski, David Ormrod, Gigliola Pagano, Dorothy Porter, Steve Rappaport, Paul Strohm, Jane Waldfogel, and David Wallace. I dedicate the book, however, to the memory of my mother. She was a life-giver and life-enhancer and long after her death her warmth and generosity of spirit are remembered by those who loved her.



Note

Dates

New-style dates are used throughout. London and Paris were following the Gregorian and Julian calendars respectively for most of the period covered here, but this has no significant impact on the discussion.

Spelling

Although this book is written primarily with an English-speaking reader in mind, there is inevitably much quotation from French writings. On the whole these are straightforward and have not been translated, after the first appearance of common terms, except where problems in translation contribute to the discussion. In quotations from sixteenth- and seventeenth-century French sources I have followed the original spelling but added an accent to distinguish the tonic from the atonic 'e' at the end of a word.

Money

Both England and France used a similar currency structure, with the measure of value being the pound (£) or *livre*, made up of 20 shillings (s.) or *sous* (occasionally *sols*), each of which contained 12 pence (d.) or *deniers*. In France this is slightly complicated by the existence of two currencies, the *livre tournois* and *livre parisis*, the latter really a relic currency, exchanged against *tournois* at the rate of 16 *sous parisis* to the *livre tournois*. In the contemporary sources used for this book, the kind of money in which transactions were made is not always specified, but it may be assumed to be the *livre tournois* unless otherwise stated (see P. Spufford, *Handbook of medieval exchange* (London: Royal Historical Society, 1986), p. 167).

The pound and *livre* were moneys of account, and both countries used gold and silver coins with a range of face values. Their effective monetary

xiii



xiv Note on spelling, sums of money, etc.

value varied according to the bullion content and the currency practices of governments. The face value of the English gold noble was 6s. 8d., while the French *écu d'or* was approximately 2 *livres*. The English mark was a notional sum or money of account worth two-thirds of a pound or 13s. 4d.

It is difficult to find any reliable way of establishing equivalents between French and English money for this period. At the end of the fifteenth century, the pound sterling's exchange value was 8 livres tournois (based on Spufford, Handbook of medieval exchange, pp. 179, 201). For purposes of comparison, however, it is the purchasing value rather than the exchange rate between sterling and the livre tournois that matters. Given serious inflation during the period (see S. Rappaport, Worlds within worlds: structures of life in sixteenth-century London (Cambridge, 1989), esp. p. 155; J.-P. Babelon, Nouvelle histoire de Paris. Paris au XVIe siècle (Paris, 1986), pp. 295–314), this is particularly hard to assess. In 1572, a French royal edict set the daily wage of a master mason and carpenter at 12 sols tournois, and that of a labourer at 6 sols, but these rates were probably unrealistically lower than the wages really offered (Babelon, Paris au XVIe siècle, p. 299). The mayor and aldermen set London wages in 1586 at 13d. a day for a mason, tiler, or plaisterer, and 14d. for a joiner. 'Common labourers' were to have 9d. a day (R. H. Tawney and E. Power (eds.), Tudor economic documents, 3 vols. (London, 1924), vol. I: Agriculture and industry, pp. 369–70). These too may be unrealistically low: cf. J. Boulton, 'Wage labour in seventeenth-century London', Economic History Review 49 (1996), 268–90. If they do offer a valid base for comparison, the equivalence rate of the pound sterling and the livre tournois was between 1:8 and 1:11. An alternative source for comparison would be the annual cost of an obit or anniversary commemoration. This appears to have been 10s. in London in 1548 (C. Kitching (ed.), The London and Middlesex chantry certificate of 1548 (London Record Society 16, 1980), passim). Several obits were founded in the church of Saint-Gervais in Paris in the midsixteenth century for 10 or 12 livres rent (AN, LL 752, ff. 24-34v). Taken together, these would suggest either that ecclesiastical services were relatively more expensive in Paris than in London – perhaps because more was offered - or that an appropriate rate to compare sums in pounds sterling with sums in *livres tournois* for the mid- to late sixteenth century would be well over 1:10 and perhaps close to 1:20.

Measurements

Both England and France measured length in feet (*pieds*) and inches (*pouces*), the French foot being slightly longer than the English. I have



Note on spelling, sums of money, etc.

ΧV

used those units when quoting directly or indirectly from contemporary sources, but have normally expressed my own calculations in metric measures. One acre = 4047 m^2 or 0.405 ha; 1 yard (English) = 0.912 m; 1 ft (English) = 0.3048 m; 1 pied (French) = 0.3086 m. The French toise of approximately 1 fathom or 6 ft (1.949 m: OED) was used as a measure of both area and volume. For the former, it must have been equivalent to about 3.8 m^2 , and for the latter, to 7.4 m^3 . However, it is clear that many measurements were roughly taken or given, and close accuracy should not be expected. See OED; Cassell's French and English Dictionary (London, 1881); H. Ballon, The Paris of Henri IV. Architecture and urbanism (New York and Cambridge, Mass., 1991), p. 258.



Abbreviations

AN Archives Nationales, Paris
BL British Library, London
BN Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris

BR burial registers

Bodl. Bodleian Library, Oxford CA churchwardens' accounts

CLRO Corporation of London Records Office,

London

Consistory Court Wills London Consistory Court Wills, 1492–1547,

edn I. Darlington (London Record

Society 3, 1967)

GL Guildhall Library, London

Harl Soc. Harleian Society

LPL Lambeth Palace Library, London
Machyn, Diary The diary of Henry Machyn, citizen and

merchant-taylor of London, from A.D. 1550 to A.D. 1563, edited by J. G. Nichols (Camden

Society 42, 1848)

MoLAS Museum of London Archaeology Service

PRO Public Record Office, London

RCHM City of London Royal Commission on the Historical

Monuments of England, An Inventory of the historical monuments in London, vol. IV: The

city (London: HMSO, 1924)

RDBVP Registres des déliberations du Bureau de la Ville

de Paris, edited by F. Bonnardot, A. Tuetey, P. Guérin et al., 20 vols. (Paris: Histoire Général de Paris, Imprimerie Nationale,

1883–1990)

VCH London Victoria history of London: including London

within the bars, Westminster and Southwark, vol. I, ed. W. Page (London: Constable,

1911)

VM Vestry minutes

xvi